## **Ottawa Light Rail Commission**

Justin Bulpitt on Tuesday, May 10, 2022



77 King Street West, Suite 2020 Toronto, Ontario M5K 1A1

neesonsreporting.com | 416.413.7755

1	
2	
3	
4	OTTAWA LIGHT RAIL COMMISSION
5	ALSTOM TRANSPORT CANADA INC JUSTIN BULPITT
6	May 10, 2022
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	Held via Zoom Videoconferencing, with all
14	participants attending remotely, on the 10th day of
15	May, 2022, 1:00 p.m. to 3:52 p.m.
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
1
    COMMISSION COUNSEL:
2
3
    Carly Peddle, Commission Counsel Member
4
    Emily Young, Commission Counsel Member
5
б
    PARTICIPANTS:
7
8
    Justin Bulpitt - Alstom Transport Canada Inc.
9
    Michael Valo, Esq. & Charles Powell, Esq.,
10
    Glaholt Bowels LLP - Counsel for Justin Bulpitt
11
12
13
    ALSO PRESENT:
14
15
    Carissa Stabbler, Stenographer/Transcriptionist
16
    Benjamin Bilgen, Virtual Technician
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

\_\_\_\_

1	INDEX
2	
3	WITNESS: JUSTIN BULPITT
4	
5	
6	INDEX OF EXHIBITS
7	
8	NUMBER/DESCRIPTION PAGE/LINE NO.
9	(NONE MARKED)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

Τ

1	Upon commencing at 1:00 p.m
2	CARLY PEDDLE: Good afternoon,
3	Mr. Bulpitt. My name is Carly Peddle, and I'm
4	Commission Counsel on the Ottawa Light Rail Transit
5	public inquiry, and I'm joined by my colleague
6	Emily Young.
7	The purpose of today's interview is to
8	obtain your evidence under oath or solemn
9	declaration for use at the Commission's public
10	hearings.
11	This will be a collaborative interview
12	such that my co-counsel, Ms. Young, may intervene
13	to ask certain questions. If time permits, your
14	counsel may also ask follow-up questions at the end
15	of the interview.
16	This interview is being transcribed,
17	and the Commission intends to enter this transcript
18	into evidence at the Commission's public hearings,
19	either at the hearings or by way of procedural
20	order before the hearings commence.
21	The transcript will be posted to the
22	Commission's public website, along with any
23	corrections made to it after it is entered into
24	evidence. The transcript, along with any
25	corrections later made to it, will be shared with

1	the Commission's participants and their counsel on
2	a confidential basis before being entered into
3	evidence.
4	You'll be given the opportunity to
5	review your transcript and correct any typos or
6	other errors before the transcript is shared with
7	any other participants or entered into evidence.
8	Any non-typographical corrections will be appended
9	to the end of the transcript.
10	Pursuant to Section 33(6) of the Public
11	Inquiries Act, 2009, a witness at an inquiry shall
12	be deemed to have objected to answer any question
13	asked of him or her upon the ground that his or her
14	answer may tend to incriminate the witness or may
15	tend to establish his or her liability to civil
16	proceedings at the instance of the Crown or of any
17	person, and no answer given by a witness at an
18	inquiry shall be used or be receivable in evidence
19	against him or her in any trial or other
20	proceedings against him or her thereafter taking
21	place, other than a prosecution for perjury in
22	giving such evidence.
23	As required by Section 33(7) of that
24	act, you are hereby advised that you have the right

<sup>25</sup> to object to answer any question under Section 5 of

1 the Canada Evidence Act. 2 Mr. Bulpitt, if you need to take a 3 break at any point during the interview, just let 4 me know, and we can stop the recording, and we can 5 take a break. Okay? 6 JUSTIN BULPITT: Thank you. 7 CARLY PEDDLE: I just want to make sure 8 you can hear me okay. 9 JUSTIN BULPITT: Thank you. 10 JUSTIN BULPITT: AFFIRMED. 11 CARLY PEDDLE: You work at Alstom 12 currently? 13 Yes, I do. JUSTIN BULPITT: 14 CARLY PEDDLE: How long have you been 15 with the company? 16 JUSTIN BULPITT: I joined Alstom in 17 March of 1996. 18 CARLY PEDDLE: What's your current 19 role? 20 JUSTIN BULPITT: Currently I'm 21 responsible for business development for services 22 division in North America. 23 CARLY PEDDLE: Are you still involved 24 at all in the Stage 1 of the Ottawa Light Rail 25 Transit project?

1 Directly, no. JUSTIN BULPITT: 2 CARLY PEDDLE: When did your 3 involvement end in that project? 4 JUSTIN BULPITT: December 2019. That's 5 when my direct involvement ended. 6 CARLY PEDDLE: Were you indirectly 7 involved after that? 8 Well, the Ottawa JUSTIN BULPITT: 9 project is within the same division that I'm 10 responsible for business development, so I have 11 been kind of giving my experience, if you like, to 12 the project team members, but that's as far as my 13 involvement goes. 14 CARLY PEDDLE: So if you could please 15 just provide a brief overview of your role as it 16 does relate to the Stage 1 Ottawa Light Rail 17 Transit project. 18 JUSTIN BULPITT: Okay. So my 19 involvement started with a bid, so I was 20 responsible for the submission of the LRV 21 maintenance bid in 2012-13. That was one and then 22 signed in February 2013. 23 I was also responsible for the --24 developing the bid for the -- changing the scope 25 from the LRV maintenance to the systems

1 maintenance, which was signed in September 2016. 2 And then also from September 2016, I 3 had operational responsibility to manage the 4 project manager for the maintenance activity, who 5 was -- the project manager was on-site in Ottawa, 6 and so I had operational responsibility from 7 September 2016 through to December 2019. 8 Who were the key members CARLY PEDDLE: 9 of your team? 10 JUSTIN BULPITT: So Alban Houssin was 11 the project manager for Ottawa, and he was a 12 project manager in fact from September 2016 all the 13 way through to September 2019, but in February of 14 2019, Alban took long-term leave for sickness. So 15 between February and September, he was -- he was 16 absent. 17 And then in June of 2019, Richard 18 France was appointed project manager, and he took 19 over the project management responses from --20 responsibilities from June of 2019. 21 So they were really the two key, key 22 people that -- they reported to me, and then they 23 managed their teams. 24 Okay. So from February CARLY PEDDLE: 25 2019 to June 2019 when Mr. France began with

1 Alstom, were you essentially in that project 2 management role? 3 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yes. We also -- we 4 also brought over someone called Nicholas Flix who 5 acted also as kind of an interim project manager, 6 but I took much more of a hands-on role from that 7 time in February of 2019 to June 2019, and I was 8 still quite involved physically even through to 9 November of 2019. 10 CARLY PEDDLE: Now, your past 11 experience, prior to joining Alstom in 1999, did 12 you work in any other areas related to this -- the 13 work you did in this project? 14 JUSTIN BULPITT: No, I joined Alstom 15 pretty soon after finishing college. 16 CARLY PEDDLE: Do I understand 17 correctly that Alstom's maintenance group and the 18 rolling stock group are organizationally separate? 19 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yes. We -- so Alstom 20 is primarily like a matrix organization, so whereas 21 we report regionally -- so we would report into the 22 North America region or now actually Americas 23 region. 24 In fact, we're also structured by 25 product line, so whereas I report into the services

9

1 business in North America, there is a rolling stock 2 business in North America, and they're managed 3 quite separately. 4 CARLY PEDDLE: And I'm correct that 5 the -- both groups, the rolling stock group and the 6 maintenance group, were involved in this project? 7 JUSTIN BULPITT: Correct. 8 CARLY PEDDLE: Did that present any 9 challenges given the organizational structure of 10 Alstom? 11 JUSTIN BULPITT: No. I mean, it's 12 quite a normal event. Many times Alstom will win a 13 contract to build the rolling stock and then 14 maintain the rolling stock after delivery. 15 In one sense, the organization is 16 structured to create a -- kind of a tension 17 because, you know, the rolling stock team are delivering the vehicles to us as maintenance, and 18 19 we are expecting them to be delivered complete and 20 to attain, you know, a level of reliability and to 21 provide us with the training that we need. 22 So there are expectations on our side, 23 and so, you know, there's a normal, I would say, 24 kind of tension because of -- because of that but 25 not unusual.

1 CARLY PEDDLE: So the first thing you 2 mentioned you were responsible for was the LRV 3 maintenance bid? 4 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah. 5 CARLY PEDDLE: Can you tell me just a б bit about your involvement in that? 7 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah, so at that time, 8 I was the bids director for Alstom services in 9 North America. So we -- under a turnkey bid 10 director -- so the turnkey bid director is 11 responsible for that whole bid submission, being 12 both the rolling stock and the maintenance. 13 And at that time, also during the bid, 14 we were also offering signalling services and 15 construction of the TPS, of the traction power 16 substation and the OCS. So the bid itself was more 17 than just what we ended up winning, which was the 18 LRV maintenance and supply, supply and maintenance. 19 So under that turnkey bid, I was 20 responsible for the team that provided the 21 narrative and the pricing and then eventually with 22 the legal support, the contract for the maintenance 23 aspect of the vehicles. 24 CARLY PEDDLE: Were there any major 25 challenges when you were undertaking that work?

25

1 JUSTIN BULPITT: I mean, it's a big and 2 I quess a complex bid, so we started in February. 3 We had -- so the journey itself to being selected 4 was, you know, up and down because we submitted our 5 bid to -- we had initially submitted a bid to the 6 VINCI group, and we were not selected by the VINCI 7 group. 8 And then we offered our bid to the RTG 9 group, and that was in February of 2012. And then

<sup>10</sup> in March of 2012, we were told that CAF had been <sup>11</sup> selected instead of Alstom.

And then in July -- late in July of 2012, we were told that we were back with an opportunity to bid, but by that time, it was quite late on in the bidding process because the submission of the narratives and the pricing was, I think, in end of September, maybe beginning of October of 2012.

So we really had quite a constraint -you know, restricted amount of time or constricted
amount of time from being -- reentering into the
bid in July of 2012 to actually submitting our
offer and making sure that it was aligned with the
RTG group back in September.

And in particular, some of the

1 challenges that we faced were that by that stage, 2 the MSF had been developed from a bidding, you 3 know, design for the CAF vehicles, and so there was 4 some work to look at how that could be adapted to 5 fit the Alstom vehicles, because the CAF vehicles, 6 I think, were 30-metre vehicles, and we had a 7 48-metre vehicle. 8 And we had some different maintenance 9 strategies that we wanted to adopt, so we wanted to 10 kind of apply the different tracks in a different 11 way. 12 So those -- from a technical 13 standpoint, the adoption of the MSF and the fact 14 that everything was short in terms of time, those 15 were the two biggest issues. 16 CARLY PEDDLE: Did the compressed 17 schedule have -- what impact, if any, did that have 18 on the bid? 19 JUSTIN BULPITT: In the end, it 20 forces -- it forces you to be more on a high level 21 and not to be able to dive into as much of the 22 details, I guess, that you may do. But in terms of 23 optimization, they're less able to optimize the 24 solution that you have. 25 Probably more specifically, you don't

have as much time to think through all the risks,
all the you know, the things that you haven't
thought of.
In a longer time where you're working
with the construction group, you get more
visibility of the way the bid is being developed,
and therefore, when things come up, you're able to
reflect through those things to say, okay, how does
that impact me? How do I respond to that? How do
I mitigate any risk?
All of that exercise has been complete
by the time we join, so there was much less of an
ability to kind of reflect on potential things that
we hadn't thought of.
CARLY PEDDLE: Your bid when you
were advised that you had another opportunity to
bid in July of 2012, was the signalling and the
overhead catenary system, was that also included?
JUSTIN BULPITT: Just the no, just
the rolling stock and the rolling stock
maintenance.
CARLY PEDDLE: Okay. What was your
understanding of why that was?
JUSTIN BULPITT: I did not have any
firsthand knowledge. In a sense, the rolling stock

1	group led the exercise because it's the rolling
2	stock that was wanted, if you like.
3	So, you know, the sense I got was that
4	at the that RTG had said we want the Alstom
5	vehicle, and so that's the one you know, that's
6	the one that we're now going to choose, and so
7	that's the vehicle we have to now provide the
8	maintenance.
9	CARLY PEDDLE: Now, you mentioned that
10	the MSF and is that maintenance storage
11	facility?
12	JUSTIN BULPITT: Yes.
13	CARLY PEDDLE: was designed for CAF.
14	What can you just explain the impact of that?
15	JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah, I mean, it's not
16	so drastic that you have to throw away this whole
17	big design and start again from scratch, but it's
18	really with regards to the there are I think
19	there were six, if I remember right, something like
20	six maintenance tracks, heavy maintenance tracks.
21	So the layout in terms of two light
22	maintenance bays and a wash bay and six maintenance
23	tracks, that had all been decided. And the
24	length and, you know, CAF had obviously decided
25	what they were going to do in each of the bays. So

1 they may want one bay for washing and one bay for 2 heavy maintenance and one bay for something else. 3 So really, it was about trying to 4 change how -- first of all, there was a length 5 issue because our vehicles were longer, and then 6 secondly, it was changing what we wanted to do in 7 each of the bays. 8 So it was then thinking through how we 9 would do the maintenance, what we wanted, and then 10 what equipment, like, if we wanted any jacks, if we 11 wanted a pit, if we wanted, you know, line side 12 bins or vending machines to be next to it, things 13 like that. 14 CARLY PEDDLE: Were there any 15 modifications to the MSF that Alstom felt was 16 necessary that were either not approved or were 17 physically impossible? 18 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah, there -- yes, 19 there was -- one of the things that we were guite 20 strong in requiring or wanting was that the storage 21 shed would be covered, and that was a hard 22 requirement for us. And in a sense, it was -- the 23 bid was approved, and they agreed to have a 24 storage -- a covered shed. 25 Although when it came into execution --

1	and, you know, if you've been, you'll see that
2	there is a roof, there are walls, but there's a
3	huge gap at the top where which allows the snow
4	and the cold, you know, to come in.
5	And so it that itself, although we
6	wanted it to be fully covered so that there would
7	be a better ambient temperature so that we would
8	get protection from the weather or elements, in
9	reality that didn't happen. That was really the
10	main the main thing.
11	CARLY PEDDLE: Okay. And so I'm
12	assuming that makes work more challenging for
13	Alstom employees?
14	JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah. Yeah.
15	CARLY PEDDLE: Is there anything are
16	there any other impacts of the storage facility not
17	being fully covered?
18	JUSTIN BULPITT: Not really. The worst
19	thing is it makes it less pleasant for the people
20	working in terms of working conditions. It can
21	have an impact in terms of energy usage. That
22	doesn't directly affect Alstom because we're not
23	responsible for the energy, but there will
24	obviously be more energy drawing when they're
25	heated.
1	

1 There is a potential for more wear over 2 time because you're keeping the trains running for 3 longer so that all the electric systems are working 4 harder than they would be otherwise in a covered 5 shed. But it's hard to say over a 30-year period, 6 you know, how material that is. 7 I mean, it's a little bit like having, 8 you know, a car in a garage. It's better to have 9 your car in a garage. It's safer. It's more 10 It's kind of nicer. I don't know if protected. 11 you can quantify what is the impact of your cars 12 outside of the garage. It's painful when you have 13 to wipe the snow off in the morning, but, you know, 14 that's the kind of image. 15 CARLY PEDDLE: So it may affect the 16 long-term reliability of the vehicles; is that 17 fair? 18 JUSTIN BULPITT: Potentially, yes. 19 Yeah. Definitely the biggest impact is on the 20 people doing the work, but there can be a long-term 21 impact on the electronics. Harder to prove exactly 22 how much, though. 23 CARLY PEDDLE: Were there any other 24 modifications that Alstom felt necessary that were 25 not completed?

Ottawa Light Rail Commission Justin Bulpitt on 5/10/2022

1 JUSTIN BULPITT: As part of the 2 Stage 1, I don't recall there being any. 3 CARLY PEDDLE: Just moving on to the 4 second aspect that you said you were involved in, 5 which is the bid for the change of scope and to add systems maintenance, if you could just explain what б 7 that involved. 8 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah. So in the 9 spring of 2015, so the contract had been awarded, 10 obviously that the MSF was in the stage of being 11 built, you know, we were, I quess, two years into 12 the project, and RTM issued an RFP for quotes for 13 people to respond to the systems maintenance. 14 So they had three packages of work: 15 The track inspections, the OCS inspections and 16 maintenance, and the traction power substation 17 inspection and then maintenance of the 18 communications and signalling -- some pieces of the 19 signalling because Thales was still responsible for 20 the components. 21 And so we -- the initial RFP was for a 22 period of five years, and we made an offer saying 23 that we would be willing to enter into a 30-year 24 And then that was in the -- so that was term. 25 around about June of 2015.

1 And then from then to the end of 2015, we were in discussions with RTM about the scope and 2 3 about -- yeah, about the bid. 4 And then in December -- around December 5 2015, RTM said that they selected us, and we б started having discussions how the contract would 7 be amended to incorporate that. 8 CARLY PEDDLE: Were there any 9 significant challenges in this process? 10 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yes, in that -- I 11 mean, obviously with the vehicle, it's our vehicle. 12 Even though it's not been built, it's our vehicle, 13 so we understand how it's been designed and built. 14 The construction is not done by Alstom, 15 so we -- and it's not built yet, so we're relying 16 quite a lot on the information that we're provided 17 by RTM in order to -- in order to bid. In particular, we had a number of 18 19 discussions over the agreement that they had with 20 Thales and what was included, what Thales was 21 already responsible to provide on the RTM 22 subcontract with Thales, and then just getting a 23 sense of scope in terms of quantities, quantities 24 of cameras, quantities of, you know, track and so 25 forth.

1	In terms of the bidding, I think those
2	were the main things. Yeah, in terms of design
3	just trying to think. I think that we wanted to
4	have more involvement or we were asking to be more
5	involved in because, again, at that time, the
6	design was pretty complete, but we wanted to see
7	how much involvement we could have in monitoring
8	the construction. So that was a topic of
9	discussion.
10	CARLY PEDDLE: You say you wanted more
11	involvement. Did that was that a formal
12	request?
13	JUSTIN BULPITT: I don't know. I'm
14	not I'm not sure.
15	CARLY PEDDLE: You mentioned that you
16	were relying on the information provided by RTM to
17	bid. So is it fair to say that let me rephrase
18	that question.
19	Was there any information you found out
20	later that would have affected the bid you
21	submitted? Now, let me be clear. That you would
22	have had access to. Like, essentially was there
23	any information that you didn't get that you should
24	have?
25	JUSTIN BULPITT: Hard to answer for

1 sure thinking back. I don't remember anything in 2 particular. The one area where I remember that was 3 a bit unclear -- but I'm not sure in terms of 4 timing, whether that was something that happened 5 after we submitted our bid and before we actually 6 came on board or it was afterwards. 7 That was in terms of track inspection 8 because the layout of the track, if I remember, 9 was -- as part of the design review, OLRT had 10 agreed with the City that the standard was lower, 11 but it would mean a more frequent inspection. They 12 had to inspect the track two times a week as a way 13 of passing the design. 14 We -- to my knowledge, we did not know that when we bid. We had bid under the assumption 15 16 that the track layout would require one inspection 17 per week. And that change -- as I said, it could 18 have come at the same time; it could have come 19 after. 20 That's what sticks in my mind as being 21 the main difference. Other than that, there was a 22 lot of information which was in the background 23 information, which was -- which was like a disk 24 that was given to us just before the contract

<sup>25</sup> signature, and, you know, it had a lot of

1	information that was difficult to read everything
2	in the short space of time.
3	So that may have carried some
4	information that we weren't really aware of, but I
5	don't recall anything else really that was a major,
6	oh, we really should have had that, and we didn't.
7	CARLY PEDDLE: Now, you mentioned OLRT.
8	When you say that, do you mean OLRTC, the
9	constructor?
10	JUSTIN BULPITT: Yes. Yes.
11	CARLY PEDDLE: What did you mean that
12	the standard was lower on the track?
13	JUSTIN BULPITT: What I recall is that
14	there are I don't recall exactly what that means
15	in terms of the quality or the strength or the
16	characteristics of the track.
17	My understanding is there are classes
18	of track, and depending on the class of track,
19	depends upon the speed that the trains can go and
20	the inspection criteria.
21	What I'd understood was that for
22	whatever the criteria normally, you would inspect
23	once a week, and as part of the design process, it
24	was agreed that the track could be was okay but
25	would need to be inspected twice a week. But I

1 can't -- I don't know in terms of the specifics of 2 what that means in terms. 3 No, that's fair. CARLY PEDDLE: 4 JUSTIN BULPITT: I should probably add 5 also that I'm an accountant by trade, not an 6 engineer, and so my bid experience is much more 7 from kind of a practical management rather than an 8 engineering kind of experience or expertise. 9 CARLY PEDDLE: Okay. Thank you. And 10 so you said starting in September '16, you took on 11 operational responsibilities? 12 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yes, so within the 13 services group, because we now signed both the 14 maintenance of the LRV and the systems, within the 15 services group, the -- there was one project, so we 16 were bidding for other projects in Canada for 17 maintenance, but this was the only one that we had 18 won. 19 So for that period of time, I was 20 responsible -- the project manager reported to me, 21 and I reported to the MD of services. So -- yeah, 22 so the project manager who actually executed and 23 did all the work, he reported to me. 24 CARLY PEDDLE: Okay. What was your 25 view as to the readiness of the system for revenue

24

-

1	service availability from a maintenance perspective
2	when you took over operational responsibility in
3	2016?
4	JUSTIN BULPITT: Well, when at that
5	point, the MSF was just at the point of being
б	completed, so it was in the condition that the
7	offices were you know, the project manager then
8	had a house in the in the office in the MSF.
9	So that would have meant that Alstom
10	rolling stock I can't remember if it had already
11	started manufacturing in the site or it was just
12	setting up to manufacture, but they were present.
13	So at that time, it was still, you
14	know, nearly two years out of at that time,
15	revenue service should have been May 2018. We were
16	still quite a long ways away from it occurring.
17	So I don't think I had any really
18	strong feelings. Obviously it seems as nearly
19	every construction project, they were probably
20	behind but not so behind as to a at even at
21	that point saying this is never going to go live in
22	May of 2018.
23	CARLY PEDDLE: Now, if you have a view
24	of this, what was your perspective on whether the
25	City had done everything it needed to by that

Τ

1	point?
2	JUSTIN BULPITT: At that time, I had no
3	interaction with the City. Yeah, it was still
4	quite early.
5	CARLY PEDDLE: Am I correct that OLRTC
б	was responsible for maintaining the infrastructure
7	prior to revenue service?
8	JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah, our
9	responsibility was to take over at the point of
10	revenue service. So, yes, they were responsible to
11	maintain it prior to revenue service.
12	CARLY PEDDLE: Did you have any view as
13	to the status or the quality of the maintenance
14	upon taking ownership of the vehicles or taking on
15	that responsibility?
16	JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah. So I guess two
17	things come to mind: The first one is that there's
18	kind of a little bit of a mismatch between the
19	contractual responsibility and operationally or
20	pragmatically what is going to happen, because at
21	the same time as OLRTC being responsible for the
22	maintenance, the maintenance organization is trying
23	to get ready. And part of getting ready means it's
24	got to, you know, start taking maintenance
25	responsibilities and learn how to do it.

1	So there's a little bit of a disconnect
2	there, but we you know, we could still shadow
3	and do training and practice in theory. So that's
4	the first bit.
5	The second bit is in practice, there
6	was on the infrastructure I guess two things:
7	One, of the things that they had built, there was a
8	lack of maintenance, and it, in some areas, became
9	very evident.
10	The point machines were one example
11	where clearly there was no maintenance. They had
12	not been regularly oiled. At some point in time,
13	and I'm thinking, guessing from memory, it was more
14	like November, December of 2018.
15	We started to be asked to take on
16	responsibility on their behalf to do some
17	inspections because they had a lot of experience of
18	the motors being dry and then burning out and
19	needing replacement.
20	But, no, that was just one clear
21	example that they from what we could see, it was
22	unlikely that there was any maintenance that had
23	been done on the track or the OCS.
24	At the same time, there was some parts
25	of the system that wasn't weren't really

1	complete until even after substantial completion,
2	like the SCADA and the network management system.
3	If that was completed, that was, like,
4	at the end of August, beginning of September, and
5	even going on, there was still work being done.
6	So it's more electronic, so I guess
7	there's less kind of maintenance, but, you know,
8	there was no kind of hand-over for us to do any
9	maintenance or do that.
10	There was also a lot of replacement of
11	the track and the OCS ongoing at the end, and that
12	was could be in part because of a lack of
13	maintenance.
14	But that's a long-winded way of saying
15	no, I don't believe that they did any maintenance
16	on the system beforehand.
17	CARLY PEDDLE: Okay. Did you receive
18	any information from OLRTC or documentation about
19	the maintenance that was expected of them?
20	JUSTIN BULPITT: From OLRTC about what
21	maintenance they expected to do?
22	CARLY PEDDLE: Sorry, let me let me
23	rephrase.
24	JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah.
25	CARLY PEDDLE: Did you receive any

ououn	
1	documentation from OLRTC about what they about
2	maintenance, about what they had actually
3	undertaken?
4	JUSTIN BULPITT: Right. No. No, it
5	was a source of it was a source of frustration,
6	and part of us being ready is that we obviously
7	we need the facility, the infrastructure to
8	actually be handed over.
9	We need to be able to have access to
10	inspect and to start preparation, but we need
11	documentation on drawings and tests, you know, test
12	documentation. We need to be trained.
13	None of that was forthcoming, and we
14	were especially as we got closer and closer and
15	closer to the different times where revenue service
16	was supposed to start, our level of anxiety was
17	going higher and higher and higher because we
18	didn't have the documentation.
19	And even then, there were no main
20	even as far as I recall, when the when we
21	finally got some information on the drawings and
22	the test information in May or June of 2019, there
23	were no maintenance records handed over.
24	So there would have been a maintenance
25	manual that would have said this is what you're

1	supposed to do, and the we probably would have
2	seen a draft of that manual, but no detailed
3	maintenance documentation and no records of what
4	they did.
5	CARLY PEDDLE: Did you request
6	maintenance records?
7	JUSTIN BULPITT: Yes. Yeah, it's part
8	of the overall documentation that we asked for.
9	CARLY PEDDLE: And what was their
10	response?
11	JUSTIN BULPITT: It would have been
12	so the general response and this it's hard to
13	be really specific because, you know, this was
14	something that would have we would have started
15	the process probably in the summer of 2017.
16	As we were getting ready to be ready
17	for revenue service, we would have been asking for
18	all this documentation. And frequently, there was
19	a comment or a statement or email from OLRTC, You
20	have it. You have the documentation. It's in
21	4P. You need to go look for it. It's there.
22	And often there was, you know, like, a
23	back and forth, No, it's not. Yes, it is. Where
24	is it? You need to show me. I haven't got time.
25	That was their most typical response: Go look for
1	

1	it.
2	As we got closer to the summer of 2019,
3	we did start to get some more the other
4	sorry, the other thing that we got often, either
5	preliminary drafts, so, you know, a document that
6	said you know, even sometimes a blank document
7	with a draft, you know, this is a placeholder for
8	the maintenance manual, or this is the first draft
9	of the maintenance manual would be more complete
10	as, you know, the design develops, or this is a
11	preliminary design review.
12	CARLY PEDDLE: When you say you
13	requested maintenance records, who were you
14	requesting them from?
15	JUSTIN BULPITT: So directly, we would
16	have asked RTM. So RTM was our customer. Things
17	were, I guess, a bit more complicated because you
18	had these two silos, OLRTC and the rolling stock
19	provider, RTM and Alstom.
20	So we would ask RTM who would ask OLRT.
21	Even sometimes for vehicle information, we would
22	ask RTM, who would ask OLRTC, who would ask Alstom
23	or instruct to provide to OLRTC.
24	CARLY PEDDLE: And would the general
25	would the response the general response you just

1 spoke of, would that come from OLRTC or from RTM? 2 JUSTIN BULPITT: So the general 3 response that I -- I'm thinking of some emails that 4 we would have got or some comments that came 5 directly from OLRTC, either emailing to RTM or comments directly in the document. 6 7 CARLY PEDDLE: Were you told by any of 8 the partners, the parties involved their views as 9 to the readiness at that time? 10 JUSTIN BULPITT: From RTM. 11 CARLY PEDDLE: From RTM. I suppose you 12 didn't -- you said you didn't have any contact with 13 the City, so any of your contacts in the other 14 organizations? 15 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah. So my only 16 contact with the City was really close, like, May, 17 June of 2019. So generally with RTM -- RTM was my 18 customer, so I had most interaction initially with 19 Grant Bailey, and then he left in July, I think, or 20 August of 2017. 21 Then for about a year, there was no 22 general manager for RTM, and Tom Pate (ph) was 23 acting as a maintenance and general manager. 24 And then when Claude Jacob arrived, I 25 think was in the summer of 2018, I had contact with

1	him. So Claude and I would often have a kind of
2	off-the-record discussion of what we felt.
3	And also in a couple times in April of
4	2019 or May of 2019, I was invited to some meetings
5	with the RTM executives. You know, and, again, we
б	were able to kind of share frankly what we how
7	we felt the readiness was.
8	So I got their view, and they were
9	concerned they were as concerned as we were that
10	the system that OLRTC wasn't you know, when
11	we were asking for information, they were trying to
12	assure us. It's not that we've got it, and we're
13	not giving it to you. We haven't got it. We're
14	asking for the same information. We see the same
15	things that you see. We have the same concerns
16	that you have.
17	And some of the executives I work with
18	on terms on the bidding, so I knew them from
19	bidding either on the Ottawa or other projects. So
20	I had at least enough of a relationship that it was
21	more than just an (indiscernible).
22	So, you know, we were able to say, you
23	know, this isn't ready compared to, let's say,
24	another project. We're supposed to go live in two
25	or three months and look what's missing.

