Ottawa Light Rail Commission

William Allman on Friday, May 20, 2022



77 King Street West, Suite 2020 Toronto, Ontario M5K 1A1

neesonsreporting.com | 416.413.7755

1	
2	OTTAWA LIGHT RAIL COMMISSION
3	WILLIAM ALLMAN
4	May 20, 2022
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	Held via Zoom Videoconferencing, with all
12	participants attending remotely, on the 20th day of
13	May, 2022, 1:00 p.m. to 3:35 p.m.
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	COMMISSION COUNSEL:
2	Christine Mainville, Counsel Member
3	Mark Coombes, Commission Counsel Member
4	
5	PARTICIPANTS:
6	None
7	
8	ALSO PRESENT:
9	Eveliene Symonds, Stenographer/Transcriptionist
10	Talia Gillani, Virtual Technician
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	INDEX
2	PAGE
3	WITNESS: WILLIAM ALLMAN
4	EXAMINATION BY MS. MAINVILLE 4
5	
6	
7	EXHIBITS
8	
9	1 - Résumé of William Allman 12
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1 -- Upon commencing at 1:00 p.m. 2. WILLIAM ALLMAN: AFFIRMED: 3 BY MS. MAINVILLE: 4 1 So I'll just set out the terms of Ο. 5 the interview. The purpose of today's interview is to obtain your evidence -- sorry, to obtain your 7 evidence under oath or solemn declaration for use of the Commission's public hearing. This will be a 9 collaborative interview such that my co-counsel, 10 Mr. Coombes, may intervene to ask certain 11 questions. 12 The interview is being transcribed, and 13 the Commission intends to enter the transcript into 14 evidence at the Commission's public hearings, 15 either at hearing themselves or by way of 16 procedural order before the hearings commence. 17 The transcript will be posted to the 18 Commissions's public website along with any 19 corrections made to it after it's entered into 2.0 evidence. The transcript will be shared with 21 Commission's participants and their counsel on a 22 confidential basis before being entered into 23 evidence. 24 You'll be given the opportunity to 25 review your transcript and correct any typos or

other errors before the transcript is shared with the participants or entered into evidence. Any non-typographical corrections made will be appended to the transcript.

And, finally, pursuant to section 33 sub 6 of the public inquiries about 2009, a witness at an inquiry shall be deemed to have objected to answer any question asked of him upon the ground that the answer may tend to incriminate the witness or may tend to establish his liability to civil proceedings at the instance of the Crown of any person.

And no answer given by a witness at an inquiry shall be used or by receivable in evidence against him in any trial or other proceedings against him thereafter taking place other than a prosecution for perjury in giving such evidence.

And as required by section 33, sub 7 of the Act, you have the right to object to answer any questions under section 5 of the Canada Evidence Act.

So if that's all agreeable, we can begin.

Could you, first, explain to us your role in Stage 1 of Ottawa's LRT project?

1		A. Yeah, sure. So my role I had
2		two roles on the on the projects, and I I was
3		brought in to to facilitate, to coordinate the
4		handover of documents such as manuals, training,
5		spares from the DBJV for me. For the DBJV to
6		the to the main trainer, RTM, and it was really
7		a, you know, facilitation between the two parties.
8		So that was that was my my first role.
9		And then the second role was the to
10		facilitate/co-chair the trial running process.
11		And, yeah, that that that was there were
12		two they were the two roles.
13	2	Q. Okay. And when you say the DBJV,
14		that's OLRTC?
15		A. Oh, yeah, sorry. OLRTC, sorry.
16	3	Q. The design build joint venture?
17		A. Yeah.
18	4	Q. And at the time, I understand you
19		were an employee of SNC-Lavalin?
20		A. Yeah, that's correct.
21	5	Q. Okay. And when would you have
22		first become involved with the Ottawa LRT? Do you
23		recall the timeframe?
24		A. Okay. So 20 let me just try
25		and try and recall. It must have been, I don't