1 CARLY PEDDLE: What were the most 2 significant aspects that were missing in your view? 3 Yeah. So it depends JUSTIN BULPITT: 4 on the time frame, but let's say when -- let's say 5 May or June of 2019 because that was really the 6 last time -- after the last deadline that was 7 missed. 8 We were still at a point in June of 9 2019 where the MSF 2 hadn't been handed over to us, 10 wasn't working. We -- either in May or June, we 11 finally got documentation. 12 We still hadn't got training on -- that 13 we had wanted. We had got general training. We 14 had got kind of PowerPoint training, but because 15 some of the systems, particularly the 16 communication-type systems like the gates and the 17 pits and the SCADA hadn't been completed, so we had 18 not had kind of direct training even at that time. 19 The fact that there were so many --20 the -- each of the systems were not in the mature 21 And when I say "systems," I'm talking -- so state. 22 first of all, the vehicles, the CBTC signalling, 23 you know, the communications, you know, the SCADA wasn't finished. The network management wasn't 24 25 finished.

Τ

1	The OCS and the track that we had
2	not been given access to be able to do an
3	inspection at the end of May. We had been asking
4	for inspect to be able to inspect and have our
5	own assessment of the condition, and we hadn't been
6	given that, and that was at the end of May of 2019.
7	So all those things to us were strong
8	indications that the system was quite a ways away
9	still from being ready.
10	And the lack of systems integration was
11	a big concern because it's one thing to have all
12	the systems complete, but if they don't kind of
13	play together, then you don't know how they
14	interact, all the different systems interact and
15	what may come out.
16	CARLY PEDDLE: I think you said that
17	each of the systems and you said vehicles, CBTC,
18	which is computer-based train
19	JUSTIN BULPITT: Train control.
20	CARLY PEDDLE: control were not
21	mature. Is that what you said?
22	JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah.
23	CARLY PEDDLE: What do you mean by
24	that?
25	JUSTIN BULPITT: So what I mean and

1	I'm thinking specifically now in the time period of
2	the end of May, beginning of June where trains were
3	leaving, so trains were being released into service
4	or into on the line as a simulation service.
5	The signalling system was the train to make it work
6	in the automatic train operation.
7	And just the volume of failures
8	basically. I mean, I remember in kind of
9	preparation, I looked at a period of time, end of
10	May, beginning of June where, you know, the zone
11	controllers weren't working. There were switch
12	failures of being disturbed. The there were
13	door failures. There were brake failures on the
14	on the vehicles. The GIDS wasn't the intrusion
15	device wasn't working or was being constantly set
16	off because there was movement or it wasn't, you
17	know
18	So all these problems were being

<sup>18</sup> So all these problems were being <sup>19</sup> reported in IMIRS. As an example to show that they <sup>20</sup> weren't mature, you know, they weren't in the state <sup>21</sup> where you wouldn't want to have passengers get on <sup>22</sup> them and, you know, drive safely, if you like. <sup>23</sup> CARLY PEDDLE: So essentially there

<sup>24</sup> were bugs in the system?

25

JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah, yeah, yeah,

1 that's right. That's a better way of putting it. 2 CARLY PEDDLE: And when would you 3 typically expect these bugs to be worked out? 4 JUSTIN BULPITT: Well, from my view --5 and maybe as a different example, we're on -- we're б trying to finish the first phase of the REM project 7 in Montreal. So the construction of the first 8 phase or the first element is pretty complete now, 9 and it's May, and I think they have an aspiration 10 of going into revenue service in a few months' 11 time, so five or six months' time. 12 So to me, three to six months from the 13 time you say I've completed my build to actually 14 testing it and experiencing those bugs and working 15 on them and changing, I don't think that's an 16 unreasonable period of time. I think that's -- you 17 know, for me that would be a typical period of 18 time, three to six months. 19 Whereas in Ottawa, the reality was that 20 in June, there was still -- you know, we went 21 from -- we finished -- we think we finished 22 constructing to we're in trial running, like, 23 overnight almost. 24 Now, you mentioned --CARLY PEDDLE: 25 before I do that, those examples that you gave of

1 the things that had not been done that were the 2 most concerning, were those all completed prior to 3 revenue service? 4 JUSTIN BULPITT: I'm just trying to 5 remember now. What were the examples that I gave 6 you. 7 CARLY PEDDLE: So I have training. 8 You've requested training that had not been 9 provided, documentation for systems, system 10 integration, the maintenance facility not being 11 handed over. 12 JUSTIN BULPITT: Right. So maybe take 13 the last one. Maintenance facility, yes, it was 14 handed over by trial running. There were still 15 some things that were not working properly. 16 The washing machine is an example. The 17 sanding equipment had some bugs. I think the 18 washing machine had some bugs occasionally. 19 On the far side, there was a problem 20 with the power -- the lockout tag-out wasn't 21 possible because of -- it was either on or it was 22 off. You couldn't switch it off and still work. 23 I'm trying to remember exactly what --24 there was a problem anyway with our lockout-takeout 25 that required you either had to switch the whole

1 power off or the whole power on. You couldn't 2 isolate certain sections, from what I remember. 3 So by and large, the facility was 4 handed over. There were still bugs that needed to 5 be worked out. 6 From a -- from a documentation 7 standpoint, I think probably we had got all the 8 The difficulty was because we got a documentation. 9 data dump, I think, somewhere either at the end of 10 May or the beginning of June where we got all the 11 documentation that they had, and it took us over a 12 week just to download the documents. 13 So I'm pretty sure that we didn't 14 have -- you know, we wouldn't have had the time and 15 didn't take the time to review everything to make 16 sure that we got everything. 17 In terms of training, I think we had a reasonable level of training on some of the systems 18 19 for the vehicles obviously. The signalling was at 20 a relatively reasonable level. 21 Things like SCADA and GIDS and PIDS, 22 no, because that was -- like I said, that -- and 23 network management system, that was just handed 24 over at the end, at the very, very, very, very end. 25 And even with the signalling system, we

> neesonsreporting.com 416.413.7755

1 required kind of the hand-holding from Thales when 2 they -- because they were working on their 3 adaptations and upgrades right to the very end, so 4 some of the troubleshooting we relied on them to 5 support. 6 Who was responsible for CARLY PEDDLE: 7 systems integration? 8 Well, OLRTC -- well, JUSTIN BULPITT: 9 in my view, it is the construction company, OLRTC, 10 that should be responsible for systems integration. 11 CARLY PEDDLE: Now, you just mentioned 12 that the -- in May or June of 2019, there was 13 insufficient systems integration. Why was that 14 your view? 15 JUSTIN BULPITT: It's because -- well, 16 just as an example, like I said, all the systems 17 were being upgraded, so Thales was working on the 18 CBTC and making its software upgrades. Alstom was 19 working on the vehicles and making its software 20 upgrades. 21 Let's say they completed their 22 There wasn't a period of time then to upgrades. 23 test. Did -- the upgrades that Thales made, did it 24 have any impact on the Alstom train? 25 I mean, the train works with the

40

<sup>1</sup> signalling system, so there wasn't a period of time <sup>2</sup> where they tested to say, okay, now we finished the <sup>3</sup> upgrades on the Thales system. How has that <sup>4</sup> affected the train, or how has the upgrades on the <sup>5</sup> train CCMS affected the signalling working as an <sup>6</sup> example. <sup>7</sup> You know, you could say the same even,

You know, you could say the same even, which bled into revenue service, of the cameras. Part of the system required for the train when it arrives in the station to be able to view the cameras to make sure that it's safe to leave. That relies on the TCMS. It relies on the train, and it also relies on the signalling system.

You know, there wasn't a period of time where you could say, okay, everything is updated. Let's see if the two things work together and what bugs might we have when the -- you know, all of them working together. That's what I mean.

You could say the same with the rails
 and the wheels. I think that probably would be
 less direct because the impact is seen over a
 longer period of time.

But the signalling and the TCMS upgrades are key. I mean, even things to the point of -- I remember -- and this must have been in June 6

7

8

9

10

11

or July where they had to -- there was a requirement to meet the run time, the journey time, and the journey time obviously then requires the signalling system to tell the TCMS how fast to go and when to accelerate, when to brake.

So in order to meet the journey time that had been developed, there seemed to be a requirement on the train to perform really to the max, to accelerate as hard as you can and to brake as hard as you can in order to meet the journey time.

<sup>12</sup> That's another example really of just <sup>13</sup> saying, you know, we didn't know what were the <sup>14</sup> implications of that, and there should have been a <sup>15</sup> period of time to say, okay, now we know what the <sup>16</sup> implications are. That's not good. We need to <sup>17</sup> make some more revisions.

<sup>18</sup> CARLY PEDDLE: Do I understand you
<sup>19</sup> correctly that the Thales -- for the computer-based
<sup>20</sup> train control system, Thales was doing updates to
<sup>21</sup> revenue service, into revenue service?

JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah. You know, to a degree, that's not so unusual that there are some updates that carry on, and the same thing from the TCMS, that there are software patches after revenue Τ

1	service, but the extent of those upgrades, yeah,
2	they were working into well into revenue
3	service.
4	CARLY PEDDLE: What were the
5	consequences from a maintenance standpoint of this
6	lack of integration?
7	JUSTIN BULPITT: I would say that there
8	were there are short-term and there are
9	long-term implications. The longer-term
10	implications start to become more, let's say,
11	speculative in terms of what are the you know,
12	because obviously since revenue service, there are
13	a number of big events that have occurred.
14	You know, there was a TCMS failure
15	right in October. There was the pantograph in the
16	OCS in beginning of 2020. There were some wheel
17	flats in track where the wheel rail interface.
18	So those are kind of longer-term
19	effects that hard to sit here now in with
20	hindsight and say this was directly because of that
21	lack of integration, but the short that we
22	weren't ready, but the short-term the short-term
23	implications are, one, entering into service means
24	that there are many, many more risks that have to
25	be mitigated.

1 So a lot of the times that things were 2 not in a full working condition but there may be 3 work-arounds, and in and of itself that work-around 4 is maybe quite possible, but when you start to add 5 up all the work-arounds that you have to have, it 6 just increases the -- it increases the stress on 7 the system basically and increases the likelihood 8 of there being a problem in revenue service. 9 Some examples are there were 10 transponders that are in the yard that are part of 11 the signalling system to help the system know where 12 the train is, so one of the things that I recall 13 where there were insufficient transponders -- part 14 of the Stage 2 were these extra transponders. Thev 15 hadn't done enough, so it was -- it created 16 difficulties in coupling the trains.

And sometimes if you look at some of the reports, you know that loses position. There were lots of problems of train losing position, and train -- in the course of train losing position was because the one -- in the yard was because there were insufficient transponders that wasn't picking up where the train was.

And so sometimes when we had to couple the train, we had to do it in a specific track in Τ

1	order to because we knew that there were
2	sufficient transponders. We knew that the train
3	had got position, and then we could send it to the
4	dispatch to leave.
5	But it means that you have to juggle
6	the train around. I mean, the fact there was no
7	UTO and we were in manual operation with
8	Hostlers is a work-around. It adds to the
9	problems. Yeah, those are two examples.
10	CARLY PEDDLE: What does "UTO" stand
11	for?
12	JUSTIN BULPITT: Sorry, un it's
13	train operations. Unattended train operation.
14	CARLY PEDDLE: Thank you. So leading
15	up to revenue service, you said you had concerns
16	about readiness, and given the lack of systems
17	integration, you just said it creates potentially
18	more risks.
19	What steps did Alstom take to ensure
20	that they had done everything they could to ensure
21	they were ready for service?
22	JUSTIN BULPITT: When you say "Alstom,"
23	you mean Alstom Alstom or Alstom specifically to
24	maintenance.
25	CARLY PEDDLE: Maintenance.

Τ

1	JUSTIN BULPITT: Okay. So it's a
2	difficult thank you. It's hard to really answer
3	in hindsight and also to know what we could have
4	done. You know, it's a question that I've asked
5	myself a lot: What could I have done differently?
6	How could I have done things differently.
7	I would say that we tried to I mean,
8	first of all, we are we are a subcontractor in
9	this process, so our customer is RTM, so we can
10	request something of RTM. RTM can request it of
11	RTG or OLRTC, and they would go to the City. We're
12	quite away removed from being able to actually do
13	something and influence something.
14	So there was a lack of like I said,
15	there was a lack of documentation on the system.
16	We asked to inspect the line. It was something
17	that we had asked consistently and got some
18	traction within RTM to be able to have access to
19	the line to do some inspections.
20	But even when we were it was agreed
21	with OLRTC that we could inspect the line. Often
22	now our permit was taken away at the last minute,
23	so we weren't able to do an inspection. We wanted
24	to get a third-party audit of the of the
25	assessment, and OLRTC refused to allow us to.

1 So the message that we -- let me back 2 The message from RTM was OLRTC has said no, up. 3 you're not able to do an inspection. 4 We did bring people from Systra with us 5 to be our experts, and they guided us -- that was 6 in June -- to give an assessment of some parts of 7 the line that they had access to. 8 But, you know, that was what we 9 tried -- you know, tried to get was our own 10 assessment of the condition. We tried to -- we 11 wanted to bring people. We brought people from --12 I think it was in Egypt to train people how to do 13 catenary inspections, but, again, we needed to --14 so we had some expertise. 15 Because it was an area where there was 16 a lack of skill because most of -- in Canada, 17 there's not so many catenary systems, so there were 18 not so many people trained to operate or maintain 19 them. 20 So we had found -- we had hired --21 someone from Alstom came to be the expert, but also 22 we had hired skilled electricians, but we needed to 23 train them how to maintain -- you know, what to 24 look for and how to do good maintenance on the OCS. 25 And we brought people -- or we wanted

47

Τ

1	to bring people from Egypt to do that training, but
2	then finding the right time and finding time when
3	they would be have access to the line to be able
4	to do that training was difficult. And I remember
5	we were we were constantly pushing to the right
6	as we were trying to find time to do that.
7	We tried hard at the beginning
8	especially to kind of be formal in terms of writing
9	letters and kind of put some, I guess, contractual
10	pressure to say, Hey, we're missing this
11	documentation. These are the implications.
12	As we got closer and closer and the
13	pressure built up, we got less good at writing
14	those letters. What else?
15	CARLY PEDDLE: Why is that?
16	JUSTIN BULPITT: Why? I think, you
17	know, my I think at a certain point in time,
18	there was a decision that we're going to go live in
19	September and all hands to the you know, all
20	hands to the deck.
21	And it was a bit of a message coming
22	from RTM, you know, let's work on fixing the issues
23	together and, you know, not worry about the
24	contractual piece, but let's focus on fixing the
25	issues.

1 But also the pressure from everyone 2 coming from the City, the City on the Alstom 3 rolling stock side, the OLRTC, RTM, everybody had 4 this focus that we have to go live, and we were 5 spending all our time of all our day getting ready б to go live and not really, you know, writing 7 letters. 8 What explanation, if CARLY PEDDLE: 9 any, were you given for not getting access to the 10 line? 11 JUSTIN BULPITT: The explanation was --12 I mean, you have to remember also that OLRTC has a 13 lot of construction that they have to do, so 14 typically it was we need -- we're doing repairs on 15 this area of the land, so we take priority. That 16 was probably the overwhelming reason. 17 CARLY PEDDLE: Would that -- was that 18 overlap between the construction and when 19 maintenance would need access to the line, was that 20 contemplated in the initial schedule, or was that 21 due to the compression of the timeline? 22 JUSTIN BULPITT: It's due to the 23 compression because typically you would build --24 you would build the line, and then you would have 25 that period of testing.

1 During that period of testing, you 2 know, there's no more construction. You have that 3 opportunity to do inspections and training and 4 preparation in the three to five months beforehand. 5 But when OLRT are also trying to finish the 6 construction at the same time, that's -- that 7 compression is what created it. 8 CARLY PEDDLE: Why did you need access 9 to the line? What information would that have 10 given you? 11 JUSTIN BULPITT: It would -- by 12 visually inspecting the line, it would give us --13 it would give us access to the condition -- to 14 understand the condition, to understand what --15 well, first of all, it would -- it would help us 16 have an understanding of is this line in a good 17 condition, has a good chance of running 18 successfully? That's the first -- that was the 19 first criteria. 20 The second having access -- I mean, 21 one, it helps our guys train because now -- you 22 know, it's one thing to have a PowerPoint, but now 23 if you've actually got access, you can inspect. 24 You can actually see physically, okay, this is what 25 it means. This is -- you know, today we're going

1 to do maintenance of a switch, and we can all --2 you know, we can all have a -- if you've got no 3 access, you can't actually do that. Those are 4 probably the main -- the main points. 5 It may be difficult to CARLY PEDDLE: 6 say, but can you speak to any impact that had on 7 maintenance activities? 8 JUSTIN BULPITT: Not having access. 9 CARLY PEDDLE: Yeah. 10 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah. It certainly makes it -- I mean, it's frustrating. That's the 11 12 first -- the first feeling. I think what it did 13 was it prevented some of our people getting trained 14 as well as they could have done. I think it did 15 impact our training. I think it certainly didn't 16 help from a level of trust because it -- you know, 17 for us it's, well, why aren't we getting access? 18 What are they trying to hide. 19 I think it impacted us that if we had 20 been able to inspect the line properly, I think we 21 would have been -- especially from a track 22 standpoint. You know, there -- clearly there were 23 deficiencies to the track. 24 And I say that because even before 25 revenue service, OLRTC did a lot of track

1	replacement, so when we were trying to get access
2	in, say, May or June or March, you know, and we
3	weren't allowed, that was coming through our minds,
4	and there was a lot of track replacement in July
5	and August, which kind of confirmed what we were
6	and even after.
7	So maybe there you know, maybe any
8	track replacements in 2020 or 2021, that was the
9	same thing, but even before revenue service, there
10	was a lot of track work that was being done.
11	And having had access, we would have
12	known better, okay, this is a condition of the
13	track. These you know, this is what we need
14	we can prepare for that. There's time to prepare
15	as well.
16	CARLY PEDDLE: What was Alstom's
17	approach to staffing and maintenance team?
18	JUSTIN BULPITT: It so in terms
19	of let's start with a bid. So in the bidding
20	standpoint, we had a plan that we would have some
21	key management positions in starting with the
22	project manager in 2016.
23	Typically, you would maybe start to
24	staff a year before revenue service, but we chose
25	to staff with the project manager in two years

1	because, you know, it was a big project. We wanted
2	to we wanted to get it right.
3	And then I think in the first year, we
4	have good management, the key management roles that
5	were hired, and then probably from around July,
6	August of 2017, we started the process to hire the
7	maintenance technicians.
8	We sized the maintenance technicians
9	and the team according to the according to
10	one according to our experience on other
11	projects, but always in view of a normal operation.
12	And in a sense that was important
13	because the reality was, you know, the start of
14	service wasn't a normal operation.
15	So we didn't initially staff to manage
16	all these work-arounds. We didn't staff expecting
17	a high level of we didn't plan initially our
18	staffing expecting a high level of problems and
19	bugs. That would need to still be worked through.
20	We also in the infrastructure, we
21	had initially planned to have a 24/5 operation, 24
22	hours a day for 5 days a week, and be on call for
23	2. It was clear when I took more hands-on in,
24	like, March that that wasn't going to work, and so
25	we increased the number of staffing from 20 to 28

1 for the system. 2 It was also clear that we needed more 3 management reinforcement, so as well as Richard 4 France, hired a deputy project manager, hired an 5 operations manager from the UK to bring more б experience, hired some mainten -- we didn't plan to 7 have a dedicated maintenance supervision per shift 8 per type. 9 So we had an LRV and a systems 10 supervisor on shift three shifts a week for the --11 each for seven days. So we ended up having, I 12 think, four supervisors for LRV and four 13 supervisors for infrastructure. That wasn't 14 contemplated initially. 15 CARLY PEDDLE: And when were those 16 roles added? 17 JUSTIN BULPITT: Probably somewhere 18 between May and -- or progressively from, I think, 19 May until September. 20 CARLY PEDDLE: Of 2019. 21 JUSTIN BULPITT: Of 2019, yeah, for the 22 supervisors, yeah. And for the extra eight 23 technicians, yeah, May to August of 2019. 24 Were all of these CARLY PEDDLE: 25 positions added prior to revenue service?

1 JUSTIN BULPITT: The only ones that 2 maybe weren't were we may have not fully staffed 3 the supervisors by revenue service. I don't recall 4 exactly. We certainly had some, but we may not 5 have had all of them. 6 CARLY PEDDLE: How did your -- how --7 if it did, how did your staffing level change over 8 time up until you finished your role in December 9 2019?10 JUSTIN BULPITT: Well, from -- what 11 kind of time should we start? Maybe if we say --12 well, let's back up a little bit because some of 13 the -- some of the -- because we didn't know when 14 revenue service would start, you know, we had 15 planned to start six months before revenue service 16 hiring. 17 So the first time in May 2018 -- like I 18 said, in the summer of 2017, we started the process 19 to hire, and maybe we hired a few people, and then 20 when it was clear that the contract was going to be 21 delayed, we stopped hiring people, and we started 22 hiring them again. 23 I think there was a decision for the 24 November 2018 that we were going to hire a full 25 complement of staff, and I think RTM asked us to

1	because there was such uncertainty of when
2	substantial completion would occur.
3	So it was like even if it's unlikely
4	in November 2018, we want you to staff fully, and
5	we'll pay you the fee for, like, less than six
6	months or less than one month of notice.
7	So we had a staffing level that was
8	almost that felt almost complete, let's say, at
9	Christmas of 2018. And then we went through a
10	really bad winter where we saw that the effects of
11	the snow were severe, and we needed to reenforce in
12	terms of temporary labour, in terms of
13	subcontracting labour for snow clearance, because
14	we had agreed to support OLRTC in the snow
15	clearance during before revenue service.
16	In terms of major staffing in events,
17	there was maybe more requirement on the rolling
18	stock side because of the deficiencies in terms
19	to mitigate for the cameras, to have somebody on
20	the stations to mitigate for doors, to have
21	somebody on the train.
22	So that was a significant hiring that
23	they had to do. That kind of crossed over into the
24	maintenance piece so that we kind of managed.
25	There was a significant number of

56

1 you know, on the rolling stock side, they had a 2 significant number of modifications. People -- you 3 know, retrofit technicians that they had to hire. 4 We had -- they had a significant number 5 of commissioning and warranty technicians, and the 6 number increased and increased and increased. 7 From a maintenance standpoint, I think 8 that we -- like, we reenforced some positions. Τ 9 don't think we drastically at that time had a 10 significant increase in the number of people 11 because there was a heavy compensation from the 12 rolling stock. 13 No, that's not true. We did have -- we 14 were asked to provide additional quideway 15 technicians so that they could sit by the switches 16 in case the switches got disturbed to support the 17 start of revenue service. 18 So I think on both the east and the 19 west, we were having to put extra technicians. And 20 that was jointly done with RTM, so I think RTM 21 actually hired some people, and we managed the 22 staff 23 CARLY PEDDLE: After revenue service, 24 did Alstom add any additional staff to the 25 maintenance team?

Τ

1	JUSTIN BULPITT: I'm sure they did.
2	After I left, I'm sure they did. I don't have
3	really direct in the one or two months
4	afterwards, after between start of revenue
5	service and when I stopped being involved in the
6	project, if we hired, maybe it was one or two
7	people. It wasn't a lot of people.
8	CARLY PEDDLE: I'll ask you one more
9	question, and then it might be a good time to take
10	our break.
11	Do you recall anyone from RTM, OC
12	Transpo, any of the other organizations suggesting
13	that Alstom needs a far greater number of staff
14	members on the maintenance team prior to revenue
15	service?
16	JUSTIN BULPITT: Yes. It was there
17	was some concern raised by the RTM executives.
18	CARLY PEDDLE: Who in particular?
19	JUSTIN BULPITT: So the person who I
20	remember the most saying it was Mario Guerra, but
21	it may be there were others on the executives that
22	made similar comments. And this would have been at
23	the end of July, beginning of August, that kind of
24	time frame, as we were starting trial running.
25	And also I do remember I remember

1 John Manconi making the comment. I just don't 2 remember if it was in August or in September but 3 around that -- or even maybe in early October, 4 around that kind of time frame, he also had made --5 expressed a statement that there needed to be more 6 Alstom technicians, Alstom maintenance. 7 CARLY PEDDLE: And what was your view 8 as to that suggestion? 9 JUSTIN BULPITT: I think -- I guess I 10 have -- two or three things come to mind. So the 11 first -- the first one is, you know, we had staff 12 for normal activity, which is what I said, and so 13 we were sure -- so, sorry, let me structure my 14 thoughts. 15 The first thing, I don't think that --16 you know, with the different Alstom entities, so 17 I'm not sure that they really took into account the 18 fact that there was a whole retrofit team from the 19 rolling stock people that was supporting the 20 maintenance. I'm not really sure that that was 21 taken into account because the maintenance activity 22 was in the MSF 2, and all the retrofit activity was 23 in the main MSF. 24 So often, especially in that early

<sup>25</sup> stage, they were looking at the maintenance team,

1	and they weren't looking at the wholistic team. I
2	think that was part that was my first reaction.
3	My second reaction is that that's
4	where I was going to at the beginning where you
5	know, we're staffed for normal activity, so in the
6	normal activity in a particular shift, you know,
7	for 34 vehicles, you may only have I think we
8	had 20 maintenance technicians roughly speaking.
9	I'm thinking from the top of my head.
10	So 20 maintenance technicians over a
11	seven-day period over three shifts means on any one
12	shift, you might only have three or four
13	technicians and maybe two cleaners.
14	So it's not uncom you know, it would
15	be typical to staff, to have two or three
16	maintenance technicians and one or two cleaners at
17	any one shift.
18	So if you can imagine on a day where
19	something has gone wrong and, you know, have this
20	big picture of, you know, some a couple of times
21	that John Manconi had made comments, you know, I
22	walked through the Alstom maintenance facility on a
23	Sunday afternoon, and there were nobody there, and
24	it's you know, you guys are not serious and
25	blah, blah.

1 You know, that -- I can understand why 2 someone would -- you know, if you're only planning 3 to have two or three technicians and one or two 4 cleaners in a -- in a massive -- you know, it's a 5 big facility when you count the storage shed plus 6 the maintenance facility. It may not look like you 7 have many people there, but that's a normal --8 that's a normal activity, and it doesn't take into 9 account all the retrofit people. 10 Clearly, on the weekend, we were a bit 11 understaffed, and clearly when there were 12 problems -- and typically in those early days of 13 July and August, problems occurred on a Sunday as 14 everyone is getting ready for the pressure of 15 Monday morning, and that was -- you know, we had to 16 change our staffing shift to kind of reenforce 17 that. There was an element of understaffing. 18 The other thing is -- you know, it's 19 not like you can walk down the street and collect, 20 you know, four, five, six, ten maintenance experts 21 as you walk down the street, especially warranty --22 you know, especially at this time we're, you know, 23 troubleshooting technicians. Really we're looking 24 for commissioning and warranty technicians, so 25 we're troubleshooting experts.

1 Even if you took somebody from a 2 different project and brought them over to Ottawa, 3 you would still need two or three or four weeks of 4 familiarization on the product in order to be 5 useful. 6 So, you know, you can make the 7 statement at the beginning of August that you don't 8 have enough people. It doesn't mean the next day 9 you're going to be able to find, you know, ten 10 experts in order to come and solve the issue. 11 There. So, again, maybe too much of an 12 answer, but... 13 No, that's great. Thank CARLY PEDDLE: 14 Did Alstom, to your knowledge, make any vou. 15 efforts to hire more commission or warranty 16 technicians or to fill those roles given the 17 challenges that were presented early on? 18 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah, absolutely. 19 Absolutely. I mean, we tried -- I mean, I would 20 say, you know, maybe some have worked for Alstom 21 now for 26 years, so, you know, Alstom is -- stood 22 behind its project. It stands behind its product, 23 and it brought resources to solve the problems. 24 So maybe I take a step back. The 25 initial plan that we had with the rolling stock

1 group was that the testing -- the testing 2 technicians of the rolling stock would -- and the 3 experts in the rolling stock would then transition 4 to become commissioning and warranty technicians 5 for the maintenance. That was the planned 6 progression. 7 So also, as the build compressed and 8 they were finishing the build and then doing the 9 retrofits, it meant that the people that we were 10 relying on to become commissioning and warranty 11 technicians were still being used to be -- they 12 were test technicians. So that caused a problem, 13 and we were late in staffing because of that. 14 Then as we saw -- and I'm thinking 15 really in terms of the February through May time 16 frame. As we started to have trains go out and 17 align and needing to have warranty and 18 commissioning technicians, we -- our staffing 19 levels -- our staffing plan increased. 20 So we -- I think we initially maybe 21 thought we would need 4, and then we said 8, and 22 then we said 12, and then we said 16. And maybe 23 there was more than 16 by the time we got to 24 revenue service. I don't -- I don't recall, but I 25 remember us going 4 to 8 to 12 to 16 in shift

63

1	coverage.
2	So we were always looking for more
3	people, and we were looking not only within the
4	rolling stock arena, we were looking outside in the
5	Ottawa region. We were looking across, you know,
6	Ontario. We were looking on other projects.
7	At one time, we brought people in from
8	other Alstom entities within the U.S., from
9	New Jersey, from New Castle. We brought a
10	commissioning and warranty manager across who spent
11	pretty much most of his time from January of 2019
12	through to maybe March of 2020 in Ottawa.
13	So, yes, we responded to the need, and
14	we tried to hire as quickly and efficiently as we
15	could, and when there were specific problems on the
16	different systems like TCMS, like CBS, we hired the
17	people we brought the people, the experts from
18	the different rolling entities to kind of solve the
19	issue.
20	So I think we did respond. You know,
21	there was there was never a time when I was told
22	you can't hire anybody. The difficulty for me was
23	knowing what the need would be, and then when the
24	need turned out to be really great, it's how
25	quickly can you get them and where can you get them

1 from. 2 CARLY PEDDLE: Okay. I think now is 3 probably a good time to take a break. Why don't we 4 take a break for 15 minutes, so you can come back 5 at about 12 to 3. Does that work? 6 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah. Okav. 7 -- RECESSED AT 2:33 P.M. --8 -- RESUMED AT 2:47 P.M. --9 CARLY PEDDLE: I just want to clarify 10 one aspect of what you said earlier. So you were 11 talking about access to the track for Alstom 12 employees. You're talking about the actual line; 13 right? 14 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah. Yes. 15 CARLY PEDDLE: Was there another -- a 16 test track that you're aware of? 17 JUSTIN BULPITT: No. No. From what I 18 remember, the -- no, it's a short word. I mean, 19 there's the yard that people could use, but, no, on 20 the line, there's not a separate test track. 21 Eastbound I think they were using initially as a 22 testing area, but no. 23 CARLY PEDDLE: At the time of 24 substantial completion, were you aware of a 25 document called the Minor Deficiencies List?

1 JUSTIN BULPITT: No. So let me --2 yeah, I'm trying to -- no, I mean, there should --3 I'm aware that there should have definitely been a 4 Minor Deficiencies List. I'm not -- I'm pretty 5 sure we weren't given a copy of it. 6 So maybe that -- you know, that's maybe 7 a clearer answer. Yes, I'm aware there should have 8 been a list. I wasn't -- I'm pretty sure that we 9 didn't get a copy of it. 10 CARLY PEDDLE: Okay. Did you have any 11 role in trial running? 12 I was present during JUSTIN BULPITT: 13 the trial running phase. My role that I -- at 14 least that I saw was to support my team and to kind 15 of run a bit of interference, if you like, with --16 because, I mean, if you can picture that this is a 17 time of great stress; it's a time of great focus. 18 And so in the -- in the yard control 19 centre, the YCC, where the launch is kind of 20 reviewed, especially at the beginning of the trial 21 running, there would be the normal people. Like, 22 the maintenance supervisor would be there, and the 23 YCC people would be there. 24 But also, at the beginning, there 25 was -- RTM was there. The RTM executive were

1	there. The OLRT executives were there. The City
2	had representatives there. They had advisors who
3	were present.
4	So I saw my role really as being there
5	to kind of train take some of the focus, answer
6	some of the questions if there were any from those
7	kind of executives, management and try and give
8	enough space for my team to do what they were
9	supposed to do.
10	CARLY PEDDLE: So you were present
11	during trial running, but you were not tasked with
12	evaluating?
13	JUSTIN BULPITT: No, that's correct.
14	That's correct. I wasn't.
15	CARLY PEDDLE: What level of input did
16	you have as to how if any, as to how maintenance
17	issues were characterized during trial running?
18	JUSTIN BULPITT: No yeah, I don't
19	think I had any influence as to how the evaluation
20	was made.
21	CARLY PEDDLE: Did you have any
22	awareness that some aspects of trial running were
23	demonstrated through pretrial running?
24	JUSTIN BULPITT: No. No, that's
25	that's news to me.

1 CARLY PEDDLE: What information was 2 available to you heading into trial running 3 regarding potential issues that may arise during 4 trial running from a maintenance perspective? 5 JUSTIN BULPITT: In terms of -- I don't 6 think anybody was saying, Oh, by the way, you guys 7 need to be aware of this. 8 I mean, obviously from -- in terms of 9 performance, from what I recall, obviously the 10 first starting point was getting the required 11 number of trains out in the morning. That was 12 the -- that was the first and main focus. 13 And I would say generally, if you were 14 able to get all 15 trains out in service, then it 15 was much more likely to be a good day. So that was 16 the first focus, and really that was -- yeah, that 17 was the first objective. 18 So obviously all -- a lot of the issues 19 that were present before were concerns during, so 20 communication of the train, you know, loss of 21 communication, interaction with the VOBC, that was 22 a major concern. The zone controller, that was a 23 The brakes and the doors of the vehicle concern. 24 were a concern. 25

The -- I don't recall if in trial

1 running the GIDS system was actually operating or 2 switched off, but it would have been an area of 3 concern because there were lots of quide or 4 intrusion kind of either errors or, you know, false 5 errors, if you like, false positives. So that was 6 an area of concern. The SCADA was an area of 7 concern. 8 These are more operational concerns 9 rather than actual, you know, concerns about doing 10 maintenance. You know, these -- you know, these 11 are concerns affecting performance. 12 Does that make sense, that distinction? 13 It wasn't like we were expecting to have to repair 14 something. It was more that these were concerns 15 that it wasn't going to work, and we would have to 16 do some troubleshooting or some fixing to make it 17 work. 18 CARLY PEDDLE: So what actions or what 19 was done to prepare Alstom's maintenance team for 20 that troubleshooting? 21 JUSTIN BULPITT: There were two main 22 aspects, I would say. So first of all, there were 23 the things that were -- you know, that stay within 24 our control. So we had -- obviously we had the 25 warranty and commissioning technicians. We have

1 our maintenance crews. We had supervisors. We had 2 management supervision at each shift to kind of 3 support decision-making. 4 We had the retrofit team, and kind of 5 that had a management supervision to deal with any 6 kind of issues that had come up during service that 7 needed to be dealt with overnight. 8 We have the track technicians and 9 the -- you know, the -- all the maintenance 10 technicians there. We train them as much as we 11 We make sure we had supervision. We made can. 12 sure that we had -- they were supported. 13 And then also, OLRTC provided --14 especially during trial running, they provided 15 additional support. So there was one or two people 16 in Thales who were present in the YCC who were 17 following all the Thales issues and especially 18 during the -- entering into revenue service, there 19 was someone, you know, with our -- we had -- one of 20 our technicians, our kind of star troubleshooters, 21 if you like, he was in the YCC, and one of the 22 Thales star troubleshooters was in the YCC, so they 23 together were kind of monitoring -- you know, they 24 were the ones following the entrance into service 25 and resolving any issues as they were coming up.

1 OLRTC then also -- so they had people 2 on hand to respond to SCADA issues, to respond to 3 network issues. 4 As far as I remember, those were, yeah, 5 the kind of reenforcement of personnel during that 6 trial running time, which went away as soon as we 7 had substantial completion. So the level of 8 support that we had from trial running was 9 different from the level of support that we had as 10 we started revenue service. 11 CARLY PEDDLE: Can you explain a bit 12 more about that? 13 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah. So, for 14 example, you know, one -- the interaction between 15 the Thales system and the Alstom system is critical 16 from that standpoint, and so entering into service, 17 there were a lot of -- I don't know if I say 18 problems or potential problems where, you know, 19 loss of positioning, error messages that were 20 coming up, you know, things that are needing kind 21 of review and attention. And as I said, the Alstom 22 and the Thales technicians, they work very closely 23 during trial running. 24 As we entered revenue service, the 25 Thales technician went back to university and

71

1	wasn't so he was a summer student, went back to
2	class, and the Alstom technician then was left to
3	do the troubleshooting for both the Thales piece
4	and the Alstom piece.
5	So in the long-term, yes, that was
6	something that probably Alstom should be doing for
7	sure, but in the short-term, it was very noticeable
8	the level of support to get revenues to get
9	substantial completion compared to level of support
10	now revenue service has started.
11	I mean, that was one real clear memory
12	that I had. You know, the network management
13	system, again, as soon as after substantial
14	completion, the Alstom technicians really were left
15	to be responsible for the first troubleshooting,
16	and if they didn't have an answer, now they needed
17	to call somebody rather than to actually have
18	there was somebody physically on hand.
19	CARLY PEDDLE: Was the the departure
20	of the Thales technician, was that contemplated?
21	JUSTIN BULPITT: It wasn't expected
22	from us anyway.
23	CARLY PEDDLE: It was not expected?
24	JUSTIN BULPITT: No. No. You know, as
25	I say, it's not like this was a contractual

1 requirement, that we contractually required them to 2 have somebody physically there, but it was a layer 3 of support that suddenly wasn't available and, you 4 know, Thales didn't provide. 5 Through the course of CARLY PEDDLE: 6 trial running, what kind of information were you 7 being provided and from what sources? 8 JUSTIN BULPITT: From what I recall, 9 there would be a -- maybe a day or so lag, and then 10 we would be told that, yes, today was a pass, today 11 was not, or two days would go well. It was a pass 12 yesterday or today, or sometimes I think if there 13 was an issue, we might get some questions on the 14 issue or might get some feedback on something not 15 going well. 16 From what I recall, there was a kind 17 of -- if I remember, there was a 10 o'clock or 18 11 o'clock meeting that RTM and the City, RTG, they 19 would have, and sometimes we would get some 20 indirectly back from RTM that, you know, it was a 21 good meeting. It was going well. There's a 22 problem. But mostly it was that kind of indirect 23 kind of drip feed of... 24 CARLY PEDDLE: Were you able to get 25 information directly from IMIRS?

1 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah. We Yes. 2 could -- we did have access to it. 3 CARLY PEDDLE: And what kind of 4 information does that provide you? 5 JUSTIN BULPITT: So if there was an 6 event, there's a kind of -- I'm trying to remember 7 now. It -- so IMIRS would have -- if there was, 8 like, a service order that was created, it would --9 it would be on IMIRS, and there would be a 10 statement. 11 If there was an event, it would be like 12 a YCC or a TOCC recording of an event. Like, ERO 13 has called to say that he's experiencing overspeed 14 on an LRV, you know, and that information would be 15 on IMIRS, would be recorded, and you'll get to see 16 that. 17 CARLY PEDDLE: Okay. So on any 18 given -- sorry, I didn't mean to cut you off. 19 JUSTIN BULPITT: Also, there would be 20 an IMIRS report that would be -- that you would get 21 the next day. That would have a summary of the 22 kilometres done and actual versus (ph) plan, and if 23 there was an event, you know, like, a failure or 24 loss of kilometres, a reason would be assigned to 25 that loss of kilometres, and we would get that

74

1 information. 2 CARLY PEDDLE: Were there challenges in 3 performing maintenance during trial running? 4 JUSTIN BULPITT: I'm trying to --5 nothing -- in terms of a general -- a general 6 statement, I would say no different challenges 7 during trial running than there were before and 8 after trial running. 9 I can't recall a specific event, but we 10 were -- we were consistently, you know, plaqued 11 with issues with sanding, issues in terms of the 12 sanding gun, so those kind of typical machinery 13 problems that we had, that we had before and we had 14 after we had during trial running. 15 I would say one thing that also 16 occurred kind of at the same time that I recall is 17 when everybody had said the system was complete, 18 which was somewhere in middle of July, it was 19 almost like a switch was flipped. Everybody said, 20 okay, my trains are complete. My signalling is 21 complete. 22 The next day trial running started, but 23 the City had said that there were -- that the 24 standard of the vehicle -- up until that point, the 25 City hadn't really made any comments on the

1	standard of the vehicle. And what I mean by that
2	were, you know, if doors were and I think we
3	had there were problems with the locks on the
4	doors, for example.
5	So during that period of time, before
6	trial running, it was okay to have a door that
7	didn't shut properly or didn't lock properly. Now,
8	suddenly for trial running, that was important.
9	So there was there was a week or two
10	where all that kind of other stuff needed to be
11	done that you know, let's say the system
12	reliability issues were fixed, but there was all
13	the cosmetic, you know, door locking, windows,
14	brake and seat needing recovering, that kind of
15	thing needed to be done.
16	Also at the at the same time,
17	because the MSF had only just been handed over also
18	at that point, end of June, July, that kind of time
19	frame, we were faced with a backlog of maintenance,
20	preventative maintenance, so we had to, during the
21	night, recover for the backlog of maintenance.
22	And also, all the vehicles needed heavy
23	cleaning and needed to be ready to be no, for
24	revenue service, they needed to be cleaned, in a
25	position to clean.

25

1 So there was a 21-day clean, so I 2 remember those -- you know, 21-day clean and the 3 monthly or one of the inspections we needed to --4 we had a backlog that we needed to do those at the 5 same time as any kind of day-to-day maintenance 6 repair or troubleshooting that came up. 7 So those were all events that were 8 occurring at the same time that trial running was 9 occurring. 10 I do remember a couple of events, but I don't remember if they were doing during trial 11 12 running or just after. There was one time when 13 there was a storm which knocked out a -- something 14 called a WRU, which I don't remember what it stands 15 for, but it affected -- basically it tripped a 16 That meant the zone controller didn't switch. 17 work. 18 And it meant -- it was something like 19 the 4th of September. And it was just -- so it was 20 just after -- it was after substantial completion 21 but before revenue service, and it meant that 22 the -- there was -- like, the whole morning was 23 lost in terms of train activity. 24 And our technicians had to go and

understand why this zone controller wasn't working,

neesonsreporting.com 416.413.7755

o do di	
1	and in the end, we discovered that there was a
2	the storm had tripped the switch and that the SCADA
3	didn't recognize that the switch wasn't working, so
4	there was no alarm in the SCADA.
5	The network management system did
6	recognize that it there was a problem, but, you
7	know, we didn't look at the right time. It was
8	also in the wrong in a bad place, so it
9	wasn't it was, like, in an office around the
10	corner.
11	So that was a problem. There was one
12	time when the that we lost power because of some
13	problem with the hydro, Hydro Ottawa connection, so
14	we had no power for two couple of hours. They
15	were, you know, isolated events like that.
16	CARLY PEDDLE: What was your
17	understanding about what was agreed to between the
18	City and RTG about the system and work left to be
19	done on the vehicles at substantial completion
20	or, sorry, at revenue service?
21	JUSTIN BULPITT: At revenue service. I
22	know that there was during trial running, there
23	was an amendment to allow for only 13 trains to be
24	in service at peak instead of 15 trains.
25	I know at the time that we entered into

24

1 revenue service, there was still the requirement 2 for spotters to be on the station because the CCTV 3 wasn't always picking up -- the driver couldn't be 4 certain that he was getting accurate readings on 5 the CCTV. 6 I think that there was a time -- and I 7 don't remember if it was -- I think it was during 8 revenue service, but it may have been during trial 9 running where -- or, no, it was -- maybe it was 10 after revenue service when there started to be door 11 There was somebody with a driver to -- if issues. 12 the door was locked out, to be able to manually 13 make sure the door was shut so the driver didn't 14 have to get out of the train. 15 But in terms of -- you know, in terms 16 of, like, a punch list or a deficiency list, I'm 17 not -- again, I'm not sure if there was a specific 18 list at revenue service. I think there was a list 19 at the trial running stage where there was, like, a 20 punch list. Yeah, I recall there was a punch list 21 that the Rolling Stock guys had to complete. Ι 22 don't remember exactly what was on it. 23 CARLY PEDDLE: You mentioned that there

<sup>25</sup> cause any concerns from Alstom's perspective?

was an amendment from 15 to 13 trains.

Did that

1 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yes. You know, in 2 terms of maintenance, yeah, for sure because the 3 agreement -- in the end, what the agreement meant 4 was that although only having 13 trains wasn't a 5 system event, it meant that we were -- with 13 in 6 peak, we were always going to have a defect, you 7 know, deductions for vehicle availability. 8 It also meant that it -- that any 9 further failures were quickly -- if we started off 10 at 98 percent, you could quickly get to 95 or 94 11 percent when the deductions really mounted up. So 12 that was definitely a concern. 13 You know, I guess from a maintenance 14 standpoint, there's a concern generally that, you 15 know, the -- obviously then there was an 16 expectation that this situation would be resolved 17 quickly to get back to 15, so that puts -- you 18 know, we need pressure on the teams. 19 And I suppose to a degree there's a 20 concern that -- you know, we're expected to -- you 21 know, if that -- that was the -- a relaxation on 22 the trains that had a penalty and what other -- you 23 know, what other relaxation -- what other things 24 were to happen that we were still required to 25 achieve a level of performance, but, you know,

80

1 there were -- there were other relaxations. 2 CARLY PEDDLE: Describe your view as to 3 the City's approach to the project agreement 4 requirements after the system opened to revenue 5 service. 6 JUSTIN BULPITT: Remembering that I 7 left in November of 2019, so -- yeah, 2019, so it 8 was a bit frustrating really actually and maybe 9 after it grew even more frustrating, but it was --10 it was a bit frustrating because -- maybe starting 11 off with kind of more anecdotes. 12 There was an extreme amount of pressure 13 and stress from mid-June all the way through to 14 revenue service in order to meet -- you know, be 15 ready regardless, and so it was guite a surprise 16 then as we were all trying to help be ready. 17 But one of the frustrating things was 18 that the IMIRS system -- so up until then, nobody 19 really made a -- you know, we had used IMIRS to 20 find out event defaults let's say -- no, problems 21 and use it for information. 22 Suddenly, from the beginning of 23 September, it was used as a basis to determine the 24 KPMs for -- you know, what was a service failure 25 and a quality failure.

81

1 You know, so even to the point -- and I 2 know this is maybe before -- sometime before 3 revenue service, so that period of time even from 4 the 1st of September, was before the 14th of 5 September, but suddenly we were on the clock, and б we weren't even told that we were on the clock 7 until something like the 4th or -- you know, I 8 remember on the Monday being told, Oh, yeah, by the 9 way, over the weekend, there was substantial 10 completion, so we're now in -- we're now live. 11 And it was then a significant surprise 12 two weeks into September to find -- you know, the 13 City saying to RTM, Oh, by the way, there's -- I 14 can't remember exactly what the number is. Let's 15 say 8, 9, 10, 12, 15 million dollars of penalties, 16 service failure and quality failure penalties that 17 had been racked up under the system that nobody 18 really had been kind of following before that. 19 That was -- yeah, that was a -- that 20 was a shock. And I think my initial feeling was 21 that I expected it to be kind of washed out through 22 common sense, if you like, that it would be obvious

that there were -- a lot of the things were -- I
mean, I remember some of it was just lack of
discipline, lack of awareness of the process.

1 You know, the City had the 2 responsibility to log the defect, so they logged 3 the defect. There was no means of communication 4 that the clock had started. We weren't following to check to see if there were any defects. 5 Ιt 6 could have been closed but not closed on IMIRS, or 7 it was -- no. 8 So there were some really, really minor 9 things that over -- and everything was logged by 10 the City as super -- you know, super urgent, you 11 know, maximum penalty per hour of delay. 12 So if you -- if something was logged on 13 a Friday night at 11 o'clock, and you arrived on 14 Monday morning and found it out, you could already 15 have a quarter of a million, half a million, a 16 million dollars of penalties. 17 And it was just totally out of context 18 of, you know, what was supposed to be very minor 19 things that needed attention suddenly became, you 20 know, more than our revenue for the month of an 21 issue. 22 And at the time, this was just as we 23 were starting revenue service. It was at the time 24 when actually entering into revenue service was 25 quite -- it was quite successful.

1 On the day of revenue service and 2 that -- and the -- and the first week or two after 3 revenue service, everybody was pretty happy with 4 the entry into service. The fact that it was guite 5 a smooth start was positive. So our relationship with the City was б 7 quite a positive one in terms of they were happy 8 that we had started. Well, it wasn't -- it wasn't 9 adversarial for those first week or two. 10 So then to be in -- you know, then to 11 have that kind of this is a good first week of 12 starting but by the way you owe us \$10 million of 13 penalties for things that nobody's really been 14 following, it was -- it was difficult. 15 And I guess I had an expectation that 16 they would just be kind of washed out or solved, 17 but they weren't. And then obviously when real 18 problems did start to occur, like, on, you know, 19 the beginning of October when -- you know, when the 20 train failed and the stations -- the buses went 21 away and the stations got overloaded and suddenly 22 we're in the newspaper, you know, in the press and 23 the news and the stations are crowded, and everyone 24 is shouting, and they're storming and walking to 25 the...

> neesonsreporting.com 416.413.7755

1 You know, now there's a real problem, 2 and there's 8 or \$10 million of penalties hasn't 3 been resolved and is -- has suddenly become a heavy 4 weight that is hanging over us now because it's 5 like a negotiation point that you guys have got 6 this major, major penalty, and you're still not 7 delivering in terms of performance. 8 I don't know where -- I feel like I 9 drifted off a bit, but... 10 CARLY PEDDLE: So let me just ask you a 11 follow-up. So what was your understanding of why 12 that shift took place? Is it simply because now 13 you were in revenue service, so you were to 14 perform? 15 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah, I mean, I quess 16 contractually, yeah, that was the point. The clock 17 had started, so yes. You know, in one level, it's 18 not unreasonable to say the clock has started, so 19 there was an expectation to perform. 20 I think it was in the context of 21 knowing all the things -- all the issues and, you 22 know, things that we had to overcome in order to 23 get to that point. 24 You know, one -- if it was so 25 important, we should have had -- you know, we

Т

1	should have sat down with the City and with RTM
2	RTM should have sat down with the City and said,
3	Okay, when we start revenue service or when the
4	clock starts, this is how we're going to record it,
5	and by the way, this is important, and we you
6	know, let's all have a common understanding of what
7	are the KPMs, what is a major, what is a minor,
8	what is a medium, how are we going to record it,
9	how are we going to stop it so that we have a clear
10	understanding and level playing field before it
11	starts, not kind of discover after two weeks that
12	this was the process and by the way, you know,
13	you're already in debt for half a year's revenue
14	kind of. That, I think, was the frustrating thing.
15	CARLY PEDDLE: And did you or do you
16	have any information, direct information, about
17	others from Alstom approaching, you know, the City
18	or RTM in order to facilitate that kind of
19	discussion?
20	JUSTIN BULPITT: So during September
21	and the beginning part of October, there were
22	discussions between the City and RTM, and I was
23	present with a few of them with Claude Jacob.