1 know, the early -- early part -- early 2019 from --2 from memory. I mean, I'd have to go -- I'd have to 3 go back and look at that in more detail. 4 yeah, from -- from what I can recall, you know, 5 maybe the -- the beginning of 2019. Yeah. (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD) 7 BY MS. MAINVILLE: 6 Early 2019. And then did your 0. 9 involvement end after the trains went into service? 10 Α. Yeah. Yes. Yes, it did. 11 when -- beginning of 2019, it was -- it was to 12 facilitate the -- the handover process. And then I -- it wasn't a full-time role. And then I then 13 14 came back to the projects for the trial running --15 again, I'm just trying to recall those dates. I --16 it must have been summer -- June, July, maybe --17 you know, of 2019. And that was really because I 18 had availability, you know, in between projects. 19 So, yeah, that's an approximate -- approximate 20 timeline. 21 Ο. Okay. And do you recall whether 22 your involvement ended on the RSA date or when the 23 trains started becoming available to the public? 24 It was pretty much -- from what I Α. 25 can recall, it was pretty much as the trial running

1		ended.
2	8	Q. Okay.
3		A. Yeah, that yeah.
4	9	Q. Okay. Got it. And so you didn't
5		come back after you you stopped preparing for
6		the handover to RTM and you left and then you came
7		back for trial running, you didn't continue being
8		involved in that work, the handover work?
9		A. No. No. It's no, by then
10		no, my my role pretty much ended from there.
11		And if it if there was any involvement past
12		then, it was it was, like, really minimal, you
13		know. Just a follow-up, an e-mail or, you know
14		yeah, so my my main role finished, because then
15		I I I went back and to Toronto and working
16		with SNC in their in their corporate business.
17		So, yeah, I finished my assignment. My assignment
18		had finished.
19	10	Q. Okay. And do you recall how long
20		you spent on that main role, the handover to RTM?
21		Was that a couple months? Was that a bit longer
22		than that or less?
23		A. I off and on, you know,
24		maybe maybe six months from from memory,
25		yeah. Maybe six months.

1	11	Q. Okay. And just up for a second
2		about your experience. We can bring up your
3		résumé. I see you've been involved in a lot of
4		rail projects; correct?
5		A. Yeah. Yeah, that's yeah, it's
6		all on there from yeah, I think that's
7		that's that's there to say, based on the résumé
8		here.
9	12	Q. And you're a civil engineer?
10		A. Yes. Yes. By trade a civil
11		engineer, chartered civil engineer with the
12		Institute of Civil Engineers in the UK and
13		Engineers Australia in Australia.
14	13	Q. And then you came to Canada and
15		started working for SNC-Lavalin?
16		A. Yes, that's correct.
17	14	Q. In 2016, I see.
18		A. Yeah. Yeah. 2016. September
19		2016.
20	15	Q. Yes, and, sorry, that's on the
21		second page. And in that capacity, you worked on
22		several projects here in Canada as well?
23		A. Yeah, that's correct. Yeah, a
24		number of different projects that have that are
25		all listed there. Let me see if there's another

thing missing there. That's -- that's a good summary, yeah.

Q. And had you had other experience relevant to or akin to the roles that you played on Ottawa's LRT project? So participating or chairing, trial running, and this type of handover between the constructor and maintainer, I should say.

A. Yeah, you know, running, developing, and leading bit teams and, you know, project teams, you know, and managing the interface and the coordination between lots of different disciplines and different types of people you'll find on these projects.

Yeah, you know, experience in that aspect, experience in -- in the coordination of, you know, different -- different parts of organizations. So in a sense of, you know, an owner, you know, an engineering -- the main sense from my alliance work in Australia where we partner with the -- with the client. And, you know, so -- so very much around the -- the -- the coordination, the facilitation of -- of multiple different parties, commissioning of infrastructure in Australia with signalling. But then I have no

1		experience of of actually a you know, a a
2		trial running demonstration on an LRT project.
3	17	Q. And a trial running period or
4		something akin to it, is that typical on a system?
5		A. On on a on a new system,
6		then yes. You know, in the heavy rail industry,
7		you know, we you know, this is existing network.
8		So, you know, there is, you know, tried and tested
9		standards and processes for handback and
10		commissions of our sets. But then, you know, on
11		a on a stand-alone, then, yeah, it's it's
12		it's what you it's what you would see and expect
13		on a on a new on a new railway, for sure.
14	18	Q. And what does it usually look
15		like? I mean, how long does it go for, typically?
16		A. I I do not know. I I I
17		don't know that answer. I I do know that they
18		are undertaken on on projects, on P3 projects
19		and LRT projects from my frame from my
20		knowledge, but I I don't know how long and
21		and to that level of detail.
22	19	Q. Okay.
23		A. Yeah.
24	20	Q. And I take it you've been involved
25		in other light rail projects?

1		A. Light rail but in different in
2		different aspects, yes. So involved in so as
3		per my CV, you know, on bidding for light rail
4		projects and light rail P3 projects and, you
5		know as per the CV you know, there are two in
6		Australia, Canberra and and Sidney. And also in
7		a in a in a capacity of truck renewals on
8		light rail infrastructure in in Melbourne. And,
9		actually, the light rail in Dubai, I was
10		construction manager on the Al Sufouh rail for a
11		period of time.
12	21	Q. We'll file your résumé as the
13		first exhibit, so we can take it down.
14		EXHIBIT 1:
15		Résumé of William Allman
16		BY MS. MAINVILLE:
17	22	Q. So maybe just in terms of your
18		main role, the handover from OLRTC to RTM, could
19		you explain how that unfolded, perhaps what the
20		state of play was when you first came in and go
21		from there?
22		A. Yeah, so from what I can recall,
23		the the the handover, they they needed
24		support in that handover. And they were the RTM
25		were missing documents such as manuals, and they

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

24

also required training on new assets which were being built and testing, commissioned. And also we -- we -- there was also spares. infrastructure's built -- or a station's built, then there's always spare parts, then the handover of those spare parts as well. So it was really to facilitate and get -- to enable RTM to be ready to maintain the system. 23 So I take it the constructors Ο. basically have parts, and then they don't need them anymore when construction is over, but they're useful for maintenance? Is that the idea? Then they pass it --That's exactly it. That's the --Α. and there's an agreement typically of, you know,

A. That's exactly it. That's the -and there's an agreement typically of, you know,
how many spares. And it's like you buy -- I'm just
trying to think. Like you buy a car, you get a
manual on the car. You get training on how to use
the different functions of the car, you know. And
then you may have, I mean, a spare tire, you know.
So based on that analogy, that's the same for any
asset on the railway, on an LRT project.

Q. And given that you weren't there until the very end of the construction project at

1		least on in this role, what impact did that have
2		on that handover?
3		A. Sorry, could you just repeat that?
4	25	Q. Yes, what impact did the fact that
5		construction was not yet entirely complete
6		because you were not at RSA; correct? So what
7		impact did that have on the handover you were
8		applying for?
9		A. I mean, that's that's that's
10		always very common, you know. So, I mean, you
11		don't you've got a there's there's a
12		ramp-up period for the maintainer. So, you know,
13		that starts before, you know, where when I say
14		"way before," you know, kind of you know,
15		typically based on
16		MS. MAINVILLE: Okay. Let's
17		THE WITNESS: individual
18		BY MS. MAINVILLE:
19	26	Q. So can I stop you, Mr. Allman?
20		You froze for several seconds.
21		A. Okay. Sorry.
22	27	Q. It's okay. I think you'll have to
23		restart your answer. You seem fine now, but I
24		think it was quite early in your answer, so
25		THE COURT REPORTER: I can read up to

1		where he froze.
2		MS. MAINVILLE: Okay, great.
3		THE WITNESS: Okay. So as I was
4		saying, it's typical for the construction still to
5		be you know, construction still of the the
6		finishing off the construction, the testing, the
7		commissioning, whilst the maintainer's ramping up.
8		And the maintainer being ready for taking over the
9		maintenance of the system.
10		So, you know, this may typically be,
11		you know, in the range, you know, like, six, 12
12		months, even longer, you know, preparation, and we
13		start to get a handover of spares, manuals being
14		handed over, training. So it all it overlaps.
15		BY MS. MAINVILLE:
16	28	Q. Okay. But typically at the very
17		end, is there supposed to be a last bit of work
18		done, then, on this piece?
19		A. It's supposed to be. To start
20		maintenance, it's supposed to the seamless. There
21		shouldn't be yeah, it should be a seamless
22		process, yeah.
23	29	Q. Okay. And, of course, you weren't
24		there ultimately for that end the end piece and
25		the actual handover; correct?