You know, another problem was that starting -- you know, there are a lot -- there were

1 a lot of service orders, a lot of data that you had 2 to troll through in order to start to make sense of 3 it and combat it. 4 So we spent -- we spent -- and some of 5 my project team spent some time to try and analyze 6 the data and come up with buckets of common themes 7 and then -- sort of buckets of rationalizations of 8 either why we considered this not to be relevant or 9 we considered this to be a construction defect or, 10 you know, yes, we accepted that this was something 11 that we should have done something about. 12 So I was involved in that process, both 13 getting my team to do some analysis and also to try 14 and support Claude when he sat with the City. And 15 I don't remember exactly who in the City he talked 16 Yeah, I don't remember his name. to. 17 CARLY PEDDLE: You mentioned that 18 you -- going into revenue service, you assumed --19 I'm paraphrasing, so please correct me if I'm 20 wrong, that there would be some understanding that 21 these minor defects would essentially just be, you 22 know, not seen as actual failures, be given a level 23 of -- I think it's referred to as a bedding-in 24 period or I've heard, you know, burn-out for 25 vehicles, that kind of thing, just the idea that

1 the new system is going to have errors. 2 What was the basis of your belief that 3 that was going to be the approach? 4 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah, I mean, there 5 is -- maybe if I go back, for sure there's no б contractual statement that says there is a 7 bedding-in period and, you know, you're going to 8 get a benefit. 9 I think -- I think for me, if -- the 10 fact that it seemed -- you know, I wouldn't --11 there was a decision to start revenue service in 12 September. I mean, really when -- you know, for 13 me, if I think through, in mid-June as I was 14 saying, you know, at a point where the systems are 15 not mature, the MSF hasn't properly been handed 16 over, all these things, you know, there's a lack of 17 documentation, there's a pretty decent -- you know, 18 the City has people monitoring all our activities, 19 so it's not -- you know, it's not like we're in a 20 complete vacuum. 21 It's clear that we're not in a very 22 mature, ready condition, and yet all the executives 23 are holding -- there's a decision that there will 24 be revenue service. There will be substantial 25 completion in August. Revenue service is going to

88

1 be in September. We're going to make this happen. 2 It -- almost, like, you know, maybe 3 naively made me think, well, you know, against the 4 backdrop of this isn't, you know -- this isn't a 5 normal thing to do. Surely there would be an acceptance that if we're going to achieve this, if б 7 the City is going to make some deviations, some 8 allowances, some relaxations in order to achieve 9 it, then it seems unfair then to turn around and 10 say, ah, but you haven't -- you know, we've relaxed 11 it so you can achieve service, but now we're going 12 to penalize you because it's not perfect. Well, 13 like, no kidding it's not -- it's not perfect. 14 I think, you know, as uncontractual and 15 maybe naive as that was, I think that was the basis 16 of a lot for ... 17 Who applies codes or an CARLY PEDDLE: 18 assessment of severity to work orders at the 19 outset? 20 JUSTIN BULPITT: Right. So at the 21 start at revenue service, that was done by the 22 From what I remember, at some point in City. 23 October or November, there was a push by RTM to 24 take care of that responsibility. 25 And then the City would kind of

89

validate if you, like, agree with the definition,
but at the start, it was the City because in
September and I think in part of October, I
remember, like, 90 something percent of all the
orders were major, severe.
CARLY PEDDLE: And so since RTM has
taken over, you've seen a decrease in the
characterization of work orders as severe?
JUSTIN BULPITT: I think so. I believe
it was taken over more or less at the time as I
started to phase out of the project, so I couldn't
speak too much, but, yes, my understanding is it
should have. That was the expected result anyway.
CARLY PEDDLE: Has Alstom, to your
knowledge, received any maintenance payments since
revenue service started?
JUSTIN BULPITT: I understand that we
may have got some money in March maybe. We got
maybe a few hundred thousand. Of 2022.
CARLY PEDDLE: You mentioned some door
issues, and I understand this was probably around
the time that you were also phasing out.
So, you know, please tell me if you're
not in the best place to answer these questions,
but what's your understanding of the cause of some

1 of the door failures? 2 JUSTIN BULPITT: I mean, so what I 3 remember is that the -- I remember in October that 4 there was a few incidences which were quite public 5 incidences of passengers holding back the doors 6 forcefully or putting up an obstacle in and that 7 overloading the system, causing the door system to 8 fail. 9 So on one level, that was a starting 10 point. You know, passengers -- let's say 11 uneducated passengers who were being rough on the 12 doors. That sounds nice as a vehicle supplier. 13 And I remember there being kind of all this 14 advertising campaign and news things and people 15 saying, No, no, please don't. 16 So that was one aspect. Obviously 17 there was some work done by Alstom since then to 18 reenforce -- to do some modifications to reenforce 19 the system so that it was less likely to fail when 20 it was being pushed -- held back. 21 From what I recall, it had something to 22 do with if there was too much resistance to the 23 motor, that it would trip -- like, trip a switch or 24 trip something so that it would go out of service. 25 Then the driver would be expected to do

1	a reset, would have to do a reset and have to do it
2	in the right order, and if you didn't do it in the
3	right order, then suddenly it was out of service,
4	and that was it. Game over. You would have to go
5	back to the yard and be reset.
6	That was probably an oversimplification
7	dramatically, but, you know, that it started
8	with passenger some reenforcements either to the
9	sensitive edges or to the motors to stop them from
10	being (indiscernible).
11	CARLY PEDDLE: Were there any other
12	causes or modifications that Alstom made to respond
13	to the door issues that you're aware of?
14	JUSTIN BULPITT: I don't recall the
15	details. I do know that we had we had
16	technicians from Faiveley physically on our site to
17	deal with door issues.
18	I think there may have been more than
19	just those two things that I've described, but I
20	don't really know the details enough to comment.
21	CARLY PEDDLE: I don't know if you're
22	going to have any knowledge of this, but do you
23	have any awareness of an agreement to introduce
24	Type 1 braking?
25	JUSTIN BULPITT: I have a small
1	

1	familiarity with that. So what I understand is
2	let me what I understand is that in certain
3	weather conditions, either heavy wind or cold or
4	snow, Type 1 I think refers to the fact that it
5	tells the signalling system to slow down, not to be
6	as aggressive in terms of acceleration and
7	deceleration.
8	So obviously the train is not moving so
9	quickly, so journey time takes longer, so overall
10	in the day, there's less kilometres.
11	CARLY PEDDLE: Do you have any
12	knowledge about the wheel flats that the vehicles
13	experienced?
14	JUSTIN BULPITT: I know that there I
15	remember there being a number of wheel flats and
16	also, you know, drivers often saying there's a
17	funny noise and us having to send people on board
18	to check to see if there's wheel flats.
19	I would say wheel flats are not
20	uncommon. It's another example of wheel rail and
21	signalling interface. So on other projects, wheel
22	flats occurs when there's let's say something
23	not quite right in terms of that interface.
24	And, again, I'm not I'm not super
25	technical on engineering in terms to say exactly

1 why that is the case, but it is something that, you 2 know, is observed on other projects when you have 3 something not guite right. 4 And usually when I mean not quite right 5 is, like, it can be as simple as some kind of б software modification that would stop it from 7 happening again, that kind of... 8 CARLY PEDDLE: And that's an 9 integration issue? 10 JUSTIN BULPITT: To me, yes. Yeah, 11 because it's -- I mean, the integration from the 12 wheel and the rail, so the wheel wear and the rail 13 is causing a flat, and then what is causing that 14 wheel wear to be too hard is either -- it's either 15 coming -- it's coming from, let's say, a mismatch 16 between the computer programming the train and the 17 signalling system telling the train what to do. 18 Sorry, you know, as a simple example 19 that I try and help me understand is even to the 20 extent that you could have a situation where the 21 signalling is saying accelerate and then you reach 22 a point where the train says I need to emergency 23 brake, then you have an unusual wear of the wheel. 24 CARLY PEDDLE: Do you have any 25 awareness of the causes of the issues with the

1 constant hold supply or the auxiliary power supply 2 units? 3 I am aware -- at least JUSTIN BULPITT: 4 I have a recollection of there being a number of failures in the early part of 2020. So I remember 5 6 an event occurring. I don't -- I can't -- don't 7 really have a familiarity of exactly why. 8 CARLY PEDDLE: Okay. I think I just 9 have a few questions for you. 10 Just back on the information sharing 11 piece, did -- to your knowledge, did Thales request 12 information from Alstom that Alstom did not 13 provide? 14 JUSTIN BULPITT: I'm not aware of that. 15 I'm not aware of any information not being 16 I would say it's maybe a little provided. 17 difficult to comment because probably the 18 information would be coming from the rolling stock 19 quys, but I'm not aware of that being the case. 20 CARLY PEDDLE: Can you speak to how 21 maintenance activities are divided between RTM and 22 Alstom? 23 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah. I mean, RTM is 24 the maintenance contractor, so then in terms of --25 and they subcontracted the vehicle maintenance, so

Τ

1	all vehicle maintenance activities are ours.
2	Inspection of the track is ours.
3	RTM or maybe that has to go the
4	other way around. RTM is responsible for
5	maintaining the stations, and they also the
6	equipment in the yard, like the washing machine,
7	the wheel lathe and the yard itself, the yard
8	facilities.
9	CARLY PEDDLE: Sorry, go ahead.
10	JUSTIN BULPITT: No, go on.
11	CARLY PEDDLE: Has the let's start
12	first with the yard. Has the responsibility of
13	maintaining the equipment there caused any problems
14	from a maintenance perspective?
15	JUSTIN BULPITT: Oh, yeah, absolutely.
16	And, you know, in hindsight, maybe it's not the
17	you know, the most Alstom relies on a working
18	wheel machine to be able to do its maintenance, and
19	if RTM is responsible to you know, if their
20	wheel machine doesn't work, RTM is responsible to
21	fix it, make it work.
22	So when it doesn't work, it's a
23	problem, and it's a problem that affects the
24	maintenance and affects us, and that was a source
25	of contention. Same thing with the sanding

1 equipment, with the washing machine. What else? 2 Those -- even the jacks I think, that 3 we had some difficulty initially with the jacks, 4 the lifting jacks. All those things are needed --5 there can be work-arounds but are needed and cause 6 problems if they're not available. 7 CARLY PEDDLE: Did that have any -- did 8 the unavailability of any of those pieces of 9 equipment have an impact on the ability to perform 10 maintenance? Can you give me examples? 11 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah. So, for 12 example, the wheel -- I mean, the wheel machine is 13 maybe a really visible example. So there's a 14 requirement to do, I think, a 5,000 kilometre 15 inspection, which is really a wheel inspection. 16 And then I think every -- I'm trying to 17 say every three months, maybe three or two months 18 the wheels needed truing on the vehicle. 19 And that can be a whole -- that is --20 typically on the vehicle maybe is an eight-hour 21 activity, so it takes the vehicle out of service 22 for a day. 23 So when you can imagine that you've 24 only got 17 trains and you need to get 15 into 25 service, having one out for a day is a big deal.

Τ

1	So if you have that train out needing
2	to be trued and the truing is not available because
3	the machine is down and you know that you have
4	another LRV that also needs to be trued and now
5	that you know, that's in danger, it can be quite
6	quick in a situation where you have trains that are
7	not available for service because they need to have
8	their wheels trued, and you don't have enough
9	you know, you don't have enough trains to make
10	service.
11	CARLY PEDDLE: Okay. What options were
12	available to Alstom to ensure that that equipment
13	was functional? Was your solution to go to RTM?
14	JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah. Yeah, I mean,
15	that yes, essentially.
16	CARLY PEDDLE: And would those requests
17	be in formal correspondence, formal meetings?
18	JUSTIN BULPITT: Most of the time it
19	would just I mean, they're both in the same
20	you know, maybe even the next cubicle. So most of
21	the times it would be they would be I what
22	I understand is very quickly there needed to be a
23	system where there was a formal like, a
24	notification, so there was a record kept of when
25	the machine wasn't working and when people were

1 asked to fix it. 2 But right at the very, very beginning, 3 no, it was just someone lifted the phone or someone 4 went to the cubicle next door and said, Hey, it's 5 not working. 6 CARLY PEDDLE: Did you say now there is 7 the formal system? 8 JUSTIN BULPITT: I believe so. I know 9 that there -- at least I know in October, November 10 they were -- they were implementing one in order to 11 record it. 12 CARLY PEDDLE: While I'm looking over 13 my notes, I'm just going to ask Ms. Young if she 14 has any follow-up questions. 15 EMILY YOUNG: Sure. I have one -- I 16 have a terminology question. You've been speaking 17 about wheel truing, and then you also mentioned a 18 wheel lathe, and I was wondering if those are 19 different or if that's the same kind of thing. 20 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah, it's the same, 21 sorry. 22 EMILY YOUNG: Okay. That's helpful. 23 Thank you. And so the point is the machine that 24 you are using for that was not available? 25 JUSTIN BULPITT: Right. Right.

••••	
1	EMILY YOUNG: Would that machine be the
2	thing that you would use to fix the wheel flats?
3	JUSTIN BULPITT: Yes, because you
4	it's a probably the right term is a wheel probe
5	filing or wheel re-profiling machine. So, yeah, if
6	there's a wheel flat, the wheel true or wheel lathe
7	cuts the wheel to make it the right dimension.
8	EMILY YOUNG: And then I have a
9	question going back sort of way to the beginning.
10	You mentioned how Alstom maintenance or Alstom in
11	general got involved in the bidding quite late
12	because you were brought in after CAF was rejected.
13	I was wondering if there were any
14	meetings or processes that Alstom missed out on
15	because of joining late.
16	JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah, I mean, we would
17	have missed out on opportunities to ask questions
18	to the to the sponsor. You know, during the
19	and I'm speaking to other bids that you know,
20	often the RFQ stages can be I mean, we're doing
21	a bid now on Ontario line where the RFP was
22	released in December of 2020, and we submitted the
23	technical offering in April 2022.
24	So it's like a there's a 15,
25	16-month time, and during that time, you have

1 you know, you have revisions to the RFP. You are 2 able to ask questions through RFIs. You're able 3 to -- there are commercially confidential meetings 4 with the sponsors in order to make presentations 5 about your system, in order to ask questions, to 6 ask for revisions to the project agreement or to 7 the RFP. 8 And as the vehicle supplier and vehicle 9 maintainer, we would have had the ability at least 10 to be present in those meetings and to ask 11 questions and to try and make changes. 12 I mean, I couldn't tell you now off the 13 top of my head exactly, well, you know, if we would 14 have had that opportunity, we would have certainly 15 asked for this change to have been made, but, you 16 know, we missed out on the opportunity to be 17 present and ask those questions. 18 And as a team member, that is guite --19 you know, it's important. Like, for the Ontario 20 line, we spent a lot of time with the City in --21 not in full development with the sponsor but as an 22 OMJV, developing the yard and then communicating 23 that to the sponsors and getting feedback from the 24 sponsors about the solution and sometimes asking 25 the sponsors to change the RFP to allow our

1 solution to be accepted. 2 You know, that is something that's the 3 typical project activity that we do -- we're doing 4 on other bids that we -- we didn't absolutely get 5 that chance on Ottawa. 6 EMILY YOUNG: You anticipated my next 7 question, which was, of course, going to be whether 8 you can point to anything that you would have asked 9 to be changed, so I won't ask you that, but that's 10 helpful to know. 11 I have one question about trial 12 running. You mentioned that the way that it worked 13 is, you know, you were mainly responsible for 14 getting the trains on the track in the morning, and 15 then a day or two later, you would receive the 16 results of the day. 17 Were there any results you received 18 that you found surprising, or were you normally 19 able to anticipate whether you'd be a pass or a 20 fail that day? 21 JUSTIN BULPITT: Honestly, I'm not sure 22 that I can rely on my memory to be sure of that, 23 there was something that was surprising or not. 24 I don't think everything was as clear 25 cut, so there may well have been surprises, but I

	•
1	don't my memory isn't clear enough to say, Yes,
2	I remember clearly this event. This was a real
3	shock.
4	But it wasn't a it wasn't a really
5	binary exercise. And maybe I'm oversimplifying it
6	by saying, you know, our main role was to get the
7	trains out. Obviously during the day, you know, if
8	a train had a problem, that problem affected our
9	performance, and our ability to respond to those
10	problems, you know, were important.
11	So it wasn't just getting them out in
12	the morning, but, no, I don't I don't recall
13	anything, but all I remember is that there was
14	you know, it wasn't like you knew at there was a
15	certain point, oh, yeah, that day is a failure or
16	yeah, that day is a maybe if it was a failure,
17	if we didn't get any trains out, that would be
18	clear, but it didn't necessarily follow that was
19	easy to no.
20	EMILY YOUNG: I wanted to also just go
21	back to the IMIRS system, and you mentioned that
22	you it was surprising to you in that you weren't
23	necessarily aware that cataloguing of work requests
24	was going to be done through that system.
25	So my question is would that not have

1 been something that was provided for in your 2 contracts? I quess I'm trying to figure out why 3 you wouldn't have known how that type of 4 information is going to be recorded and passed to 5 you. 6 JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah, I quess I --7 maybe I should try and break it from kind of 8 practically and what ended up being contractually. 9 So in terms of -- we would have spent 10 some time. So if you look at the PA, the PA 11 doesn't say there's going to be an IMIRS and this 12 is going to be the basis of something being 13 recorded and recognized and closed. It doesn't. 14 It just says there's a -- you know, this is a 15 standard for a defect, and it doesn't even really 16 say that very well. There are defects, major, 17 minor, medium, and something will be classed as 18 that. 19 So during the preparation for the

<sup>20</sup> project, I -- you know, I guess -- you know, <sup>21</sup> clearly IMIRS was chosen as the means to do that. <sup>22</sup> I don't think it was necessarily -- it may have <sup>23</sup> been communicated we're going to record events <sup>24</sup> through IMIRS and -- you know, and that's going to <sup>25</sup> be the way the City knows.

1 Maybe that was mentioned, but there 2 wasn't a specific meeting or process to say, you 3 know, this part of the contract for service 4 failures we're going to record in this way, and by 5 the way, guys, pay attention that there's -- you б know, everything is super time sensitive and pay 7 attention that we're only going to go by the -- you 8 know, the time -- you know, the person who opens 9 and closes the orders is the one that knows 10 because -- you know, we together -- so Alstom 11 together with RTM would have arranged the 12 interfaces back in -- would have been something 13 like March or April of 2018 when we talked about, 14 okay, we're going to -- we're going to kind of have 15 interfaces between the Alstom SAP system where 16 we're managing the maintenance of our assets and 17 the IMIRS system.

<sup>18</sup> So the two need to talk to each other <sup>19</sup> so that there's a work order, and IMIRS is going to <sup>20</sup> communicate to Alstom to have a work order in <sup>21</sup> Alstom. And we manage our maintenance, we issue <sup>22</sup> materials to the work order, and close our work <sup>23</sup> order, which then closes their work order.

That's a pretty convoluted process. It works for us in terms of managing mainten -- asset Т

1	management and managing maintenance records because
2	it's a standard maintenance management system that
3	we have, so we know how to create work orders and
4	close work orders and make the materials more
5	(indiscernible) work, so it works from that
6	standpoint. It doesn't necessarily work from a
7	time sensitive, you know, KPM management.
8	So I think had we have known had we
9	have known at the start that this was going to be
10	the way that KPMs are going to be recorded and
11	measured, no, we would have thought a bit more
12	about how we would have structured the interfaces
13	between IMIRS and our MMS. Does that make sense?
14	I mean, have I explained myself?
15	EMILY YOUNG: I think so. My follow-up
16	question, I suppose, would be who made the decision
17	that IMIRS was going to be used in this way, and
18	were you consulted?
19	JUSTIN BULPITT: I'm so the answer
20	is no, we were not consulted. I'm guessing the
21	decision was with RTM. I'm guessing it's RTM
22	suggested this to the City as a way of recording
23	it. I don't know, but I'm guessing that would be
24	the case.
25	EMILY YOUNG: Okay. Carly, over to you

1 if you have more questions. 2 CARLY PEDDLE: Mr. Bulpitt, the 3 Commission has been asked to look into the 4 commercial and technical circumstances that led to the breakdowns and derailments on Stage 1. 5 6 Are there any areas or topics that we 7 haven't talked about that you think the Commission 8 should be looking into to pursue its mandate? 9 JUSTIN BULPITT: Maybe we've touched on 10 it. The only -- the question I always raise is, 11 you know, when I look back at the steps of the --12 of the project execution, we had, you know, events 13 in May of 2018 and November of 2018 when revenue 14 service dates were set and missed. 15 Just the timing, why -- I mean, it's 16 still not clear to me why there was a decision that 17 absolutely we would have revenue service start in 18 September, and that decision really would be made 19 in June, July. 20 I mean, that to me -- you know, in --21 that time frame of June and July was critical in 22 the decision. I think it would be important to 23 know exactly why that decision was made and the 24 rationale. 25 Anything else? CARLY PEDDLE:

> neesonsreporting.com 416.413.7755

ousun	
1	JUSTIN BULPITT: No, I don't think so.
2	CARLY PEDDLE: The Commissioner has
3	been asked to make recommendations to try to avoid
4	these issues from happening in the future. Are
5	there any specific recommendations or areas that
6	you would suggest he consider?
7	JUSTIN BULPITT: Yeah. Sure. I mean,
8	I think what's clear and if I compare it maybe with
9	other projects is there's a lack of real good
10	definition of the hand-over process, and that, I
11	think, should be reenforced.
12	You know, having a hand-over process
13	from the constructor to the maintainer via the
14	City, having it, you know, much more formalized and
15	clear, I think that would be important because
16	it I'm pretty sure it's not in the PA.
17	I'm not I don't know for sure
18	because I don't know everything within the RTG
19	group, but I don't believe in the interface
20	agreement it was clear, but even if it was
21	something that was that was particular to the
22	you know, to the project company, it's so
23	important, especially as they have subcontractors,
24	that there's a clear document that everyone can see
25	about this is the this is what's going to happen

1 for hand-over. 2 The other area that seemed to be 3 missing that I've seen in other projects is kind of 4 a safety case-type rationale list. This is the 5 standard that shows that the system is safe for 6 operation. 7 It seems to me, again, there's a lack 8 of clarity in terms of the safety case approach. 9 That would mean that people are more arbitrarily 10 able to say, yeah, the system is safe to run. 11 It's -- you know, it's ready, and it's safe to run. 12 Those would be two things. 13 CARLY PEDDLE: Thank you. I'll turn to 14 your counsel to ask if there are any follow-up 15 questions that he wanted to ask you. I'll maybe 16 take that as a no. 17 MICHAEL VALO: Sorry. No, not from me. 18 Thanks, Carly. 19 CARLY PEDDLE: Okay. Thank you. A]] 20 right. So I think we can stop the recording. 21 22 -- Adjourned at 3:52 p.m. 23 24 25

-

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE			
2				
3	I, CARISSA STABBLER, Registered			
4	Professional Reporter, certify;			
5				
6	That the foregoing proceedings were			
7	held remotely via Zoom videoconference at the time			
8	therein set forth, at which time the witness was			
9	put under oath by me;			
10				
11	That the testimony of the witness			
12	and all objections made at the time of the			
13	examination were recorded stenographically by me			
14	and were thereafter transcribed;			
15				
16	That the foregoing is a true and			
17	correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken.			
18				
19	Dated this 11th day of May 2022.			
20	PStable			
21	CSaunon.			
22	NEESONS, A VERITEXT COMPANY			
23	PER: CARISSA STABBLER, RPR			
24	COURT REPORTER			
25				