1		A. Into into revenue service, no.
2		No, I wasn't there.
3	30	Q. So do you have any sense of how
4		that went, or you wouldn't know?
5		A. I I don't know. I yeah.
6	31	Q. And so can you tell us more about
7		the work you did do while you were there to plan
8		for this handover?
9		A. Yeah, so it was it was really,
10		you know it was a real coordination. I you
11		know, it was an enjoyable process, to be honest,
12		because, you know, there was some some really
13		good people working with RTM and OLRT, and it was
14		kind of quite straightforward.
15		It was it was just making sure
16		they they have all the manuals, so, you know,
17		setting up, you know, spreadsheets with from
18		memory, spreadsheets, what were they missing, going
19		and talking to the you know, the different
20		discipline engineers or leads, and chasing up
21		manuals and booking in training and spares, yeah.
22		It was it was it was quite an
23		easy, you know, facilitation of the of the
24		process of handing over, you know, and setting up
25		meetings and getting people to talk and coordinate

1 between them. So that was it. It wasn't really anything more complicated than that, really. Ιt 3 was quite straightforward. 4 32 And so are these meetings just O. 5 between the constructor and RTM, or does it involve other parties like the City or other 7 subcontractors? I -- yeah, so from memory, it --9 you know, it -- it would be a combination of, you 10 know, Alstom were RTM's maintainer, so they kind 11 of -- trying to recall what exact meetings and 12 making the assumption that, you know, they were 13 They -- they would attend those meetings, 14 because, you know, that is a -- it's a facilitation 15 of coordination between different parties. But. 16 you know, mostly it would be OLRTC and RTM, and 17 that's very normal. You know, so... 18 33 But you would've had no role in Ο. 19 ensuring that Alstom maintenance had the documents. 20 You would just make sure RTM had it? 21 Α. Well, that's correct. So RTM, and 22 then RTM, you know, it's their subcontractor, so, 23 you know, my role was to ensure RTM had everything 24 they needed in the sense of the manuals, the 25 training, and the -- and the spares.

1 34 Ο. And were there things that were 2 not yet ready while you were there in terms of user 3 guides and manuals and other such things, other 4 documentation? 5 Α. From what I can recall, there were 6 certain manuals which weren't available at the 7 time, and, you know -- and that's what we were 8 tracking. We were tracking what was missing and, 9 yeah, so -- yeah, there's -- yeah, the -- they were 10 tracked. 11 35 They were tracked. Okay. So when Ο. 12 you left, earlier you said your assignment was 13 finished, but is it possible that was still an 14 outstanding list of documents or records that --15 I -- there -- it would have all Α. 16 been tracked. I can't recall, you know, what was 17 there and what was missing, but it was 18 definitely -- I can recall that there -- there was 19 a tracking document with what was and what wasn't, 20 so it was -- it was clear -- it was clear what --21 what was there and what wasn't. 22 36 And I quess I should be --23 should've been clearer on when you came onto the 24 project, on this role, was it for OLRTC? Were you 25 considered part of OLRTC?

1		A. Yeah, I was OLRTC, but I I took
2		a you know, like, I deal with, you know, a
3		I'm an independent consultant now. You know, even
4		though I was brought in by OLRTC, you know, I
5		really took the approach of, like, you know,
6		independent view, you know, best for projects, and
7		that's kind of how I work, so, yeah.
8	37	Q. And so would this tracking
9		document have a name? Would it be on OLRTC
10		letterhead, at least? Is there any descriptor that
11		you can give us?
12		A. I yeah, I can't recall. You'll
13		have to ask them. I'm sure
14	38	Q. Sam?
15		A I'm sure it's it will be
16		there somewhere. Yeah, maybe RTM has it. Three
17		years ago now, so You know, I you know, I
18		don't know if they yeah, I don't know. Yeah.
19	39	Q. And, sorry, do you say Sam? You
20		have to ask Sam?
21		A. Oh, you have to ask them.
22	40	Q. Them.
23		A. Sorry, yeah, RTM.
24	41	Q. Okay.
25		A. Sorry for that.

1	42	Q. Okay. Got it. And who were you
2		working with on this project, both on OLRTC and
3		RTM's?
4		A. Sorry, individuals or
5	43	Q. Yes, individuals.
6		A. So when so this this
7		handover phase at the start, it was Rupert.
8	44	Q. M-hm. Holloway?
9		A. Yeah, Rupert Holloway. And but
10		then, you know, he he brought me on to the
11		project. And then from the OLRTC side, it was
12		I'm really just trying to remember. It was James
13		Duffy. He was the he was from the systems. I'm
14		trying to trying to recall, like, different
15	45	Q. Did you work with Matt Slade on
16		this portion of the project? I know later on you
17		would for trial.
18		A. I did later on. Yeah, I I
19		would have done, yeah. Matt as well. So Rupert
20		brought me on, and then and then it would have
21		been Matt, you know, when when Rupert left.
22		Then and then other members of OLRTC. I can't
23		recall names.
24	46	Q. That's good enough.
25		A. And then and then RTM, you

1		know, Claude. I can't recall his second name.
2	47	Q. Jacob?
3		A. Yeah, and Tom Pate.
4	48	Q. Tom Pate? Okay.
5		A. Yeah, there was members. They
6		were good good people.
7	49	Q. And in terms of manuals and other
8		documentation, would that have included materials
9		from Thales and Alstom in terms of Alstom as
10		manufacturer of the train?
11		A. You know what? That's a good
12		question, and I can't recall if the if the
13		train if the train stuff was on that, the
14		rolling stock. I can't I don't think it was. I
15		think it was everything other than the train, but I
16		could be wrong. Yeah.
17		But, you know, everything from
18		elevators to to track to you know, to the
19		different comms systems. The Thales stuff, the
20		Alstom the Thales stuff, yeah, that would
21		have yeah, it was switch points, yes. From
22		memory from what I can recall, yeah, that that
23		would have been on there. But I can't remember
24		I can't recall the the rolling stock being on
25		there.

1	50	Q. Okay. And if you were not in
2		charge of the or if I should rephrase. If
3		you didn't deal with the rolling stock materials,
4		would someone else have, or it's just that it may
5		not have been considered?
6		A. I it I I don't know, but
7		I do know that someone somewhere would have been
8		would have been doing that. You know, from
9		Alstom and I'm just assuming here. I don't know
10		this, but I'm assuming that, you know, being
11		Alstom, the manufacturer, it's Alstom maintaining
12		it, that there for sure would have been some form
13		of handover process between those two parts of
14		Alstom, yeah.
15	51	Q. So is it possible that you didn't
16		look at it or it didn't go through OLRTC, because
17		it was assumed or accepted that it would go from
18		Alstom
19		A. Yeah, I
20	52	Q directly?
21		A really don't know. I can't
22		recall.
23	53	Q. Fair enough. And what about
24		OC Transpo as the operator? Did you have any
25		involvement in transferring that information one

1		way or the other to or from?
2		A. No, I I can't recall for
3		that particular role, I I can't recall having to
4		facilitate or hand documents over to OC Transpo as
5		part of that process.
6	54	Q. And do you know how that would
7		have been done, then?
8		A. I I don't. There was a
9		from and I wasn't involved with this, but
10		there you know, like, training on the on,
11		like, the dispatching, yeah, I I I don't
12		know, because I wasn't involved with it, so I don't
13		know.
14	55	Q. So overall, did you in terms of
15		the parts that you did handle, did you see any gaps
16		there or gaps remaining when you were done or
17		encounter any particular challenges?
18		A. I mean, I I I can't recall
19		when I left, what the gaps were left. I yeah.
20		I I I yeah, I I don't know.
21	56	Q. And am I right, then, that no one
22		took over your role, you just it was effectively
23		completed?
24		A. Yeah, I I don't know. I can't
25		recall. I think it was from memory, I think it

1 was just managed then. You know, the -- the bulk 2 of the -- you know, I'm assuming the majority of 3 the -- the information had been handed over. 4 really then it's a -- you know, I suppose what I 5 did was just facilitate the coordination. 6 then, you know, once there's a process in place, 7 you know, people know what's missing. It's really, 8 you know, for RTM to liaise directly with OLRTC. 9 So I don't think, but I could be wrong that, you 10 know, someone took over that specific role. 11 But that -- that would have been -- I'm 12 assuming that would have been -- that would have 13 been, you know, further -- what I -- there has to 14 be further follow-up, I'm assuming. There's got to 15 be between RTM and OLRTC but doesn't need --16 doesn't need an individual in there to manage it 17 anymore. 18 57 Do you recall that there were Ο. 19 still retrofits ongoing right up to RSA and even 20 post-RSA and software upgrades or changes and 21 things like that happening? 22 Yeah, I -- I wasn't involved. 23 58 Okay. So you wouldn't have been 0. 24 aware of that happening? Okay. 25 Just for the record, if you can say no.