[				]
WORD INDEX	55:17, 24 56:4,		109:22	47:21 49:2
	9 105:13 107:13	< A >	adopt 13:9	57:24 58:13
<\$>	<b>2019</b> 7:4 8:7,	ability 14:13	adoption 13:13	59:6, 16 60:22
<b>\$10</b> 84: <i>12</i> 85: <i>2</i>	13, 14, 17, 20, 25	97:9 101:9	adversarial 84:9	62:14, 20, 21
<b><i>v</i>io</b> 01.72 00.2	9:7, 9 29:22	103:9	advertising	64:8 65:11
<1>	31:2 32:17	absent 8:16	91: <i>14</i>	71:15, 21 72:2,
<b>1</b> 6:24 7:16	33:4 34:5, 9	absolutely	advised 5:24	4, 6, 14 86:17
19:2 92:24	35:6 40:12	62: <i>18</i> , <i>19</i> 96: <i>15</i>	14:16	90:14 91:17
93:4 107:5	54:20, 21, 23	102:4 107:17	advisors 67:2	92:12 95:12, 22
<b>1:00</b> 1:15 4:1	55:9 64:11 81:7	accelerate 42:5,	affect 17:22	96:17 98:12
<b>10</b> 1:6 73:17	<b>2020</b> 43:16	9 94:21	18:15	100:10, 14
82:15	52:8 64:12	acceleration	AFFIRMED 6:10	105:10, 15, 20, 21
<b>10th</b> 1: <i>14</i>	95:5 100:22	93:6	after 4:23 7:7	Alstom's 9:17
<b>11</b> 73: <i>18</i> 83: <i>13</i>	<b>2021</b> 52:8	acceptance 89:6	9:15 10:14	52:16 69:19
<b>11th</b> 110: <i>19</i>	<b>2022</b> 1:6, 15	accepted 87:10	22:5, 19 28:1	79:25
<b>12</b> 63:22, 25	90:19 100:23	102:1	34:6 42:25	ambient 17:7
65:5 82:15	110:19	access 21:22	52:6 57:23	amended 20:7
<b>13</b> 78:23 79:24	<b>21-day</b> 77:1, 2	29:9 35:2	58:2, 4 72:13	amendment
80:4, 5	<b>24</b> 53:21	46:18 47:7	75:8, 14 77:12,	78:23 79:24
<b>14th</b> 82: <i>4</i>	<b>24/5</b> 53:21	48:3 49:9, 19	20 79:10 81:4,	America 6:22
<b>15</b> 65:4 68:14	<b>26</b> 62:21	50:8, 13, 20, 23	9 84:2 86:11	9:22 10: <i>1</i> , 2
78:24 79:24	<b>28</b> 53:25	51:3, 8, 17 52:1,	100:12	11:9
80:17 82:15		11 65:11 74:2	afternoon 4:2	Americas 9:22
97:24 100:24	< 3 >	account 59:17,	60:23	amount 12:20,
<b>16</b> 24: <i>10</i> 63:22,	<b>3</b> 65:5	21 61:9	aggressive 93:6	21 81:12
23, 25	<b>3:52</b> 1:15	accountant 24:5	agree 90:1	analysis 87:13
16-month	109:22	accurate 79:4	agreed 16:23	analyze 87:5
100:25	<b>30-metre</b> 13:6	achieve 80:25	22:10 23:24	anecdotes 81:11
<b>17</b> 97:24	<b>30-year</b> 18:5	89:6, 8, 11	46:20 56:14	anticipate
<b>1996</b> 6:17	19:23	Act 5:11, 24 6:1	78:17	102:19
<b>1999</b> 9: <i>11</i>	<b>33(6</b> 5:10	acted 9:5	agreement	anticipated
<b>1st</b> 82:4	<b>33(7</b> 5:23	acting 32:23	20:19 80:3	102:6
	<b>34</b> 60:7	actions 69:18	81:3 92:23	anxiety 29:16
< 2 >		activities 51:7	101:6 108:20	anybody 64:22
<b>2</b> 34:9 44:14	< 4 >	88:18 95:21	<b>ah</b> 89: <i>10</i>	68:6
53:23 59:22	<b>4</b> 63:21, 25	96:1	ahead 96:9	anyway 38:24
<b>2:33</b> 65:7	48-metre 13:7	activity 8:4	alarm 78:4	72:22 90:13
<b>2:47</b> 65:8	<b>4P</b> 30:21	59:12, 21, 22	Alban 8:10, 14	appended 5:8
<b>20</b> 53:25 60:8,	4th 77:19 82:7	60:5, 6 61:8	align 63:17	applies 89:17
10		77:23 97:21	aligned 12:23	apply 13:10
<b>2009</b> 5:11	< 5 >	102:3	allow 46:25	appointed 8:18
<b>2012</b> 12: <i>9</i> , <i>10</i> ,	<b>5</b> 5:25 53:22	actual 65:12	78:23 101:25	approach 52:17
13, 18, 22 14:17	<b>5,000</b> 97:14	69:9 74:22	allowances 89:8	81:3 88:3 109:8
<b>2012-13</b> 7:21		87:22	allowed 52:3	approaching
<b>2013</b> 7:22	< 8 >	adaptations	allows 17:3	86:17
<b>2015</b> 19: <i>9</i> , 25	<b>8</b> 63:21, 25	40:3	ALSTOM 1:5	approved 16: <i>16</i> ,
20:1, 5	82:15 85:2	adapted 13:4	2:8 6:11, 16	23
<b>2016</b> 8: <i>1</i> , <i>2</i> , <i>7</i> ,		<b>add</b> 19:5 24:4	9:1, 11, 14, 19	April 33:3
12 25:3 52:22	< 9 >	44:4 57:24	10: <i>10</i> , <i>1</i> 2 11:8	100:23 105:13
<b>2017</b> 30: <i>15</i>	<b>9</b> 82:15	added 54:16, 25	12: <i>11</i> 13:5	arbitrarily 109:9
32:20 53:6	<b>90</b> 90:4	additional 57:14,	15: <i>4</i> 16: <i>15</i>	area 22:2
55:18	<b>94</b> 80: <i>10</i>	24 70:15	17: <i>13</i> , 22 18:24	47:15 49:15
<b>2018</b> 25: <i>15</i> , 22	<b>95</b> 80: <i>10</i>	adds 45:8	20:14 25:9	65:22 69:2, 6
27:14 32:25	<b>98</b> 80: <i>10</i>	Adjourned	31: <i>19</i> , 22 40: <i>18</i> ,	109:2
	1	I	24 45:19, 22, 23	1

	1	1	1	1
areas 9:12	aware 23:4	Benjamin 2:16	brought 9:4	23 96:10, 15
27:8 107:6	65: <i>16</i> , 24 66: <i>3</i> ,	<b>best</b> 90:24	47: <i>11</i> , 25 62:2,	97:11 98:14, 18
108:5	7 68:7 92:13	better 17:7	23 64:7, 9, 17	99:8, 20, 25
<b>arena</b> 64: <i>4</i>	95: <i>3, 14, 15, 19</i>	18:8 37:1 52:12	100: <i>12</i>	100: <i>3</i> , <i>16</i>
arranged 105:11	103:23	bid 7:19, 21, 24	buckets 87:6, 7	102:21 104:6
arrived 32:24	awareness	11: <i>3, 9, 10, 11</i> ,	bugs 36:24	106:19 107:2, 9
83:13	67:22 82:25	13, 16, 19 12:2,	37:3, 14 38:17,	108:1,7
arrives 41:10	92:23 94:25	5, 8, 14, 22	18 39:4 41:17	burning 27:18
asked 5:13		13:18 14:6, 15,	53:19	burn-out 87:24
27:15 30:8	< B >	17 16:23 19:5	build 10:13	buses 84:20
31:16 46:4, 16,	back 12:13, 24	20:3, 17 21:17,	37:13 49:23, 24	business 6:21
17 55:25 57:14	22:1 30:23	20 22:5, 15	63:7, 8	7:10 10:1, 2
99:1 101:15	47:1 55:12	24:6 52:19	built 19: <i>11</i>	, _
102:8 107:3	62:24 65:4	100:21	20:12, 13, 15	< C >
108:3	71:25 72:1	bidding 12:15	27:7 48:13	<b>CAF</b> 12:10
asking 21:4	73:20 80:17	13:2 21: <i>1</i>	BULPITT 1:5	13:3, 5 15:13,
30:17 33:11, 14	88:5 91:5, 20	24:16 33:18, 19	2:8, 10 3:3 4:3	24 100:12
35:3 101:24	92:5 95:10	52:19 100:11	6:2, 6, 9, 10, 13,	call 53:22 72:17
aspect 11:23	100:9 103:21	bids 11:8	16, 20 7:1, 4, 8,	called 9:4
19:4 65:10	105:12 107:11	100: <i>19</i> 102: <i>4</i>	18 8:10 9:3, 14,	65:25 74:13
				1
91:16	backdrop 89:4	<b>big</b> 12:1 15:17	<i>19</i> 10:7, <i>11</i>	77:14
aspects 34:2	background	35:11 43:13	11:4, 7 12:1	cameras 20:24
67:22 69:22	22:22	53:1 60:20	13:19 14:19, 24	41:8, 11 56:19
aspiration 37:9	<b>backlog</b> 76: <i>19</i> ,	61:5 97:25	15:12, 15 16:18	campaign 91:14
assessment	21 77:4	biggest 13:15	17: <i>14</i> , <i>18</i> 18: <i>18</i>	CANADA 1:5
35:5 46:25	bad 56:10 78:8	18: <i>19</i>	19: <i>1</i> , 8 20: <i>10</i>	2:8 6:1 24:16
47:6, 10 89:18	Bailey 32:19	Bilgen 2:16	21: <i>13</i> , 25 23: <i>10</i> ,	47:16
asset 105:25	basically 36:8	binary 103:5	13 24: <i>4</i> , 12	<b>car</b> 18: <i>8</i> , 9
assets 105:16	44:7 77:15	<b>bins</b> 16: <i>12</i>	25:4 26:2, 8, 16	care 89:24
assigned 74:24	basis 5:2	<b>bit</b> 11:6 18:7	28:2 <i>0</i> , 24 29:4	Carissa 2:15
assumed 87:18	81:23 88:2	22:3 26:18	30:7, <i>11</i> 31: <i>15</i>	110:3, 23
assuming 17:12	89:15 104:12	27: <i>1, 4</i> , 5 31: <i>17</i>	32:2, 10, 15	Carly 2:3 4:2, 3
assumption	<b>bay</b> 15:22 16: <i>1</i> ,	48:21 55:12	34:3 35: <i>19</i> , 22,	6:7, 11, 14, 18,
22:15	2	61: <i>10</i> 66: <i>15</i>	25 36:25 37:4	23 7:2, 6, 14
assure 33:12	bays 15:22, 25	71: <i>11</i> 81:8, <i>10</i>	38: <i>4</i> , 12   40: <i>8</i> ,	8:8, 24 9:10, 16
attain 10:20	16:7	85:9 106: <i>11</i>	15 42:22 43:7	10: <i>4</i> , 8 11: <i>1</i> , <i>5</i> ,
attending 1:14	bedding-in	<b>blah</b> 60:25	45: <i>12, 22</i> 46: <i>1</i>	24 13: <i>1</i> 6 14: <i>15</i> ,
attention 71:21	87:23 88:7	<b>blank</b> 31:6	48:16 49:11, 22	22 15:9, 13
83:19 105:5, 7	began 8:25	<b>bled</b> 41:8	50:11 51:8, 10	16:14 17:11, 15
audit 46:24	beginning	board 22:6	52:18 54:17, 21	18: <i>15</i> , 23 19:3
August 28:4	12:17 28:4	93:17	55:1, 10 58:1,	20:8 21:10, 15
32:20 52:5	36:2, 10 39:10	Bowels 2:10	16, 19 59:9	23:7, 11 24:3, 9,
53:6 54:23	43:16 48:7	brake 36:13	62:18 65:6, 14,	24 25:23 26:5,
58:23 59:2	58:23 60:4	42:5, 9 76:14	17 66:1, 12	12 28:17, 22, 25
61:13 62:7	62:7 66:20, 24	94:23	67:13, 18, 24	30:5, 9 31:12,
88:25	81:22 84:19	brakes 68:23	68:5 69:21	24 32:7, 11
automatic 36:6	86:21 99:2	braking 92:24	71:13 72:21, 24	34:1 35:16, 20,
auxiliary 95:1	100:9	break 6:3, 5	73:8 74:1, 5, 19	23 36:23 37:2,
availability 25:1	behalf 27:16	58:10 65:3, 4	75:4 78:21	24 38:7 40:6,
80:7	belief 88:2	104:7	80:1 81:6	11 42:18 43:4
available 68:2	believe 28:15	breakdowns	85:15 86:20	45:10, 14, 25
73:3 97:6 98:2,	90:9 99:8	107:5	88:4 89:20	48:15 49:8, 17
7, 12 99:24	108:19	brief 7:15	90:9, 17 91:2	50:8 51:5, 9
avoid 108:3	benefit 88:8	bring 47:4, 11	92:14, 25 93:14	52:16 54:15, 20,
awarded 19:9		48:1 54:5	94:10 95:3, 14,	24 55:6 57:23
awaiutu 19.9		40.7 54.5	34.10 30.3, 14,	27 33.0 31.23

		1	l	
58:8, 18 59:7	<b>chance</b> 50:17	<b>clear</b> 21:21	commercially	88:25
62: <i>13</i> 65: <i>2</i> , <i>9</i> ,	102:5	27:20 53:23	101:3	complex 12:2
15, 23 66:10	change 16:4	54:2 55:20	COMMISSION	complicated
67:10, 15, 21	19:5 22:17	72:11 86:9	1:4 2:1, 3, 4	31: <i>17</i>
68: <i>1</i> 69: <i>18</i>	55:7 61: <i>16</i>	88:21 102:24	4:4, 17 62:15	components
71: <i>11</i> 72: <i>19</i> , 23	101: <i>15</i> , 25	103: <i>1</i> , <i>18</i>	107:3, 7	19:20
73:5, 24 74:3,	changed 102:9	107: <i>16</i> 108: <i>8</i> ,	Commissioner	compressed
17 75:2 78:16	changes 101:11	15, 20, 24	108:2	13: <i>16</i> 63:7
79:23 81:2	changing 7:24	<b>clearance</b> 56: <i>13</i> ,	commissioning	compression
85:10 86:15	16:6 37:15	15	57:5 61:24	49:2 <i>1</i> , 23 50:7
87:17 89:17	characteristics	clearer 66:7	63: <i>4</i> , <i>10</i> , <i>18</i>	computer 94:16
90:6, 14, 20	23:16	clearly 27:11	64:10 69:25	computer-based
92:11, 21 93:11	characterization	51:22 61: <i>10</i> , <i>11</i>	Commission's	35:18 42:19
94: <i>8</i> , 24 95: <i>8</i> ,	90:8	103:2 104:2 <i>1</i>	4:9, 18, 22 5:1	concern 35:11
20 96:9, 11	characterized	<b>clock</b> 82:5, 6	common 82:22	58:17 68:22, 23,
97:7 98:11, 16	67:17	83:4 85:16, 18	86:6 87:6	24 69:3, 6, 7
99: <i>6</i> , <i>1</i> 2 106:25	Charles 2:9	86:4	communicate	80:12, 14, 20
107:2, 25 108:2	check 83:5	close 32:16	105:20	concerned 33:9
109: <i>13</i> , <i>18</i> , <i>19</i>	93:18	105:22 106:4	communicated	concerning 38:2
carried 23:3	<b>choose</b> 15:6	closed 83:6	104:23	concerns 33:15
carry 42:24	chose 52:24	104: <i>13</i>	communicating	45:15 68:19
cars 18:11	chosen 104:21	closely 71:22	101:22	69: <i>8</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>11</i> , <i>14</i>
case 57:16	Christmas 56:9	closer 29:14, 15	communication	79:25
94:1 95:19	circumstances	31:2 48:12	68:2 <i>0</i> , 21 83:3	condition 25:6
106:24 109:8	107:4	<b>closes</b> 105:9, 23	communications	35:5 44:2
case-type 109:4	<b>City</b> 22:10	co-counsel 4:12	19:18 34:23	47:10 50:13, 14,
Castle 64:9	25:25 26:3	codes 89:17	communication-	17 52:12 88:22
cataloguing	32:13, 16 46:11	cold 17:4 93:3	type 34:16	conditions
103:23	49:2 67:1	collaborative	<b>company</b> 6:15	17:20 93:3
catenary 14:18	73:18 75:23, 25	4:11	40:9 108:22	confidential 5:2
47:13, 17	78:18 82:13	colleague 4:5	110:22	101:3
caused 63:12	83:1, 10 84:6	<b>collect</b> 61: <i>19</i>	compare 108:8	confirmed 52:5
96: <i>13</i> CBS 64: <i>16</i>	86:1, 2, 17, 22	college 9: <i>15</i> combat 87:3	compared	<b>connection</b> 78: <i>13</i>
CBS 04.70 CBTC 34:22	87:14, 15 88:18		33:23 72:9	
35:17 40:18	89:7, 22, 25 90:2 101:20	<b>come</b> 14:7 17:4 22:18	compensation	consequences
<b>CCMS</b> 41:5	104:25 106:22	26:17 32:1	57: <i>11</i> complement	43:5 consider 108:6
<b>CCTV</b> 79:2, 5	104.25 100.22	35:15 59:10	55:25	considered
<b>centre</b> 66:19	<b>City's</b> 81:3	62:10 65:4	<b>complete</b> 10: <i>19</i>	87: <i>8</i> , <i>9</i>
certain 4:13	<b>civil</b> 5:15	70:6 87:6	14: <i>11</i> 21:6	consistently
39:2 48:17	clarify 65:9	coming 48:21	28:1 31:9	46:17 75:10
79:4 93:2	clarity 109:8	49:2 52:3	35:12 37:8	<b>constant</b> 95:1
103:15	class 23:18	70:25 71:20	56:8 75:17, 20,	constantly
<b>certainly</b> 51:10,	72:2	94:15 95:18	21 79:21 88:20	36:15 48:5
<i>15</i> 55:4 101:14	classed 104:17	commence 4:20	completed	<b>constraint</b> 12: <i>19</i>
CERTIFICATE	classes 23:17	commencing	18:25 25:6	constricted
110:1	Claude 32:24	4:1	28:3 34:17	12:20
<b>certify</b> 110:4	33:1 86:23	<b>comment</b> 30:19	37:13 38:2	constructing
challenges 10:9	87:14	59:1 92:20	40:21	37:22
11:25 13:1	<b>clean</b> 76:25	95:17	completion	construction
20:9 62:17	77:1, 2	comments 32:4,	28:1 56:2	11:15 14:5
75:2, 6	<b>cleaned</b> 76:24	6 58:22 60:21	65:24 71:7	20:14 21:8
challenging	<b>cleaners</b> 60:13,	75:25	72:9, 14 77:20	25:19 37:7
17:12	16 61:4	commercial	78:19 82:10	40:9 49:13, 18
	cleaning 76:23	107:4		50:2, 6 87:9
L				

	1	1	1	
constructor	77:10 78:14	dealt 70:7	107:5	disk 22:23
23:9 108:13	coupling 44:16	debt 86:13	Describe 81:2	dispatch 45:4
consulted	course 44:20	deceleration	described 92:19	distinction
106: <i>18</i> , 20	73:5 102:7	93:7	design 13:3	69: <i>12</i>
<b>contact</b> 32:12,	<b>COURT</b> 110:24	December 7:4	15: <i>1</i> 7 21:2, 6	disturbed 36:12
16, 25	coverage 64:1	8:7 20:4 27:14	22:9, 13 23:23	57:16
contacts 32:13	<b>covered</b> 16:21,	55:8 100:22	31:10, 11	<b>dive</b> 13:21
contemplated	24 17:6, 17 18:4	decent 88:17	designed 15:13	divided 95:21
49:20 54:14	create 10:16	<b>decided</b> 15:23,	20:13	division 6:22
72:20	106:3	24	detailed 30:2	7:9
contention	created 44:15	decision 48:18	details 13:22	document 31:5,
96:25	50:7 74:8	55:23 88:11, 23	92:15, 20	6 32:6 65:25
<b>context</b> 83:17	creates 45:17	106:16, 21	determine 81:23	108:24
85:20	crews 70:1	107:16, 18, 22, 23	developed 13:2	documentation
contract 10:13	<b>criteria</b> 23:20,	decision-making	14:6 42:7	28:18 29:1, 11,
11:22 19:9	22 50:19	70:3	developing 7:24	12, 18 30:3, 8,
20:6 22:24	<b>critical</b> 71:15	deck 48:20	101:22	18, 20 34:11
55:20 105:3	107:2 <i>1</i> crossed 56:23	declaration 4:9 decrease 90:7	development 6:21 7:10	38:9 39:6, 8, 11 46:15 48:11
contractor 95:24 contracts 104:2		decrease 90:7 dedicated 54:7	101:21	
contractual	crowded 84:23 Crown 5:16	deductions	develops 31:10	88: <i>17</i> documents
26: <i>19</i> 48: <i>9</i> , <i>24</i>	cubicle 98:20	80:7, <i>11</i>	deviations 89:7	39: <i>12</i>
72:25 88:6	99:4	deemed 5:12	device 36:15	doing 18:20
contractually	<b>current</b> 6:18	defaults 81:20	difference 22:21	42:20 49:14
73:1 85:16	currently 6:12,	defect 80:6	different 13:8,	63:8 69:9 72:6
104:8	20	83:2, 3 87:9	10 29:15 35:14	77:11 100:20
<b>control</b> 35:19,	customer 31:16	104:15	37:5 59:16	102:3
20 42:20 66:18	32:18 46:9	defects 83:5	62:2 64:16, 18	dollars 82:15
69:24	cut 74:18	87:21 104:16	71:9 75:6 99:19	83:16
controller 68:22	102:25	deficiencies	differently 46:5,	door 36:13
77:16, 25	cuts 100:7	51:23 56:18	6	76:6, 13 79:10,
controllers		65:25 66:4	difficult 23:1	12, 13 90:20
36:11	< D >	deficiency 79:16	46:2 48:4 51:5	91:1, 7 92:13,
convoluted	danger 98:5	Definitely 18:19	84:14 95:17	17 99:4
105:24	data 39:9 87:1,	66:3 80:12	difficulties 44:16	doors 56:20
<b>copy</b> 66:5, 9	6	definition 90:1	difficulty 39:8	68:23 76:2, 4
corner 78:10	Dated 110:19	108: <i>10</i>	64:22 97:3	91:5, 12
correct 5:5	dates 107:14	degree 42:23	dimension 100:7	download 39:12
10: <i>4</i> , 7 26:5	day 1:14 49:5	80: <i>19</i>	direct 7:5	draft 30:2 31:7,
67:13, 14 87:19	53:22 60:18	delay 83:11	34:18 41:21	8
110: <i>1</i> 7	62:8 68:15	delayed 55:21	58:3 86:16	drafts 31:5
corrections	73:9 74:21	delivered 10:19	Directly 7:1	dramatically
4:23, 25 5:8	75:22 84:1	delivering 10:18	17:22 31: <i>15</i>	92:7
correctly 9:17	93:10 97:22, 25	85:7	32:5, 6 43:20	drastic 15:16
42:19	102: <i>15</i> , <i>16</i> , <i>20</i>	delivery 10:14	73:25	drastically 57:9
correspondence	103:7, 15, 16	demonstrated	director 11:8, 10	drawing 17:24
98:17	110: <i>19</i>	67:23	discipline 82:25	drawings 29:11,
cosmetic 76:13	days 53:22	departure 72:19	disconnect 27:1	21
COUNSEL 2:1,	54:11 61:12	depending	discover 86:11	drifted 85:9
3, 4, 10 4:4, 14	73:11	23:18	discovered 78:1	drip 73:23
5:1 109:14	day-to-day 77:5	depends 23:19	discussion 21:9	drive 36:22
<b>count</b> 61:5	deadline 34:6	34:3	33:2 86:19	driver 79:3, 11,
<b>couple</b> 33:3	deal 70:5	deputy 54:4	discussions	13 91:25
44:24 60:20	92:17 97:25	derailments	20:2, 6, 19 86:22	drivers 93:16

			1	
dry 27:18	entered 4:23	<b>example</b> 27: <i>10</i> ,	extra 44:14	find 48:6 62:9
due 49:21, 22	5:2, 7 71:24	21 36:19 37:5	54:22 57:19	81:20 82:12
<b>dump</b> 39: <i>9</i>	78:25	38:16 40:16	extreme 81:12	finding 48:2
	entering 43:23	41:6 42:12		finish 37:6 50:5
< E >	70:18 71:16	71:14 76:4	< F >	finished 34:24,
earlier 65:10	83:24	93:20 94:18	faced 13:1	25 37:21 41:2
early 26:4 59:3,		97:12, 13	76:19	55:8
24 61:12 62:17	64:8, 18	examples 37:25 38:5 44:9 45:9	facilitate 86:18 facilities 96:8	finishing 9: <i>15</i> 63:8
95: <i>5</i> east 57: <i>18</i>	entrance 70:24 entry 84:4	97:10	facility 15:11	firsthand 14:25
Eastbound	equipment	executed 24:22	17:16 29:7	<b>fit</b> 13:5
65:2 <i>1</i>	16: <i>10</i> 38: <i>17</i>	execution 16:25	38:10, 13 39:3	fix 96:21 99:1
easy 103:19	96:6, 13 97:1, 9	107:12	60:22 61:5, 6	100:2
edges 92:9	98:12	executive 66:25	fact 8:12 9:24	fixed 76:12
effects 43:19	ERO 74:12	executives 33:5,	13:13 34:19	fixing 48:22, 24
56:10	error 71:19	17 58:17, 21	45:6 59:18	69:16
efficiently 64:14	errors 5:6 69:4,	67:1, 7 88:22	84:4 88:10 93:4	flat 94:13 100:6
efforts 62:15	5 88:1	exercise 14:11	fail 91:8, 19	flats 43:17
Egypt 47:12	especially	15:1 103:5	102:20	93:12, 15, 18, 19,
48:1	29:14 48:8	EXHIBITS 3:6	failed 84:20	22 100:2
eight-hour 97:20	51:21 59:24	expect 37:3	failure 43:14	flipped 75:19
electric 18:3	61:2 <i>1</i> , 22 66:20	expectation	74:23 81:24, 25	<b>Flix</b> 9:4
electricians	70:14, 17 108:23	80:16 84:15	82:16 103:15, 16	focus 48:24
47:22	<b>Esq</b> 2:9	85: <i>19</i>	failures 36:7, 12,	49:4 66:17
electronic 28:6	essentially 9:1	expectations	13 80:9 87:22	67:5 68:12, 16
electronics	21:22 36:23	10:22	91:1 95:5 105:4	follow 103:18
18:2 <i>1</i>	87:21 98:15	<b>expected</b> 28:19,	fair 18:17	following 70:17,
element 37:8	establish 5:15	21 72:21, 23	21:17 24:3	24 82:18 83:4
61: <i>17</i>	evaluating 67:12	80:2 <i>0</i> 82:2 <i>1</i>	Faiveley 92:16	84: <i>14</i>
elements 17:8	evaluation 67:19	90: <i>13</i> 91:25	false 69:4, 5	follow-up 4:14
email 30:19	event 10:12	expecting 10:19	familiarity 93:1	85:11 99:14
emailing 32:5	74:6, 11, 12, 23	53:16, 18 69:13	95:7	106:15 109:14
emails 32:3	75:9 80:5	experience 7:11	familiarization	forcefully 91:6
emergency	81:20 95:6	9:11 24:6, 8	62:4	forces 13:20
94:22	103:2	27:17 53:10	fast 42:4	foregoing 110:6,
Emily 2:4 4:6	events 43:13	54:6	February 7:22	16 formal 01:11
99: <i>15</i> , 22 100: <i>1</i> , 8 102:6 103:20	56: <i>16</i> 77:7, <i>10</i> 78: <i>1</i> 5 104:23	experienced 93: <i>13</i>	8:13, 15, 24 9:7	formal 21:11 48:8 98:17,23
106:15, 25	107:12	experiencing	12:2, 9 63:15 fee 56:5	99:7
employees	eventually 11:21	37:14 74:13	feed 73:23	formalized
17: <i>13</i> 65: <i>12</i>	everybody 49:3	expert 47:21	feedback 73:14	108:14
ended 7:5	75:17, 19 84:3	expertise 24:8	101:23	forth 20:25
11:17 54:11	evidence 4:8,	47:14	feel 85:8	30:23 110:8
104:8	18, 24 5:3, 7, 18,	experts 47:5	feeling 51:12	forthcoming
energy 17:21,	22 6:1	61:20, 25 62:10	82:20	29:13
23, 24	evident 27:9	63:3 64:17	feelings 25:18	found 21:19
engineer 24:6	exactly 18:21	explain 15:14	felt 16:15	47:20 83:14
engineering	23:14 38:23	19:6 71: <i>11</i>	18:24 33:2, 7	102:18
24:8 93:25	55:4 79:22	explained	56:8	frame 34:4
<b>ensure</b> 45: <i>19</i> ,	82:14 87:15	106: <i>14</i>	field 86:10	58:24 59:4
20 98:12	93:25 95:7	explanation	figure 104:2	63:16 76:19
enter 4:17	101:13 107:23	49:8, 11	filing 100:5	107:2 <i>1</i>
19:23	examination	expressed 59:5	fill 62:16	France 8:18, 25
	110: <i>13</i>	<b>extent</b> 43:1	finally 29:21	54:4
		94:20	34:11	

frankly 33:6	<b>group</b> 9: <i>17</i> , <i>18</i>	48:7 94:1 <i>4</i>	imagine 60:18	68: <i>1</i> 73: <i>6</i> , 25
frequent 22:11	10:5, 6 12:6, 7,	harder 18:4, 21	97:23	74:4, 14 75:1
frequently 30:18	9, 24 14:5 15:1	head 60:9	IMIRS 36:19	81:21 86:16
Friday 83:13	24:13, 15 63:1	101:13	73:25 74:7, 9,	95:10, 12, 15, 18
frustrating	108: <i>19</i>	heading 68:2	15, 20 81:18, 19	104:4
51: <i>11</i> 81: <i>8</i> , <i>9</i> ,	groups 10:5	hear 6:8	83:6 103:21	infrastructure
10, 17 86:14	Guerra 58:20	heard 87:24	104:11, 21, 24	26:6 27:6 29:7
frustration 29:5	guess 12:2	hearings 4:10,	105:17, 19	53:20 54:13
full 44:2 55:24	13:22 19: <i>11</i>	18, 19, 20	106:13, 17	initial 19:21
101:21	26:16 27:6	heated 17:25	impact 13:17	49:20 62:25
fully 17:6, 17	28:6 31:17	heavy 15:20	14:9 15:14	82:20
55:2 56:4	48:9 59:9	16:2 57:11	17:21 18:11, 19,	initially 12:5
functional 98:13	80:13 84:15	76:22 85:3 93:3	21 40:24 41:21	32:18 53:15, 17,
funny 93:17	85: <i>15</i> 104:2, <i>6</i> ,	Held 1: <i>13</i>	51:6, <i>15</i> 97:9	21 54:14 63:20
future 108:4	20	91:20 110:7	impacted 51:19	65:2 <i>1</i> 97:3
	guessing 27:13	help 44: <i>11</i>	impacts 17:16	input 67:15
< G >	106:2 <i>0</i> , 21, 23	50: <i>15</i> 51: <i>16</i>	implementing	Inquiries 5:11
Game 92:4	guide 69:3	81: <i>16</i> 94: <i>19</i>	99: <i>10</i>	inquiry 4:5
<b>gap</b> 17:3	guided 47:5	helpful 99:22	implications	5:11, 18
garage 18:8, 9,	guideway 57:14	102: <i>10</i>	42:1 <i>4</i> , 16 43:9,	inspect 22:12
12	gun 75:12	helps 50:21	10, 23 48:11	23:22 29:10
gates 34:16	guys 50:21	Hey 48:10 99:4	important 53:12	35:4 46:16, 21
general 30:12	60:24 68:6	hide 51:18	76:8 85:25	50:23 51:20
31:24, 25 32:2,	79:21 85:5	high 13:20	86:5 101:19	inspected 23:25
22, 23 34:13	95:19 105:5	53:17, 18	103:10 107:22	inspecting 50:12
75:5 100:11		higher 29:17	108:15, 23	inspection
generally 32:17	< H >	hindsight 43:20	impossible	19:17 22:7, 11,
68: <i>13</i> 80: <i>14</i>	half 83:15	46:3 96:16	16:17	16 23:20 35:3
<b>GIDS</b> 36:14	86:13	hire 53:6 55:19,	incidences 91:4,	46:23 47:3
39:21 69:1	hand 71:2	24 57:3 62:15	5	96:2 97:15
	72:18		included 14:18	
<b>give</b> 47:6		64:14,22	20:20	inspections
50:12, 13 67:7	handed 29:8, 23	hired 47:20, 22		19:15 27:17
97:10	34:9 38:11, 14	53:5 54:4, 6	incorporate 20:7	46:19 47:13
given 5:4, 17	39: <i>4</i> , 23 76:17	55:19 57:21	increase 57:10	50:3 77:3
10:9 22:24	88:15	58:6 64:16	increased 53:25	instance 5:16
35:2, 6 45:16	hand-holding	hiring 55:16, 21,	57:6 63:19	instruct 31:23
49:9 50: <i>10</i>	40:1	22 56:22	increases 44:6,	insufficient
62:16 66:5	hand-over 28:8	hold 95: <i>1</i>	7	40:13 44:13, 22
74:18 87:22	108: <i>10</i> , <i>1</i> 2 109: <i>1</i>	holding 88:23	incriminate 5:14	integration
giving 5:22	hands 48: <i>19</i> , 20	91:5	INDEX 3:6	35:10 38:10
7:11 33:13	hands-on 9:6	Honestly 102:21	indications 35:8	40:7, 10, 13
Glaholt 2:10	53:23	Hostlers 45:8	indirect 73:22	43:6, 21 45:17
Good 4:2	hanging 85:4	hour 83: <i>11</i>	indirectly 7:6	94:9, 11
42:16 47:24	happen 17:9	hours 53:22	73:20	intends 4:17
48:13 50:16, 17	26:20 80:24	78:14	indiscernible	interact 35:14
53:4 58:9 65:3	89:1 108:25	house 25:8	33:21 92:10	interaction 26:3
68:15 73:21	happened 22:4	Houssin 8:10	106: <i>5</i>	32:18 68:21
84:11 108:9	happening 94:7	huge 17:3	influence 46:13	71:14
Grant 32:19	108:4	hundred 90:19	67:19	interface 43:17
great 62:13	happy 84:3, 7	hydro 78:13	information	93:21, 23 108:19
64:24 66:17	hard 16:21		20:16 21:16, 19,	interfaces
greater 58:13	18:5 21:25		23 22:22, 23	105:12, 15
grew 81:9	30:12 42:9, 10	idea 87:25	23:1, 4 28:18	106:12
ground 5:13	43:19 46:2	image 18:14	29:21, 22 31:21	interference
<b>ground</b> 0.70			33:11, 14 50:9	
			JJ. 11, 17 JU.J	

66:15         58:23         61:13         102:21         104:6         KPMs         81:24         49:4, 68:270           interview         4:12         107:19, 21         106:19         106:17         ct.>         106:17         106:17         106:17         106:17         106:17         106:17         106:17         106:17         106:17         106:17         106:17         106:17         106:17         106:17         107:19, 21         106:16         107:19, 21         106:17         106:17         106:17         107:17         106:17         107:17         107:17         107:17         107:17         107:17         107:17         107:17         107:17         107:17         107:17         107:17         107:17         107:17         107:17         107:17         107:17         107:14         107:17         107:17         107:17         107:17         107:17         107:14         107:17         107:14         107:17         107:14         1					
$ \begin{array}{                                    $					
				86:7 106: <i>10</i>	
	intervene 4:12	,	108: <i>1</i> , 7		I I
introduce 92:2329:2229:77keeping18:2lack27:628:12lockouf38:2069:41037:2039:10key88:2435:1043:6, 21lockouf38:2469:41037:2039:10key88:2145:1646:14, 1538:2438:2410volved6:3376:652:253:447:1682:24, 25locks76:317:79810:676:18107:19, 21kilding89:13109:7logged83:2, 9,19:4.721:5JUSTN15:524:24, 25land49:15long61:425:1637:1210:1113:16, 2074:22, 24, 25larg39:3long61:425:1619:4.711:168:1793:10late99:18100:643:9, 18late93:10isolate39:212:113:1914:1318:10, 1499:18100:613:9, 18isolate78:514:19, 2451:224:7, 823:1, 6layout15:2172:531:13, 1483:21820:1021:13, 36:840:122:8, 16looked 36:9looked 36:9issued10:2125:223:1013:1665:23, 2458:23learn62:25looked 36:9issues13:1526:2, 8, 1656:52, 2455:11learn62:25looked 36:9issues13:1225:2, 20, 2429:455:416:410:14	,				
	11, 15, 16 6:3		< K >	labour 56:12, 13	
69.41037:2099:10key88.8.2145:1645:14, 1638:24invited33:440:1241:2541:2452:2147:1682:24.25locks76:3involved6:2347.652:253:4109:7logged83:2,9logged83:2,919:4,721:5JUSTIN15:2kilometres113109:7logged83:2,9logged83:2,932:858:51033:6.6,9kilometres1and49:15long61:425:1637:1210:13,16,2074:22,24,25large39:3long61:425:1651:3,1911:68:1093:10late92:7logged83:9,18isolate39:212:113:1914:1318:10,1499:18100:613:9,18isolate78:514:19,2415:1224:7,826:18launch 66:19long-term8:14isolate78:514:19,2415:1336:840:122:8,16looked36:962:1064:191818:1819:1,336:840:122:8,16looked36:962:212523:10,1343:1848:8,9leaving36:346:12,346:2564:22,2567:1728:20,2429:455:55leave8:14looking59:2563:31870.630:7,1131:7564:1866:14,1919:1241:1949:775:1776:2,3 <td>introduce 92:23</td> <td>29:22 32:17</td> <td></td> <td>lack 27:8 28:12</td> <td>lockout 38:20</td>	introduce 92:23	29:22 32:17		lack 27:8 28:12	lockout 38:20
	intrusion 36:14	34:5, <i>8</i> , <i>10</i> 36:2,	kept 98:24	35:10 43:6, 21	lockout-takeout
	69: <i>4</i>	10 37:20 39:10	<b>key</b> 8: <i>8</i> , <i>21</i>	45:16 46:14, 15	38:24
7.79.810:676:18107:19.21kidding $88:13$ 109:7logged $83:2, 9, 12$ 19:4, 721:5JUSTIN 1:5 2:8, kilometre $97:14$ lag $73:9$ 12long $6:14$ 25:1687:12100:1110, 13, 16, 2074:22, 24, 25lard $99:15$ land $49:15$ long $6:14$ 25:1610:volvement 7:3, 7:1, 4, 8, 1893:10late 12:12, 1516:5 18:314:45, 13, 1911:68:1093:3, 14, 19kind 7:11 9:563:13100:11, 1541:22 93:921:4, 7, 1110:7, 1111:4, 710:16, 2413:10late 12:12, 1516:2043:9, 18isolate 39:212:113:1914:1318:10, 1499:18100:643:9, 18isolate 17:1418:16, 19:1, 22:44, 1224:7, 826:18launch 66:19100vinded94:9105:2123:10, 1343:1848:8, 9layer 73:218:16, 2023:1410:suee 13:1526:2, 8, 1656:23, 2458:23leave 8:14looking 59:25looking 59:2510:suee 13:1526:2, 8, 1656:23, 2458:23leaving 36:364:2, 3, 4, 5, 617:2571:2, 332:2, 10, 1567:57, 69:4leaving 36:364:2, 3, 4, 5, 617:1718:1225:625 37:471:5, 2073:6,72:2, 1478:1819:1185:1224:531567:12, 1616:12.3loose 64:1819:1115:41548:6, 991:1loose 64:1811:19, 2017:5217:6175:	invited 33:4	40: <i>12</i> 41:25	41:24 52:21	47:16 82:2 <i>4</i> , 25	locks 76:3
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	involved 6:23	47:6 52:2	53: <i>4</i>	88: <i>16</i> 108: <i>9</i>	log 83:2
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	7:7 9:8 10:6	76:18 107:19, 21	kidding 89:13	109:7	logged 83:2, 9,
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	19: <i>4</i> , 7 21:5	JUSTIN 1:5 2:8,	kilometre 97:14	lag 73:9	
37:12100:1110, 13, 16, 20 $74:22, 24, 25$ large 39:3longer 14:4involvement 7:3,7:1, 4, 8, 1893:1011616:518:3 $5:13, 19$ 11:610:7, 1111.4, 710:16, 2413:101ate 96:7longer 14:4isolate 39:212:113:1914:1318:10, 1499:18100:643:9, 18isolate 78:1514:19, 2415:12, 24:7, 826:18launch 66:19longerterm8:14issue 16:51516:1817:14, 1836:840:122:8, 16longerterm62:1064:191818:1819:1, 36:840:122:8, 16longerterm94:9105:212523:10, 1343:1848:6, 9leading 45:14looked 36:9issued 91:224:4, 1225:455:2555:11leave 8:14looking 59:2548:22, 2567:1728:20, 2429:459:461:1641:1145:468:3, 1870:6,30:7, 1131:1567:5, 769:4leaving 36:364:2, 3, 4, 5, 675:1176:1243:335:19, 2270:5, 769:4led15:1losse 64:1890:2192:13, 1738:4, 1240:816, 22, 2374:3, 818:7losse 64:1990:2192:13, 1738:4, 1240:816, 22, 2374:3, 818:7losse 64:1891:185:2192:2457:1, 1058:1710:2016:1215:2490:2192:13, 1738	32:8 58:5	10 3:3 6:6, 9,	kilometres		long 6:14 25:16
	87: <i>12</i> 100: <i>11</i>	10, 13, 16, 20	74:22, 24, 25	large 39:3	longer 14:4
5, 13, 1911:68:109:3, 14, 19kind7:119:563:13100:11, 1541:2293:921:4, 7, 1110:7, 1111:14, 710:16, 2413:10lathe96:7longertermisolate39:212:113:1914:1318:10, 1499:16100:613:9, 18isolated78:1514:19, 2415:1224:7, 833:1, 6layer73:218:16, 2043:9, 18isolated78:1514:19, 2415:1222:8, 16layer73:218:16, 2043:9313, 1483:21820:1021:13, 36:840:122:8, 16long-term8:14issue19:1224:4, 1225:452:555:11leave8:14looked36:9issues13:1526:2, 8, 1656:23, 2458:23leave8:14looking59:2548:22, 2567:1728:20, 2429:459:4file15:1110:1499:12107:871:1776:1234:335:19, 22,70:2, 4, 6, 20, 23led15:110:1499:12107:875:1176:1223:3, 15, 2270:3, 76:771:2, 1478:18losing44:19, 2090:2192:13, 1738:4, 1240:8, 1662:12, 2371:2, 1478:12losing44:19, 2090:2192:1423:1481:727:1781:17losing44:1478:1218:1649:1722:1611:1271:1974	involvement 7:3,			-	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				-	
			,		
73:13, 1483:21820:1021:13, 2536:840:122:8, 16long-winded94:9105:212523:10, 1343:1848:8, 9leading 45:1428:141ssued19:1224:4, 1225:452:555:11learn26:25looking59:251ssues13:1526:2, 8, 1656:23, 2458:23learn26:14looking59:2548:22, 2567:1728:20, 2429:459:461:1641:1145:460:161:2368:3, 1870:6,30:7, 1131:1564:1866:14, 19leaving36:364:2, 3, 4, 5, 677, 2571:2, 332:2, 10, 1567:5, 769:4led15:1107:8loss64:19, 2090:2192:13, 1736:4, 1240:8, 16, 22, 23r7:5, 772:2, 1478:18loss68:2094:25108:41542:2243:7675:12, 16legal11:22r7:72.3r7:2, 21r45:12, 2243:11loss68:2094:25108:41542:2243:7675:12, 16legal11:22r7:72.3lostr7:23r471:1977:28r7:22r7:19r7:22r7:19r7:22r7:19r7:22r7:1728:10Jacob32:2455:1, 1058:1, 11686:11, 14, 1849:72527:1728:10Jacob32:2455:6, 69:2145:14105:14109:353:17, 18<				-	-
94:9105:212523:10, 1343:1848:8, 9leading45:1428:14issued19:1224:4, 1225:452:555:11leave81:4looked36:9issues13:1526:2, 8, 1656:23, 2458:23leave81:4looked36:948:22, 2567:1728:20, 2429:459:461:16leaving36:364:2, 3, 4, 5, 617, 2571:2, 332:2, 10, 1567:5, 769:4leaving36:364:2, 3, 4, 5, 617, 2571:2, 334:335:19, 22,70:2, 4, 6, 20, 23left32:1958:2losse44:1875:1176:122536:2537:471:5, 2073:6,72:2, 1478:18losse68:2094:25108:41542:2243:7667:12, 16legalli1:2271:1974:24, 2594:25108:41542:2248:11, 12271:581:11lost77:23r74:24, 25jacks16:1050:1151:8, 1082:18, 2184:11, 14letert49:72527:1728:10Jacob32:2455:1, 1058:1, 87:12105:713:2029:1649:1351:2513:17John59:167:13, 18, 24knew33:1856:767:1582:24, 1058:713:2029:16Jacus32:2455:1, 1058:1, 87:11105:14109:358:17101:2044:1466:5 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>-</td> <td>-</td> <td></td>			-	-	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-		-	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		-	-	-	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		-			
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			-		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-	-	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			-		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
94:25108:41542:2243:7675:12, 16legal11:2271:1974:24, 25 $45:12, 22$ 46:176:10, 14, 1876:10, 14, 1816:478:12 $jacks$ 16:1050:1151:8, 1082:18, 2184:11,letters48:9, 14lot20:1622:22, $97:2, 3, 4$ 52:1854:17, 211666:11, 14, 1849:72527:1728:10 $Jacob$ 32:2455:1, 1058:1,87:2589:25level10:2044:146:5 $Jacob$ 32:2455:1, 1058:1,87:2589:25level10:2044:146:5 $Jacob$ 32:2456:6, 14,99:19104:739:18, 2051:1652:4, 1058:7 $Jarwy$ 64:1162:1865:6, 14,99:19104:739:18, 2051:1652:4, 1058:7 $Jarwy$ 64:1162:1865:6, 14,99:19104:739:18, 2051:1652:4, 1058:7 $Jarwy$ 64:1162:1865:6, 14,99:19104:739:18, 2051:1652:4, 1058:7 $Join 59:1$ 67:13, 18, 24knew33:1856:767:1582:2386:25 $60:21$ 68:569:2145:1, 2103:1471:7, 972:8, 987:189:16 $Joining$ 9:1180:181:6knowledgeliability5:15lower23:12 $Joining$ 9:1180:181:686:2014:25<					
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					
< J >48:1649:11, 2277:581:1116:478:12jacks16:1050:1151:8, 1082:18, 2184:11,letters48:9, 14lot20:1622:22,97:2, 3, 452:1854:17, 211686:11, 14, 1849:72527:1728:10Jacob32:2455:1, 1058:1,87:2589:25level10:2044:146:586:2316, 1959:991:1394:5, 713:2029:1649:1351:25January64:1162:1865:6, 14,99:19104:739:18, 2051:1652:4, 1058:7Jersey64:91766:1, 12105:14109:353:17, 1855:768:1871:17John59:167:13, 18, 24knew33:1856:767:1582:2386:2560:2168:569:2145:1, 2103:1471:7, 972:8, 987:189:16join14:1271:1372:21, 24knocked77:1380:2585:17101:20joining9:1180:181:6knowing64:2386:1087:22lots44:1969:3joining9:1180:181:6knowledgelevels63:1923:12lower23:12100:1585:1586:2014:2522:14liability5:15LRV7:20, 25jointly57:2088:489:2062:1490:15lifted99:311:2, 18<	94.25 100.4		-	-	
$\begin{array}{l c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	- I.S.			-	
97:2, 3, 4 $52:18$ $54:17, 21$ $16$ $86:11, 14, 18$ $49:7$ $25$ $27:17$ $28:10$ Jacob $32:24$ $55:1, 10$ $58:1,$ $87:25$ $89:25$ level $10:20$ $44:1$ $46:5$ $86:23$ $16, 19$ $59:9$ $91:13$ $94:5, 7$ $13:20$ $29:16$ $49:13$ $51:25$ January $64:11$ $62:18$ $65:6, 14,$ $99:19$ $104:7$ $39:18, 20$ $51:16$ $52:4, 10$ $58:7$ Jersey $64:9$ $17$ $66:1, 12$ $105:14$ $109:3$ $53:17, 18$ $55:7$ $68:18$ $71:17$ John $59:1$ $67:13, 18, 24$ knew $33:18$ $56:7$ $67:15$ $82:23$ $86:25$ $60:21$ $68:5$ $69:21$ $45:1, 2$ $103:14$ $71:7, 9$ $72:8, 9$ $87:1$ $89:16$ join $14:12$ $71:13$ $72:21, 24$ knocked $77:13$ $80:25$ $85:17$ $101:20$ joining $9:11$ $80:1$ $81:6$ knowing $64:23$ $86:10$ $87:22$ $Iots$ $44:19$ $69:3$ $9:14$ $75:4$ $78:21$ $85:21$ $91:9$ $Iots$ $44:1$ $96:3$ $Iots$ $44:1$ $96:3$ $100:15$ $85:15$ $86:20$ $14:25$ $22:14$ $Iability$ $5:15$ $IRV$ $7:20, 25$ $Iotily$ $57:20$ $88:4$ $89:20$ $62:14$ $90:15$ $Iifting$ $97:4$ $54:9, 12$ $74:14$ $93:9$ $94:10$ $95:3, 14$ </td <td></td> <td>-</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>		-			
Jacob $32:24$ $55:1, 10$ $58:1,$ $87:25$ $89:25$ $1evel$ $10:20$ $44:1$ $46:5$ $86:23$ $16, 19$ $59:9$ $91:13$ $94:5, 7$ $13:20$ $29:16$ $49:13$ $51:25$ January $64:11$ $62:18$ $65:6, 14,$ $99:19$ $104:7$ $39:18, 20$ $51:16$ $52:4, 10$ $58:7$ Jersey $64:9$ $17$ $66:1, 12$ $105:14$ $109:3$ $53:17, 18$ $55:7$ $68:18$ $71:17$ John $59:1$ $67:13, 18, 24$ knew $33:18$ $56:7$ $67:15$ $82:23$ $86:25$ $60:21$ $68:5$ $69:21$ $45:1, 2$ $103:14$ $71:7, 9$ $72:8, 9$ $87:1$ $89:16$ join $14:12$ $71:13$ $72:21, 24$ knocked $77:13$ $80:25$ $85:17$ $101:20$ joining $9:11$ $80:1$ $81:6$ knowing $64:23$ $86:10$ $87:22$ $10t:20$ joining $9:11$ $80:1$ $81:6$ knowledge $1evels$ $63:19$ $10ver$ $22:10$ joinity $57:20$ $88:4$ $89:20$ $62:14$ $90:15$ $11t:2, 18$ $24:14$ journey $12:3$ $90:9, 17$ $91:2$ $92:22$ $93:12$ $11tigng$ $97:4$ $54:9, 12$ $74:14$ $42:2, 3, 6, 10$ $92:14, 25$ $93:14$ $95:11$ $104:3$ $106:8, 9$ $11ielihod$ $44:7$ $88:4$ $93:9$ $94:10$ $95:3, 14,$ $8nows$ $104:25$ $10ie 25:21$	-				
86:23 $16, 19, 59:9$ $91:13, 94:5, 7$ $13:20, 29:16$ $49:13, 51:25$ January $64:11$ $62:18, 65:6, 14,$ $99:19, 104:7$ $39:18, 20, 51:16$ $52:4, 10, 58:7$ Jersey $64:9$ $17, 66:1, 12$ $105:14, 109:3$ $53:17, 18, 55:7$ $68:18, 71:17$ John $59:1$ $67:13, 18, 24$ knew $33:18$ $56:7, 67:15$ $82:23, 86:25$ $60:21$ $68:5, 69:21$ $45:1, 2, 103:14$ $71:7, 9, 72:8, 9$ $87:1, 89:16$ join $14:12$ $71:13, 72:21, 24$ knocked $77:13$ $80:25, 85:17$ $101:20$ joined $4:5, 6:16$ $73:8, 74:1, 5, 19$ knowing $64:23$ $86:10, 87:22$ lots $44:19, 69:3$ $9:14$ $75:4, 78:21$ $85:21$ $91:9$ lower $22:10$ joining $9:11$ $80:1, 81:6$ knowledgelevels $63:19$ $23:12$ $100:15$ $85:15, 86:20$ $14:25, 22:14$ liability $5:15$ LRV $7:20, 25$ jointly $57:20$ $88:4, 89:20$ $62:14, 90:15$ lifting $97:4$ $54:9, 12, 74:14$ $93:9$ $94:10, 95:3, 14, 95:11$ LIGHT $1:4, 4:4$ $98:4$ $93:9$ $94:10, 95:3, 14, 80:10:8, 9$ likelihood $44:7$ $44:7$ jungle $45:5$ $23, 96:10, 15$ $104:3, 106:8, 9$ likelihood $44:7$ $48:6, 18, 20$ $14:17, 32:19$ $99:8, 20, 25$ $105:9$ $33:24, 48:18$ $18, 96:6, 18, 20$					
January $64:11$ $62:18$ $65:6, 14,$ $99:19$ $104:7$ $39:18, 20$ $51:16$ $52:4, 10$ $58:7$ Jersey $64:9$ $17$ $66:1, 12$ $105:14$ $109:3$ $53:17, 18$ $55:7$ $68:18$ $71:17$ John $59:1$ $67:13, 18, 24$ knew $33:18$ $56:7$ $67:15$ $82:23$ $86:25$ $60:21$ $68:5$ $69:21$ $45:1, 2$ $103:14$ $71:7, 9$ $72:8, 9$ $87:1$ $89:16$ join $14:12$ $71:13$ $72:21, 24$ knocked $77:13$ $80:25$ $85:17$ $101:20$ joined $4:5$ $6:16$ $73:8$ $74:1, 5, 19$ knowing $64:23$ $86:10$ $87:22$ $10ts$ $44:19$ $69:3$ $9:14$ $75:4$ $78:21$ $85:21$ $91:9$ $10wer$ $22:10$ joining $9:11$ $80:1$ $81:6$ knowledge $1evels$ $63:19$ $23:12$ $100:15$ $85:15$ $86:20$ $14:25$ $22:14$ $11ability$ $5:15$ $LRV$ $7:20, 25$ jointly $57:20$ $88:4$ $89:20$ $62:14$ $90:15$ $11fted$ $99:3$ $11:2, 18$ $24:14$ $42:2, 3, 6, 10$ $92:14, 25$ $93:14$ $95:11$ $LIGHT$ $1:4$ $4:4$ $98:4$ $93:9$ $94:10$ $95:3, 14$ , $8mown$ $52:12$ $6:24$ $7:16$ $15:21$ $11ikelihood$ $44:7$ $44:7$ $93:9$ $94:10$ $95:3, 14$ , $8mows$ $104:25$ $1ikelihood$ $44:7$ $48:4$ $86:6, 18, 20$ $1$					
Jersey $64:9$ $17$ $66:1, 12$ $105:14$ $109:3$ $53:17, 18$ $55:7$ $68:18$ $71:17$ John $59:1$ $67:13, 18, 24$ knew $33:18$ $56:7$ $67:15$ $82:23$ $86:25$ $60:21$ $68:5$ $69:21$ $45:1, 2$ $103:14$ $71:7, 9$ $72:8, 9$ $87:1$ $89:16$ join $14:12$ $71:13$ $72:21, 24$ knocked $77:13$ $80:25$ $85:17$ $101:20$ joined $4:5$ $6:16$ $73:8$ $74:1, 5, 19$ knowing $64:23$ $86:10$ $87:22$ $lots$ $44:19$ $69:3$ $9:14$ $75:4$ $78:21$ $85:21$ $91:9$ $lower$ $22:10$ $23:12$ joining $9:11$ $80:1$ $81:6$ knowledge $levels$ $63:19$ $23:12$ $100:15$ $85:15$ $86:20$ $14:25$ $22:14$ $liability$ $5:15$ $LRV$ $7:20, 25$ jointly $57:20$ $88:4$ $89:20$ $62:14$ $90:15$ $lifted$ $99:3$ $11:2, 18$ $24:14$ $42:2, 3, 6, 10$ $92:14, 25$ $93:14$ $95:11$ $LIGHT$ $1:4$ $4:4$ $98:4$ $93:9$ $94:10$ $95:3, 14$ , $8nows$ $104:3$ $106:8, 9$ $likelihood$ $44:7$ $44:7$ $July$ $12:12, 22$ $97:11$ $98:14, 18$ $nows$ $104:25$ $33:24$ $48:18$ $18$ $96:6, 18, 20$					
John $59:1$ $67:13, 18, 24$ knew $33:18$ $56:7$ $67:15$ $82:23$ $86:25$ $60:21$ $68:5$ $69:21$ $45:1, 2$ $103:14$ $71:7, 9$ $72:8, 9$ $87:1$ $89:16$ join $14:12$ $71:13$ $72:21, 24$ knocked $77:13$ $80:25$ $85:17$ $101:20$ joined $4:5$ $6:16$ $73:8$ $74:1, 5, 19$ knowing $64:23$ $86:10$ $87:22$ lots $44:19$ $69:3$ $9:14$ $75:4$ $78:21$ $85:21$ $91:9$ lower $22:10$ lower $22:10$ joining $9:11$ $80:1$ $81:6$ knowledgelevels $63:19$ $23:12$ $100:15$ $85:15$ $86:20$ $14:25$ $22:14$ liability $5:15$ LRV $7:20, 25$ jointly $57:20$ $88:4$ $89:20$ $62:14$ $90:15$ lifted $99:3$ $11:2, 18$ $24:14$ journey $12:3$ $90:9, 17$ $91:2$ $92:22$ $93:12$ lifting $97:4$ $54:9, 12$ $74:14$ $42:2, 3, 6, 10$ $92:14, 25$ $93:14$ $95:11$ LIGHT $1:4$ $4:4$ $98:4$ $93:9$ $94:10$ $95:3, 14$ , $104:3$ $106:8, 9$ likelihood $44:7$ $44:7$ July $12:12, 22$ $97:11$ $98:14, 18$ knows $104:25$ live $25:21$ machine $38:16,$ $14:17$ $32:19$ $99:8, 20, 25$ $105:9$ $33:24$ $48:18$ $18$ $96:6, 18, 20$ <	-	, ,		-	
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	-			-	
			-		
9:14 $75:4$ $78:21$ $85:21$ $91:9$ lower $22:10$ joining9:11 $80:1$ $81:6$ knowledgelevels $63:19$ $23:12$ $100:15$ $85:15$ $86:20$ $14:25$ $22:14$ liability $5:15$ LRV $7:20, 25$ jointly $57:20$ $88:4$ $89:20$ $62:14$ $90:15$ lifted $99:3$ $11:2, 18$ $24:14$ journey $12:3$ $90:9, 17$ $91:2$ $92:22$ $93:12$ lifting $97:4$ $54:9, 12$ $74:14$ $42:2, 3, 6, 10$ $92:14, 25$ $93:14$ $95:11$ LIGHT $1:4$ $4:4$ $98:4$ $93:9$ $94:10$ $95:3, 14$ , $104:3$ $106:8, 9$ likelihood $44:7$ $< M >$ juggle $45:5$ $23$ $96:10, 15$ $104:3$ $106:8, 9$ live $25:21$ machine $38:16,$ July $12:12, 22$ $97:11$ $98:14, 18$ knows $104:25$ live $25:21$ machine $38:16,$ $14:17$ $32:19$ $99:8, 20, 25$ $105:9$ $33:24$ $48:18$ $18$ $96:6, 18, 20$	-				
	-		-		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					
jointly 57:2088:4 89:2062:14 90:15lifted 99:311:2, 18 24:14journey 12:390:9, 17 91:292:22 93:12lifting 97:454:9, 12 74:1442:2, 3, 6, 1092:14, 25 93:1495:11LIGHT 1:4 4:498:493:994:10 95:3, 14,95:11LIGHT 1:4 4:498:4juggle 45:523 96:10, 15104:3 106:8, 9likelihood 44:7 <m>July 12:12, 2297:11 98:14, 18knows 104:25live 25:21machine 38:16,14:17 32:1999:8, 20, 25105:933:24 48:1818 96:6, 18, 20</m>			-		
journey12:390:9, 1791:292:2293:12lifting97:454:9, 1274:1442:2, 3, 6, 1092:14, 2593:1495:11LIGHT1:44:498:493:994:1095:3, 14,known52:126:247:1615:21juggle45:52396:10, 15104:3106:8, 9likelihood44:7 <m>July12:12, 2297:1198:14, 18knows104:25live25:21machine38:16,14:1732:1999:8, 20, 25105:933:2448:181896:6, 18, 20</m>				-	
42:2, 3, 6, 10       92:14, 25       93:14       95:11       LIGHT       1:4       4:4       98:4         93:9       94:10       95:3, 14,       known       52:12       6:24       7:16       15:21       104:3       106:8, 9       likelihood       44:7 <m>         juggle       45:5       23       96:10, 15       104:3       106:8, 9       likelihood       44:7       <m>         July       12:12, 22       97:11       98:14, 18       knows       104:25       live       25:21       machine       38:16,         14:17       32:19       99:8, 20, 25       105:9       33:24       48:18       18       96:6, 18, 20</m></m>					
93:9       94:10       95:3, 14, 23       known       52:12 104:3       6:24       7:16       15:21         juggle       45:5       23       96:10, 15       104:3       106:8, 9       likelihood       44:7 <m>         July       12:12, 22       97:11       98:14, 18       knows       104:25       live       25:21       machine       38:16,         14:17       32:19       99:8, 20, 25       105:9       33:24       48:18       18       96:6, 18, 20</m>		-		<u> </u>	
juggle45:52396:10, 15104:3106:8, 9likelihood44:7 <m>July12:12, 2297:1198:14, 18knows104:25live25:21machine38:16,14:1732:1999:8, 20, 25105:933:2448:181896:6, 18, 20</m>					98:4
July12:12, 2297:1198:14, 18knows104:25live25:21machine38:16,14:1732:1999:8, 20, 25105:933:2448:181896:6, 18, 20					
14:17       32:19       99:8, 20, 25       105:9       33:24       48:18       18       96:6, 18, 20					
42:1 52:4 53:5 100:3, 16 <b>KPM</b> 106:7 97:1, 12 98:3,				33:24 48:18	
	42:1 52:4 53:5	100: <i>3</i> , <i>16</i>	<b>KPM</b> 106:7	l	97:1, 12 98:3,

neesonsreporting.com 416.413.7755

25 99:23 100: <i>1</i> ,	90:15 95:21, 24,	mature 34:20	Minor 65:25	< N >
5	25 96:1, 14, 18,	35:21 36:20	66: <i>4</i> 83: <i>8</i> , <i>18</i>	naive 89:15
machinery 75:12	24 97:10	88:15, 22	86:7 87:21	naively 89:3
machines 16:12	100: <i>10</i> 105: <i>16</i> ,	max 42:9	104:17	narrative 11:21
27:10	21 106: <i>1</i> , 2	max 42.9 maximum 83:11	minute 46:22	narratives 12:16
	•	<b>MD</b> 24:21		
made 4:23, 25	major 11:24		minutes 65:4	nearly 25:14, 18
19:22 40:23	23:5 56:16	means 23:14	mismatch 26:18	necessarily
58:22 59:4	68:22 85:6	24:2 26:23	94:15	103:18, 23
60:21 67:20	86:7 90:5	43:23 45:5	missed 34:7	104:22 106:6
70:11 75:25	104: <i>16</i>	50:25 60:11	100: <i>14</i> , <i>1</i> 7	necessary
81: <i>19</i> 89:3	making 12:23	83:3 104:2 <i>1</i>	101:16 107:14	16: <i>16</i> 18:24
92:12 101:15	40: <i>18</i> , <i>19</i> 59: <i>1</i>	meant 25:9	missing 33:25	needed 25:25
106: <i>16</i> 107: <i>18</i> ,	manage 8:3	63:9   77:16, 18,	34:2 48:10	39:4 47:13, 22
23 110: <i>1</i> 2	53: <i>15</i> 105:2 <i>1</i>	21 80:3, 5, 8	109:3	54:2 56:11
<b>main</b> 17: <i>10</i>	managed 8:23	measured	mitigate 14:10	59:5 70:7
21:2 22:2 <i>1</i>	10:2 56:2 <i>4</i>	106: <i>11</i>	56: <i>19</i> , <i>20</i>	72:16 76:10, 15,
29: <i>19</i> 51: <i>4</i>	57:2 <i>1</i>	<b>medium</b> 86: <i>8</i>	mitigated 43:25	22, 23, 24 77:3,
59:23 68: <i>12</i>	management	104: <i>17</i>	<b>MMS</b> 106: <i>13</i>	4 83:19 97:4, 5,
69:2 <i>1</i> 103:6	8:19 9:2 24:7	meet 42:2, 6, 10	modification	18 98:22
maintain 10:14	28:2 34:24	81:14	94:6	needing 27:19
26:11 47:18, 23	39:23 52:21	meeting 73:18,	modifications	63:17 71:20
maintainer	53:4 54:3 67:7	21 105:2	16:15 18:24	76:14 98:1
101:9 108:13	70:2, 5 72:12	meetings 33:4	57:2 91:18	needs 58:13
maintaining	78:5 106:1, 2, 7	98:17 100:14	92:12	98:4
26:6 96:5, 13	manager 8:4, 5,	101:3, <i>10</i>	Monday 61:15	NEESONS
mainten 54:6	11, 12, 18 9:5	Member 2:3, 4	82:8 83:14	110:22
105:25	24:20, 22 25:7	101: <i>1</i> 8	money 90:18	negotiation 85:5
maintenance	32:22, 23 52:22,	members 7:12	monitoring 21:7	network 28:2
	25 54: <i>4</i> , 5 64: <i>10</i>	8:8 58:14	70:23 88: <i>18</i>	34:24 39:23
7:21, 25 8:1, 4	-			
9:17 10:6, 18	managing	memory 27: <i>13</i> 72: <i>11</i> 102:22	month 56:6	71:3 72:12 78:5
11:3, 12, 18, 22	105: <i>16</i> , 25 106: <i>1</i>		83:20	New 64:9 88:1
13:8 14:21	Manconi 59:1	103: <i>1</i>	monthly 77:3	news 67:25
15:8, 10, 20, 22	60:21	mentioned 11:2	months 33:25	84:23 91:14
16:2, 9 19: <i>6</i> , <i>1</i> 3,	mandate 107:8	15:9 21: <i>15</i>	37:10, 11, 12, 18	newspaper
16, 17 24:14, 17	manual 29:25	23:7 37:24	50:4 55:15	84:22
25:1 26:13, 22,	30:2 31:8, 9	40:11 79:23	56:6 58:3 97:17	nice 91:12
24 27:8, 11, 22	45:7	87:17 90:20	Montreal 37:7	nicer 18: <i>10</i>
28:7, 9, 13, 15,	manually 79:12	99: <i>17</i> 100: <i>10</i>	morning 18: <i>13</i>	Nicholas 9:4
19, 21 29:2, 23,	manufacture	102: <i>12</i> 103:2 <i>1</i>	61: <i>15</i> 68: <i>11</i>	night 76:21
24 30:3, 6 31:8,	25:12	105: <i>1</i>	77:22 83:14	83: <i>13</i>
9, 13 32:23	manufacturing	message 47:1,	102: <i>14</i> 103: <i>12</i>	nobody's 84:13
38: <i>10</i> , <i>13</i> 43:5	25: <i>11</i>	2 48:21	motor 91:23	noise 93:17
45:2 <i>4</i> , 25 47:24	March 6:17	messages 71:19	motors 27:18	non-
49: <i>19</i> 51: <i>1</i> , 7	12:10 52:2	Michael 2:9	92:9	typographical
52:17 53:7, 8	53:24 64: <i>1</i> 2	109: <i>17</i>	mounted 80:11	5:8
54:7 56:24	90:18 105:13	middle 75:18	movement 36:16	normal 10: <i>12</i> ,
57:7, 25 58:14	Mario 58:20	mid-June 81:13	moving 19:3	23 53:11, 14
59:6, 20, 21, 25	MARKED 3:9	88:13	93:8	59:12 60:5, 6
60:8, 10, 16, 22	massive 61:4	million 82:15	<b>MSF</b> 13:2, 13	61:7, 8 66:21
61:6, 20 63:5	material 18:6	83:15, 16 84:12	15:10 16:15	89:5
66:22 67:16	materials	85:2	19:10 25:5, 8	normally 23:22
68:4 69: <i>10</i> , <i>19</i>	105:22 106: <i>4</i>	mind 22:20	34:9 59:22, 23	102:18
70:1, 9 75:3	matrix 9:20	26:17 59:10	76:17 88:15	North 6:22
76: <i>19, 20, 21</i>	Mauna J.20	minds 52:3	10.11 00.10	9:22 10: <i>1</i> , 2
		mmus 02.3		
77:5 80:2, 13				11:9

notes 99:13	offering 11:14	87:2 89:8 92:2,	pantograph	24 38:7 40:6,
110: <i>17</i>	100:23	3 99: <i>10</i> 101: <i>4</i> ,	43:15	11 42:18 43:4
notice 56:6	office 25:8 78:9	5 105: <i>19</i> , <i>20</i> , <i>22</i> ,	paraphrasing	45:10, 14, 25
noticeable 72:7	offices 25:7	23	87: <i>19</i>	48:15 49:8, 17
notification	off-the-record	orders 87:1	part 19:1 22:9	50:8 51:5, 9
			•	-
98:24	33:2	89:18 90:5, 8	23:23 26:23	52:16 54:15, 20,
November 9:9	oiled 27:12	105:9 106:3, 4	28:12 29:6	24 55:6 57:23
27:14 55:24	<b>OLRT</b> 22:9	organization	30:7 41:9	58:8, 18 59:7
56:4 81:7	23:7 31:20	9:20 10:15	44: <i>10</i> , <i>13</i> 60:2	62: <i>13</i> 65:2, <i>9</i> ,
89:23 99: <i>9</i>	50:5 67: <i>1</i>	26:22	86:2 <i>1</i> 90:3	15, 23 66:10
107: <i>13</i>	<b>OLRTC</b> 23:8	organizational	95:5 105:3	67:10, 15, 21
number 20:18	26:5, 21 28:18,	10:9	participants	68:1 69:18
43:13 53:25	20 29:1 30:19	organizationally	1:14 2:6 5:1,7	71:11 72:19, 23
56:25 57:2, 4, 6,	31:18, 22, 23	9:18	particular 12:25	73:5, 24 74:3,
10 58:13 68:11	32:1, 5 33:10	organizations	20:18 22:2	17 75:2 78:16
82:14 93:15	40:8, 9 46:11,	32:14 58:12	58:18 60:6	79:23 81:2
		<b>OTTAWA</b> 1:4		I I
95: <i>4</i>	21, 25 47:2	-	108:21	85:10 86:15
NUMBER/DESCR	49:3, 12 51:25	4:4 6:24 7:8,	particularly	87:17 89:17
IPTION 3:8	56:14 70:13	16 8:5, 11	34:15	90:6, 14, 20
	71: <i>1</i>	33:19 37:19	parties 32:8	92:11, 21 93:11
< 0 >	<b>OMJV</b> 101:22	62:2 64:5, 12	partners 32:8	94:8, 24 95:8,
object 5:25	ones 55:1	78: <i>13</i> 102:5	parts 27:24	20 96:9, 11
objected 5:12	70:24	outset 89:19	47:6	97:7 98:11, 16
objections	ongoing 28:11	outside 18:12	pass 73:10, 11	99:6, 12 107:2,
110: <i>12</i>	on-site 8:5	64: <i>4</i>	102:19	25 108:2
objective 68:17	Ontario 64:6	overall 30:8	passed 104:4	109:13, 19
observed 94:2	100:21 101:19	93: <i>9</i>	passenger 92:8	penalize 89:12
obstacle 91:6	opened 81:4	overcome 85:22	passengers	penalties 82:15,
obtain 4:8	opens 105:8	overbead 14:18	36:21 91:5, 10,	16 83:16 84:13
obvious 82:22			11 30.27 91.0, 10,	85:2
	operate 47:18	overlap 49:18		
<b>OC</b> 58:11	operating 69:1	overloaded	passing 22:13	penalty 80:22
occasionally	operation 36:6	84:21	patches 42:25	83:11 85:6
38:18	45:7, <i>13</i> 53: <i>11</i> ,	overloading	Pate 32:22	people 8:22
occur 56:2	<i>14</i> , <i>21</i> 109:6	91:7	<b>pay</b> 56: <i>5</i> 105: <i>5</i> ,	17: <i>19</i> 18:20
84: <i>18</i>	operational 8:3,	overnight 37:23	6	19: <i>13</i> 47: <i>4</i> , <i>11</i> ,
occurred 43:13	6 24:11 25:2	70:7	payments 90:15	12, 18, 25 48:1
61: <i>13</i> 75: <i>16</i>	69:8	oversimplificatio	<b>peak</b> 78:24	51:13 55:19, 21
occurring 25:16	operationally	<b>n</b> 92:6	80:6	57:2, 10, 21
77:8, 9 95:6	26:19	oversimplifying	Peddle 2:3 4:2,	58:7 59:19
occurs 93:22	operations	103:5	3 6:7, 11, 14, 18,	61:7, 9 62:8
o'clock 73:17,	45:13 54:5	overspeed 74:13	23 7:2, 6, 14	63:9 64:3, 7, 17
18 83:13	opportunities	overview 7:15	8:8, 24 9:10, 16	65:19 66:21, 23
OCS 11:16	100:17	overwhelming	10: <i>4</i> , 8 11: <i>1</i> , 5,	70:15 71:1
19:15 27:23	opportunity 5:4	49: <i>16</i>	24 13:16 14:15,	88:18 91:14
28:11 35:1	12:14 14:16	49.70 owe 84:12		93:17 98:25
			22 15:9, 13	I I
43:16 47:24	50:3 101: <i>14</i> , <i>16</i>	ownership 26:14	16:14 17:11, 15	109:9
October 12:18	optimization	. <b>D</b> .	18:15, 23 19:3	<b>percent</b> 80: <i>10</i> ,
43:15 59:3	13:23	< P >	20:8 21:10, 15	11 90:4
84:19 86:21	optimize 13:23	<b>p.m</b> 1: <i>15</i> 4: <i>1</i>	23:7, 11 24:3, 9,	perfect 89:12, 13
89:23 90:3	options 98:11	65:7, 8 109:22	24 25:23 26:5,	perform 42:8
91:3 99:9	order 4:20	<b>PA</b> 104: <i>10</i>	12 28:17, 22, 25	85:14, 19 97:9
offer 12:23	20:17 42:6, 10	108: <i>16</i>	30: <i>5</i> , 9 31: <i>12</i> ,	performance
19:22	45:1 62: <i>4</i> , 10	packages 19:14	24 32:7, 11	68:9 69:11
offered 12:8	74:8 81:14	PAGE/LINE 3:8	34:1 35:16, 20,	80:25 85:7
	85:22 86:18	painful 18:12	23 36:23 37:2,	
	00.22 00.70	Pannan 10172	,	

103:9	playing 86:10	presentations	programming	110:9
performing 75:3	pleasant 17:19	101: <i>4</i>	94:16	puts 80:17
period 18:5	<b>plus</b> 61:5	presented 62:17	progression	putting 37:1
19:22 24:19	<b>point</b> 6:3 25:5,	press 84:22	63:6	91:6
36: <i>1</i> , 9   37: <i>16</i> ,	21 26:1, 9	pressure 48:10,	progressively	
<i>1</i> 7 40:22 41: <i>1</i> ,	27:10, 12 34:8	13 49:1 61:14	54:18	< Q >
14, 22   42:15	41:24 48:17	80: <i>18</i> 81: <i>12</i>	project 6:25	quality 23:15
49:25 50: <i>1</i>	68:10 75:24	pretrial 67:23	7:3, 9, 12, 17	26:13 81:25
60:11 76:5	76:18 82:1	pretty 9:15	8:4, 5, 11, 12, 18,	82:16
82:3 87:24 88:7	85:5, 16, 23	21:6 37:8	19 9:1, 5, 13	quantify 18:11
<b>perjury</b> 5:21	88:14 89:22	39:13 64:11	10:6 19: <i>1</i> 2	quantities 20:23,
permit 46:22	91: <i>10</i> 94:22	66: <i>4</i> , 8 84:3	24:15, 20, 22	24
permits 4:13	99:23 102:8	88:17 105:24	25:7, 19 33:24	quarter 83:15
person 5:17	103: <i>15</i>	108: <i>16</i>	37:6 52:22, 25	question 5:12,
58:19 105:8	points 51:4	preventative	53:1 54:4 58:6	25 21:18 46:4
personnel 71:5	<b>position</b> 44: <i>18</i> ,	76:20	62:2, 22 81:3	58:9 99:16
perspective	19, 20   45:3	prevented 51:13	87:5 90:11	100:9 102:7, 11
25:1, 24 68:4	76:25	pricing 11:21	101:6 102:3	103:25 106:16
79:25 96:14	positioning	12: <i>16</i>	104:20 107: <i>12</i>	107:10
ph 32:22 74:22	71: <i>19</i>	primarily 9:20	108:22	<b>questions</b> 4:13,
phase 37:6, 8	positions 52:21	<b>prior</b> 9: <i>11</i> 26:7,	projects 24:16	14 67:6 73:13
66:13 90:11	54:25 57:8	11 38:2 54:25	33:19 53:11	90:24 95:9
phasing 90:22	positive 84:5, 7	58:14	64:6 93:21	99:14 100:17
phone 99:3	positives 69:5	priority 49:15	94:2 108: <i>9</i> 109:3	101:2, 5, 11, 17
physically 9:8	possible 38:21 44:4	probe 100:4		107:1 109:15
16: <i>1</i> 7 50:24		problem 38: <i>19</i> , 24 44:8 63: <i>12</i>	properly 38: <i>15</i> 51:20 76:7	quick 98:6
72:18 73:2 92:16	posted 4:21		88: <i>15</i>	quickly 64:14,
	potential 14: <i>13</i> 18: <i>1</i> 68:3 71: <i>18</i>	73:22   78:6,  11, 13   85:1   86:24	prosecution	25 80:9, 10, 17 93:9 98:22
<b>picking</b> 44:22 79:3	Potentially	96:23 103:8	5:2 <i>1</i>	<b>quite</b> 9:8 10:3,
<b>picture</b> 60:20	18: <i>18</i> 45: <i>17</i>	problems 36:18	protected 18:10	12 12:14, 19
66: <i>16</i>	Powell 2:9	44:19 45:9	protection 17:8	16:19 20:16
PIDS 39:21	power 11:15	53:18 61:12, 13	prove 18:21	25:16 26:4
piece 48:24	19:16 38:20	62:23 64:15	provide 7:15	35:8 44:4
56:24 72:3, 4	39:1 78:12, 14	71:18 75:13	10:2 <i>1</i> 15:7	46: <i>12</i> 81: <i>15</i>
95:11	95:1	76:3 81:20	20:21 31:23	83:25 84: <i>4</i> , 7
pieces 19:18	PowerPoint	84:18 96:13	57:14 73:4	91:4 93:23
97:8	34:14 50:22	97:6 103:10	74:4 95:13	94:3, 4 98:5
<b>pit</b> 16: <i>11</i>	practical 24:7	procedural 4:19	provided 11:20	100:11 101:18
pits 34:17	practically 104:8	proceedings	20:16 21:16	quotes 19:12
place 5:21	practice 27:3, 5	5:16, 20 110:6	38:9 70:13, 14	-
78:8 85:12	pragmatically	process 12:15	73:7 95:16	< R >
90:24	26:20	20:9 23:23	104: <i>1</i>	racked 82:17
placeholder	preliminary	30: <i>15</i> 46: <i>9</i>	provider 31:19	RAIL 1:4 4:4
31:7	31: <i>5</i> , <i>11</i>	53:6 55:18	<b>public</b> 4:5, 9, 18,	6:24 7:16
plagued 75:10	preparation	82:25 86:12	22 5:10 91:4	43:17 93:20
plan 52:20	29:10 36:9	87:12 105:2, 24	punch 79:16, 20	94:12
53:17 54:6	50: <i>4</i> 104: <i>19</i>	108: <i>10</i> , <i>1</i> 2	purpose 4:7	rails 41:19
62:25 63:19	prepare 52:14	processes	Pursuant 5:10	raise 107:10
74:22	69: <i>19</i>	100: <i>14</i>	pursue 107:8	raised 58:17
planned 53:21	<b>PRESENT</b> 2:13	product 9:25	<b>push</b> 89:23	rationale 107:24
55:15 63:5	10:8 25: <i>12</i>	62: <i>4</i> , 22	pushed 91:20	109:4
planning 61:2	66: <i>1</i> 2 67:3, <i>10</i>	Professional	pushing 48:5	rationalizations
<b>play</b> 35: <i>13</i>	68:19 70:16	110: <i>4</i>	put 48:9 57:19	87:7
	86:23 101: <i>10</i> , <i>17</i>			

reach 94:21	recognize 78:3,	relaxation 80:21,	<b>REPORTER'S</b>	23 11:2, 11, 20
reaction 60:2, 3	6	23	110: <i>1</i>	17:23 19:19
read 23:1	recognized	relaxations 81:1	reports 44:18	20:21 24:20
readiness 24:25	104:13	89:8	representatives	26:6, 10, 21
32:9 33:7 45:16	recollection	relaxed 89:10	67:2	40:6, 10 72:15
readings 79:4	95:4	released 36:3	re-profiling	96: <i>4</i> , <i>19</i> , <i>20</i>
ready 26:23	recommendation	100:22	100:5	102:13
29:6 30:16	<b>s</b> 108:3, 5	relevant 87:8	request 21:12	restricted 12:20
33:23 35:9	record 86:4, 8	reliability 10:20	30:5 46:10	result 90:13
43:22 45:21	98:24 99:11	18:16 76:12	95:11	results 102:16,
49:5 61:14	104:23 105:4	relied 40:4	requested	17
76:23 81:15, 16	recorded 74:15	relies 41:12, 13	31:13 38:8	<b>RESUMED</b> 65:8
88:22 109:11	104: <i>4</i> , <i>13</i>	96:17	requesting	retrofit 57:3
real 72:11	106: <i>10</i> 110: <i>13</i>	rely 102:22	31: <i>14</i>	59: <i>18</i> , 22 61:9
84:17 85:1	recording 6:4	relying 20:15	requests 98:16	70:4
103:2 108:9	74:12 106:22	21:16 63:10	103:23	retrofits 63:9
reality 17:9	109:2 <i>0</i>	<b>REM</b> 37:6	require 22:16	revenue 24:25
37:19 53:13	records 29:23	remember	required 5:23	25:15 26:7, 10,
really 8:21	30: <i>3</i> , 6 31: <i>13</i>	15:19 22:1, 2, 8	38:25 40:1	11 29:15 30:17
12:19 15:18	106: <i>1</i>	25:10 36:8	41:9 68: <i>10</i>	37:10 38:3
16:3 17:9, <i>18</i>	recover 76:21	38:5, 23 39:2	73:1 80:24	41:8   42:2 <i>1</i> , 25
23:4, 5, 6 25:17	recovering	41:25 48: <i>4</i>	requirement	43:2, 12 44:8
27:25 30:13	76:14	49: <i>12</i> 58:20, 25	16:22 42:2, 8	45:15 51:25
32:16 34:5	reenforce 56:11	59:2 63:25	56:17 73:1	52:9, 24 54:25
42:8, 12 46:2	61: <i>16</i> 91: <i>18</i>	65: <i>18</i> 71: <i>4</i>	79:1 97:14	55: <i>3, 14, 15</i>
49:6 56: <i>10</i>	reenforced 57:8	73:17 74:6	requirements	56:15 57:17,23
58:3 59:17, 20	108: <i>11</i>	77:2, 10, 11, 14	81: <i>4</i>	58:4, 14 63:24
61:23 63: <i>15</i>	reenforcement	79:7, 22 82:8,	requires 42:3	70:18 71:10, 24
64:24 67:4	71:5	14, 24 87:15, 16	requiring 16:20	72:10 76:24
68:16 72:14	reenforcements	89:22 90:4	reset 92:1,5	77:21 78:20, 21
75:25 80:11	92:8	91:3, 13 93:15	resistance 91:22	79:1, 8, 10, 18
81:8, 19 82:18	reentering 12:21	95:5 103:2, 13	resolved 80:16	81:4, 14 82:3
83:8 84:13	referred 87:23	Remembering	85:3	83:20, 23, 24
88:12 92:20	refers 93:4	81:6	resolving 70:25	84:1, 3 85:13
95:7 97:13, 15	reflect 14:8, 13	remotely 1:14	resources 62:23	86:3, 13 87:18
103: <i>4</i> 104: <i>15</i>	refused 46:25	110:7	respond 14:9 19: <i>13</i> 64:20	88:11, 24, 25
107: <i>18</i>	regarding 68:3	removed 46:12	71:2 92: <i>1</i> 2	89:21 90:16
<b>reason</b> 49: <i>16</i> 74:24	<b>regardless</b> 81: <i>15</i>	<b>repair</b> 69: <i>13</i> 77:6	103:9	107: <i>13, 17</i> revenues 72:8
reasonable	regards 15: <i>18</i>	repairs 49:14	responded	review 5:5
39: <i>18</i> , <i>20</i>	region 9:22, 23	rephrase 21:17	64: <i>13</i>	22:9 31: <i>11</i>
recall 19:2	64:5	28:23	response 30: <i>10</i> ,	39:15 71:21
23:5, 13, 14	regionally 9:21	replacement	<i>12, 25</i> 31:25	reviewed 66:20
29:20 44:12	Registered	27:19 28:10	32:3	revisions 42:17
55:3 58:11	110:3	52:1, 4	responses 8:19	101:1, 6
63:24 68:9, 25	regularly 27:12	replacements	responsibilities	<b>RFIs</b> 101:2
73:8, 16 75:9,	reinforcement	52:8	8:20 24:11	<b>RFP</b> 19: <i>12</i> , <i>21</i>
16 79:20 91:21	54:3	report 9:21, 25	26:25	100:21 101:1, 7,
92:14 103:12	rejected 100:12	74:20	responsibility	25
receivable 5:18	relate 7:16	reported 8:22	8:3, 6 25:2	<b>RFQ</b> 100:20
<b>receive</b> 28:17,	related 9:12	24:20, 21, 23	26:9, 15, 19	Richard 8:17
25 102:15	relationship	36: <i>19</i>	27:16 83:2	54:3
received 90:15	33:20 84:6	Reporter 110:4,	89:24 96:12	<b>risk</b> 14: <i>10</i>
102: <i>1</i> 7	relatively 39:20	24	responsible	<b>risks</b> 14: <i>1</i>
RECESSED 65:7			6:21 7:10, 20,	43:24 45:18

role 6:19 7:15	safe 41:11	42:21 43:1, 3,	22 72:7	72:17, 18 73:2
9:2, 6 55:8	109:5, 10, 11	12, 23 44:8	shouting 84:24	79:11
66:11, 13 67:4	safely 36:22	45:15, 21 51:25	show 30:24	<b>soon</b> 9: <i>15</i> 71:6
103:6	safer 18:9	52:9, 24 53:14	36:19	72:13
roles 53:4	safety 109:4, 8	54:25 55:3, 14,	shows 109:5	Sorry 28:22
54:16 62:16	sanding 38:17	15 56:15 57:17,	shut 76:7 79:13	31:4 45:12
rolling 9:18	75:11, 12 96:25	23 58:5, 15	sickness 8:14	59:13 74:18
10:1, 5, 13, 14,	<b>SAP</b> 105:15	63:24 68:14	side 10:22	78:20 94:18
17 11:12 14:20,	sat 86:1,2	70:6, 18, 24	16:11 38:19	96:9 99:21
25 15:1 25:10	87:14	71:10, 16, 24	49:3 56:18 57:1	109:17
31:18 49:3	SCADA 28:2	72:10 74:8	signalling 11:14	sort 87:7 100:9
56:17 57:1, 12	34:17, 23 39:21	76:24 77:21	14:17 19:18, 19	sounds 91:12
59:19 62:25	69:6 71:2 78:2,	78:20, 21, 24	34:22 36:5	source 29:5
63:2, 3 64:4, 18	4	79:1, 8, 10, 18	39: <i>19</i> , 25 41: <i>1</i> ,	96:24
79:21 95:18	<b>schedule</b> 13: <i>17</i>	81:5, 14, 24	5, 13, 23 42:4	sources 73:7
roof 17:2	49:20	82:3, 16 83:23,	44:11 75:20	space 23:2
rough 91:11	scope 7:24	24 84:1, 3, 4	93:5, 21 94:17,	67:8
roughly 60:8	19:5 20:2, 23	85:13 86:3	21	speak 51:6
<b>RPR</b> 110:23	scratch 15:17	87:1, 18 88:11,	signature 22:25	90:12 95:20
<b>RTG</b> 12:8, 24	seat 76:14	24, 25 89:11, 21	signed 7:22	speaking 60:8
15:4 46:11	secondly 16:6	90:16 91:24	8:1 24:13	99:16 100:19
73:18 78:18	Section 5:10, 23,	92:3 97:21, 25	significant 20:9	specific 30:13
108:18	25	98:7, 10 105:3	34:2 56:22, 25	44:25 64:15
<b>RTM</b> 19: <i>12</i>	sections 39:2	107:14, 17	57:2, 4, 10 82:11	75:9 79:17
20:2, 5, 17, 21	selected 12:3, 6,	services 6:21	silos 31:18	105:2 108:5
21:16 31:16, 19,	11 20:5	9:25 11:8, 14	similar 58:22	specifically
20, 22 32:1, 5,	send 45:3	24:13, 15, 21	simple 94:5, 18	13:25 36: <i>1</i>
10, 11, 17, 22	93:17	set 36:15	simple 34.3, 70	45:23
33:5 46:9, 10,	sense 10:15	107:14 110:8	simulation 36:4	specifics 24:1
18 47:2 48:22	14:25 15:3	setting 25:12	sit 43:19 57:15	speculative
49:3 55:25	16:22 20:23	seven-day 60:11	site 25:11	43:11
57:20 58:11, 17	53:12 69:12	severe 56:11	92:16	speed 23:19
66:25 73:18, 20	82:22 87:2	90:5, 8	situation 80:16	spending 49:5
82:13 86:1, 2,	106:13	severity 89:18	94:20 98:6	spent 64:10
18, 22 89:23	sensitive 92:9	shadow 27:2	sized 53:8	87: <i>4</i> , 5 101:20
90:6 95:21, 23	105:6 106:7	share 33:6	skill 47:16	104:9
96:3, 4, 19, 20	separate 9:18	shared 4:25 5:6	skilled 47:22	spoke 32:1
98:13 105:11	65:20	sharing 95:10	slow 93:5	sponsor 100:18
106:21	separately 10:3	shed 16:21, 24	small 92:25	101:21
run 42:2 66:15	September 8:1,	18:5 61:5	smooth 84:5	sponsors 101:4,
109:10, 11	2, 7, 12, 13, 15	shift 54:7, 10	snow 17:3	23, 24, 25
running 18:2	12:17, 24 24:10	60:6, 12, 17	18:13 56:11, 13,	spotters 79:2
37:22 38:14	28:4 48:19	61: <i>16</i> 63:25	14 93:4	spring 19:9
50:17 58:24	54:19 59:2	70:2 85:12	<b>software</b> 40: <i>18</i> ,	Stabbler 2:15
66:11, 13, 21	77:19 81:23	shifts 54:10	19 42:25 94:6	110:3, 23
67:11, 17, 22, 23	82:4, 5, 12	60:11	solemn 4:8	staff 52:24, 25
68:2, 4 69:1	86:20 88:12	shock 82:20	solution 13:24	53:15, 16 55:25
70:14 71:6, 8,	89:1 90:3	103:3	98:13 101:24	56:4 57:22, 24
23 73:6 75:3, 7,	107:18	short 13:14	102:1	58:13 59:11
8, 14, 22 76:6, 8	serious 60:24	23:2 43:21	solve 62:10, 23	60:15
77:8, 12 78:22	service 25:1, 15	65:18	64:18	staffed 55:2
79:9, 19 102:12	26:7, 10, 11	shorthand	solved 84:16	60:5
	29:15 30:17	110:17	somebody	staffing 52:17
< S >	36:3, 4 37:10	<b>short-term</b> 43: <i>8</i> ,	56:19, 21 62:1	53:18, 25 55:7
	38:3 41:8			, _0
L				

	1	1	1	
56:7, 16 61:16	stations 56:20	subcontractor	57:16 66:14	64: <i>16</i> 88: <i>14</i>
63: <i>13, 18, 19</i>	84:20, 21, 23	46:8	70:3, 15 71:8, 9	Systra 47:4
Stage 6:24	96:5	subcontractors	72:8, 9 73:3	
7: <i>1</i> 6 13: <i>1</i> 19:2,	status 26:13	108:23	87: <i>14</i>	<t></t>
10 44:14 59:25	stay 69:23	submission	supported 70:12	tag-out 38:20
79:19 107:5	Stenographer/Tra	7:20 11:11	supporting	takes 93:9
stages 100:20	nscriptionist	12:16	59:19	97:21
stand 45:10	2:15	submitted 12:4,	suppose 32:11	talk 105:18
standard 22:10	stenographically	5 21:21 22:5	80:19 106:16	talked 87:15
23:12 75:24	110:13	100:22	supposed 29:16	105:13 107:7
76:1 104:15	step 62:24	submitting	30:1 33:24	talking 34:21
106:2 109:5	steps 45:19	12:22	67:9 83:18	65:11, 12
standpoint	107:11	substantial 28:1	Surely 89:5	tasked 67:11
13:13 39:7	sticks 22:20	56:2 65:24	surprise 81:15	TCMS 41:12,23
43:5 51:22	stock 9:18	71:7 72:9, 13	82:11	42:4, 25 43:14
52:20 57:7	10:1, 5, 13, 14,	77:20 78:19	surprises	64:16
71:16 80:14	17 11:12 14:20,	82:9 88:24	102:25	team 7:12 8:9
106:6	25 15:2 25:10	substation	surprising	10:17 11:20
stands 62:22	31:18 49:3	11:16 19:16	102:18, 23	52:17 53:9
77:14	56:18 57:1, 12	successful	103:22	57:25 58:14
star 70:20, 22	59:19 62:25	83:25	switch 36:11	59:18, 25 60:1
start 15:17	63:2, 3 64: <i>4</i>	successfully	38:22, 25 51:1	66:14 67:8
26:24 29:10, 16	79:21 95:18	50:18	75:19 77:16	69:19 70:4
31:3 43:10	stood 62:21	suddenly 73:3	78:2, 3 91:23	87:5, 13 101:18
44:4 52:19, 23	stop 6:4 86:9	76:8 81:22	switched 69:2	teams 8:23
53:13 55:11, 14,	92:9 94:6	82:5 83:19	switches 57:15,	80:18
15 57:17 58:4	109:20	84:21 85:3 92:3	16	technical 13:12
84:5, 18 86:3	stopped 55:21	sufficient 45:2	system 14:18	93:25 100:23
87:2 88:11	58:5	suggest 108:6	24:25 27:25	107:4
89:21 90:2	storage 15:10	suggested	28:2, 16 33:10	Technician 2:16
96:11 106:9	16:20, 24 17:16	106:22	35:8 36:5, 24	71:25 72:2, 20
107:17	61:5	suggesting	38:9 39:23, 25	technicians
started 7:19	storm 77:13	58:12	41:1, 3, 9, 13	53:7, 8 54:23
12:2 20:6	78:2	suggestion 59:8	42:4, 20 44:7,	57:3, 5, 15, 19
25:11 27:15	storming 84:24	summary 74:21	11 46:15 54:1	59:6 60: <i>8</i> , <i>10</i> ,
30:14 53:6	strategies 13:9	summer 30:15	69:1 71:15	13, 16 61:3, 23,
55:18, 21 63:16	street 61:19, 21	31:2 32:25	72:13 75:17	24 62:16 63:2,
71:10 72:10	strength 23:15	55:18 72:1	76:11 78:5, 18	4, 11, 12, 18
75:22 79:10	stress 44:6	Sunday 60:23	80:5 81: <i>4</i> , <i>18</i>	69:25 70:8, <i>10</i> ,
80:9 83:4 84:8	66:17 81:13	61:13	82:17 88:1	20 71:22 72:14
85:17, 18 90:11,	strong 16:20	super 83:10	91:7, 19 93:5	77:24 92:16
16 92:7	25:18 35:7	93:24 105:6	94:17 98:23	tells 93:5
starting 24:10	structure 10:9	supervision	99:7 101:5	temperature
52:21 58:24	59: <i>13</i>	54:7 70:2, 5, 11	103:21, 24	17:7
68:10 81:10	structured 9:24	supervisor	105:15, 17	temporary 56:12
83:23 84:12	10:16 106:12	54:10 66:22	106:2 109:5, 10	tend 5:14, 15
86:25 91:9	student 72:1	supervisors	systems 7:25	tension 10:16,
starts 86:4, 11	student 72.7	54:12, 13, 22	18:3 19:6, 13	24
state 34:21	subcontract	55:3 70:1	24:14 34:15, 16,	term 19:24
36:20	20:22	supplier 91:12	20, 21 35:10, 12,	100:4
statement 30:19	subcontracted	101:8	14, 17 38:9	terminology
59:5 62:7	95:25	supply 11:18	39:18 40:7, 10,	99: <i>16</i>
74:10 75:6 88:6	subcontracting	95:1	13, 16 45:16	terms 13: <i>14</i> , 22
station 41:10	56:13	support 11:22	47:17 54:9	15:21 17:20, 21
79:2	00.70	40:5 56:14	+1.11 J4.3	20:23 21:1, 2
13.2		40.0 00.14		20.23 21.1,2

22:3, 7 23:15	92:19 97:4	73:10, 12	98:6, 9 102:14	type 54:8
24:1, 2 33:18	109:12	today's 4:7	103:7, <i>1</i> 7	92:24 93:4
39:17 43:11	thinking 16:8	told 12:10, 13	transcribed	104:3
48:8 52:18	22:1 27:13	32:7 64:21	4:16 110:14	typical 30:25
56:12, 16, 18	32:3 36:1 60:9	73:10 82:6, 8	transcript 4:17,	37:17 60:15
63: <i>15</i> 68:5, 8	63:14	Tom 32:22	21, 24 5:5, 6, 9	75:12 102:3
-				1
75:5, 11 77:23	third-party 46:24	top 17:3 60:9	110: <i>17</i>	typically 37:3
79:15 80:2	thought 14:3,	101:13	Transit 4:4	49:14, 23 52:23
84:7 85:7 93:6,	14 63:21 106:11	topic 21:8	6:25 7:17	61:12 97:20
23, 25 95:24	thoughts 59:14	topics 107:6	transition 63:3	typos 5:5
104:9 105:25	thousand 90:19	totally 83:17	<b>Transpo</b> 58:12	
109:8	throw 15: <i>16</i>	touched 107:9	transponders	< U >
test 29:11, 22	time 4:13 9:7	<b>TPS</b> 11: <i>15</i>	44:10, 13, 14, 22	<b>U.S</b> 64:8
40:23 63:12	11:7, <i>1</i> 3 12: <i>14</i> ,	track 19:15	45:2	<b>UK</b> 54:5
65: <i>16</i> , <i>20</i>	20, 21 13:14	20:24 22:7, 8,	TRANSPORT	<b>un</b> 45: <i>12</i>
tested 41:2	14: <i>1, 4, 1</i> 2 18:2	12, 16 23:12, 16,	1:5 2:8	Unattended
testimony	21:5 22:18	18, 24 27:23	trial 5:19 37:22	45:13
110: <i>11</i>	23:2 24:19	28:11 35:1	38:14 58:24	unavailability
testing 37:14	25:13, 14 26:2,	43:17 44:25	66:11, 13, 20	97:8
49:25 50:1	21 27:12,24	51:21, 23, 25	67:11, 17, 22	uncertainty 56:1
63:1 65:22	30:24 32:9	52:4, 8, 10, 13	68:2, <i>4</i> , 25	unclear 22:3
tests 29:11	34:4, 6, 18 36:1,	65:11, 16, 20	70:14 71:6, 8,	uncom 60:14
Thales 19:19	9 37:11, 13, 16,	70:8 96:2	23 73:6 75:3, 7,	uncommon
20:20, 22 40:1,	18 39:14, 15	102:14	8, 14, 22 76:6, 8	93:20
17, 23 41:3	40:22 41:1, 14,	tracks 13:10	77:8, 11 78:22	uncontractual
		15:20, 23	79:8, 19 102:11	89: <i>14</i>
42:19, 20 70:16,	22 42:2, 3, 6, 11,	traction 11:15	-	understaffed
17, 22 71:15, 22,	15 48:2, 6, 17		trip 91:23, 24	1
25 72:3, 20	49:5 50:6	19:16 46:18	tripped 77:15	61: <i>11</i>
73:4 95:11	52:14 55:8, 11,	trade 24:5	78:2	understaffing
Thanks 109:18	17 57:9 58:9,	train 35:18, 19	troll 87:2	61:17
themes 87:6	24 59:4 61:22	36:5, 6 40:2 <i>4</i> ,	troubleshooters	understand
theory 27:3	63: <i>15</i> , 23 64:7,	25 41: <i>4</i> , 5, 9, 12	70:20, 22	9:16 20:13
thing 11: <i>1</i>	11, 21 65:3, 23	42:8, 20 44:12,	troubleshooting	42:18 50:14
17: <i>10</i> , <i>1</i> 9   31: <i>4</i>	66: <i>17</i> 71:6	19, 20, 23, 25	40:4 61:23, 25	61: <i>1</i> 77:25
35:11 42:24	75:16 76:5, 16,	45:2, 6, 13	69: <i>16</i> , 20 72:3,	90:17, 21 93:1,
50:22 52:9	18 77:5, 8, 12	47: <i>12</i> , 23 50:21	15 77:6	2 94:19 98:22
59: <i>15</i> 61: <i>18</i>	78:7, 12, 25	56:21 67:5	true 57:13	understanding
75:15 76:15	79:6 82:3	68:20 70:10	100:6 110:16	14:23 23:17
86:14 87:25	83:22, 23 87:5	77:23 79:14	trued 98:2, 4, 8	50:16 78:17
89:5 96:25	90:10, 22 93:9	84:2 <i>0</i> 93:8	truing 97:18	85:11 86:6, 10
99: <i>19</i> 100:2	98:18 100:25	94:16, 17, 22	98:2 99:17	87:20 90:12, 25
things 14:2, 7, 8,	101:20 104:10	98: <i>1</i> 103: <i>8</i>	trust 51:16	understood
13 16:12, 19	105:6, 8 106:7	trained 29:12	trying 16:3	23:21
21:2 26:17	107:21 110:7, 8,	47:18 51:13	21:3 26:22	undertaken 29:3
27:6, 7 31:16	12	training 10:21	33:11 37:6	undertaking
33:15 35:7	timeline 49:21	27:3 34:12, 13,	38:4, 23 48:6	11:25
38:1, 15 39:21	times 10:12	14, 18 38:7, 8	50:5 51:18	uneducated
41:16, 24 44:1,	22:12 29:15	39:17, 18 48:1,	52:1 66:2 74:6	91: <i>11</i>
12 46:6 59:10	33:3 44:1	4 50:3 51:15	75:4 81:16	unfair 89:9
69:23 71:20	60:20 98:21	trains 18:2	97:16 104:2	units 95:2
80:23 81:17	timing 22:4	23:19 36:2, 3	turn 89:9	university 71:25
82:23 83:9, 19	107:15	44:16 63:16	109:13	unreasonable
84:13 85:21, 22	<b>TOCC</b> 74:12	68:11, 14 75:20	turned 64:24	37:16 85:18
88: <i>16</i> 91: <i>14</i>	today 50:25	78:23, 24 79:24	turnkey 11:9, 10,	unusual 10:25
	l	80:4, 22 97:24	19	

-			
42:23 94:23	volume 36:7	windows 76:13	
updated 41:15		winning 11:17	< Y >
updates 42:20,	< W >	winter 56:10	yard 44:10,21
24	walk 61:19, 21	wipe 18:13	65:19 66:18
upgraded 40:17	walked 60:22	WITNESS 3:3	92:5 96:6, 7, 12
upgrades 40:3,	walking 84:24	5:11, 14, 17	101:22
18, 20, 22, 23	walls 17:2	110:8, <i>11</i>	<b>YCC</b> 66: <i>19</i> , 23
41:3, 4, 24 43:1	wanted 13:9	won 24:18	70:16, 21, 22
urgent 83:10	15:2 16:6, 9, 10,	wondering	74:12
usage 17:21	11 17:6 21:3, 6,	99:18 100:13	Yeah 11:4, 7
useful 62:5	10 34:13 46:23	won't 102:9	15:15 16:18
<b>UTO</b> 45:7, 10	47:11, 25 53:1,	word 65:18	17:14 18:19
,	2 103:20 109:15	work 6:11 9:12,	19:8 20:3 21:2
< V >	wanting 16:20	13 11:25 13:4	24:21 26:3, 8,
vacuum 88:20	warranty 57:5	17:12 18:20	16 28:24 30:7
validate 90:1	61:21, 24 62:15	19: <i>14</i> 24:23	32:15 34:3
Valo 2:9 109:17	63:4, 10, 17	28:5 33:17	35:22 36:25
vehicle 13:7	64:10 69:25	36:5 38:22	42:22 43:1
15: <i>5</i> , 7 20: <i>11</i> ,	wash 15:22	41:16 48:22	45:9 51:9, 10
12 31:21 68:23	washed 82:21	52:10 53:24	54:21, 22, 23
75:24 76:1	84:16	65:5 69:15, 17	62:18 65:6, 14
80:7 91: <i>12</i>	washing 16:1	71:22 77:17	66:2 67:18
95:25 96: <i>1</i>	38:16, 18 96:6	78:18 89:18	68: <i>16</i> 71: <i>4</i> , <i>13</i>
97:18, 20, 21	97:1	90:8 91:17	74:1 79:20
101:8	ways 25:16	96:2 <i>0</i> , 21, 22	80:2 81:7 82:8,
vehicles 10:18	35:8	103:23 105: <i>19</i> ,	19 85:15, 16
11:23 13:3, 5, 6	<b>wear</b> 18: <i>1</i>	<i>20</i> , <i>22</i> , <i>23</i> 106: <i>3</i> ,	87:16 88:4
16:5 18: <i>16</i>	94: <i>12, 14,</i> 23	4, 5, 6	94:10 95:23
26:14 34:22	weather 17:8	work-around	96:15 97:11
35:17 36:14	93:3	44:3 45:8	98:14 99:20
39:19 40:19	website 4:22	work-arounds	100: <i>5</i> , <i>16</i>
60:7 76:22	week 22:12, 17	44:3, 5 53:16	103: <i>15</i> , <i>16</i>
78:19 87:25	23:23, 25 39:12	97:5	104:6 108:7
93:12	53:22 54:10	worked 37:3	109: <i>10</i>
vending 16:12	76:9 84:2, 9, 11	39:5 53: <i>19</i>	<b>year</b> 32:21
VERITEXT	weekend 61:10	62:2 <i>0</i> 102:12	52:24 53:3
110:22	82:9	working 14: <i>4</i>	years 19: <i>11</i> , 22
versus 74:22	weeks 62:3	17:20 18:3	25:14 52:25
videoconference	82:12 86:11	34:10 36:11, 15	62:21
110:7	weight 85:4	37:14 38:15	<b>year's</b> 86: <i>13</i>
Videoconferenci	west 57:19	40:2, 17, 19	yesterday 73:12
<b>ng</b> 1: <i>13</i>	wheel 43:16, 17	41:5, 18 43:2	Young 2:4 4:6,
view 24:25	93:12, 15, 18, 19,	44:2 77:25	12 99:13, 15, 22
25:23 26:12	20, 21 94:12, 14,	78:3 96:17	100:1,8 102:6
33:8 34:2 37:4	23 96:7, 18, 20	98:25 99:5	103:2 <i>0</i> 106: <i>15</i> ,
40:9, 14 41:10	97:12, 15 99:17,	works 40:25	25
53:11 59:7 81:2	18 100:2, 4, 5, 6,	105:25 106:5	
views 32:8	7	worry 48:23	<z></z>
VINCI 12:6	wheels 41:20	worst 17:18	<b>zone</b> 36: <i>10</i>
Virtual 2:16	97:18 98:8	writing 48:8, 13	68:22 77:16, 25
visibility 14:6	wholistic 60:1	49:6	<b>Zoom</b> 1: <i>13</i>
visible 97:13	willing 19:23	wrong 60:19	110:7
visually 50:12	win 10:12	78:8 87:20	
<b>VOBC</b> 68:21	wind 93: <i>3</i>	<b>WRU</b> 77:14	
	1	1	1