1		A. Oh, yeah. No. No. Sorry.
2	59	Q. So let's move to your other role.
3		First of all, did you have any
4		involvement in testing and commissioning more
5		broadly or only trial running?
6		A. Only only trial running.
7	60	Q. Okay. Did you have any sense of
8		how testing and commissioning had gone when you'd
9		come into it and how it looked like? Like, you
10		know, whether it ended up being compressed or
11		anything like that?
12		A. I I don't know, yeah. I didn't
13		have visibility of schedule or the testing
14		commissioning schedule, so I don't know.
15	61	Q. And were you given any information
16		generally about how the trains were running or, you
17		know, any issues being encountered or challenges at
18		that point in time?
19		A. Yeah, from from what I can
20		recall, the the rolling stock and from what I
21		recall, the there weren't as many complete
22		trains available from the from the schedule.
23		That's the that's the only that's that's
24		all I can recall, and that's pretty pretty high
25		level.

1	62	Q. Okay.
2		A. Yeah.
3	63	Q. Okay. And were you first asked to
4		devise procedures or other materials to be used for
5		trial running?
6		A. There was already a
7		specification from what I can recall, there was
8		a you know, there was a requirement in the in
9		the projects agreement, in the PSOS from what I can
10		recall. And then there was already a I don't
11		know if we call it if it was called a manual or
12		maybe maybe maybe a trial running procedure.
13		And that was already there when I arrived.
14		And I was, from what I can recall,
15		asked to divide develop a score card in
16		preparation for the for the trial running based
17		on the you know, if if it is a trial running
18		manual, trial running specification.
19	64	Q. Okay. So maybe we can bring up a
20		document to see if this relates to what you're
21		referencing. It's OTT377178.
22		A. Ahh, yeah. Okay. Yeah.
23	65	Q. You'll see this is called "trial
24		running Test Procedure." And then at the top, it
25		says, Prepared by Matthew Slade and Will Allman.

1		A. M-hm.
2	66	Q. So do you recall then whether you
3		had some involved in preparing this procedure or
4		only parts of it? Was it partially prepared when
5		you arrived?
6		A. Yeah, it from from what I
7		can recall, it was it was pretty much written.
8		And the only way I can recall you know, there
9		would have been a review, and the only thing I can
10		recall, the work I did was the actual score card
11		itself on yeah, on this document. And, you
12		know, there there would have been you know,
13		like with any document, (indiscernible) revisions.
14		So I'm taking it that this must be the either
15		the last one the final
16	67	Q. Yeah, no, if you go down, it says,
17		Final Revision 2, and the date is July 31st, 2019.
18		A. Okay.
19	68	Q. Does that sound like
20		A. Yeah.
21	69	Q your final document?
22		A. I yeah, it's got "final"
23		written on there, so I'm assuming it is.
24	70	Q. Okay.
25		A. Making that assumption.

1 71 Q. And do you recall working --2 walking through this document -- you said that your 3 work involved a review of it. Was there a discussion of the criteria with Mr. Slade or 4 5 otherwise? 6 There -- there would have been, Α. 7 I'm sure, but I -- I can't remember. I can't 8 recall, you know, any -- any of those details. But 9 there -- yeah, there -- I'm sure there was. 10 72 Ο. Do you know --11 Α. Yeah. 12 73 Do you have a general recollection Ο. 13 of what you and/or Matthew Slade sought to achieve 14 with this document in terms of the level of 15 reliability or performance that you were hoping to 16 get based on this particular procedure and the 17 criteria within it? 18 Yeah, there's -- you know, as I 19 said before, there -- you know, this is based on a, 20 you know, agreed -- you know, I think it was -- you 21 know, those -- those requirements, you know, 22 availability, they come from a -- you know, the 23 project's agreement, project specification. 24 whatever availability, requirements, you know, 25 however many days, that was already agreed when

2.

I -- when I -- when I came on the project.

So it wasn't for me to -- to say, you know, okay, you know, so many days or level of availability. That's outside of my -- my agreement, and it's not for me. I was really only there for facilitation and development of score card based on the -- you know, the requirements in this document with regards to availability of the system.

Q. And do you happen to recall what the project agreement required in respect to trial running generally?

A. I -- I do not. I do not. I mean,

I'm sure -- I'm sure that's their -- yeah, I

don't -- I don't recall. I -- I'm -- I'm making

that assumption, because there was this document -
this trial running test procedure was there when I

arrived with those metrics and number of days and

availability.

So I'm just making an assumption that it was based on -- it must have been, because that's how, you know, contracts are executed and not -- not -- you know, it must have been agreed.

If it wasn't in a specification, it would have been agreed between the City, the OC Transpo, and OLRTC

1		before before I arrived.
2	75	Q. And do you recall a requirement
3		for 12 days of consecutive 12 consecutive days
4		of trial running?
5		A. Yeah, I to the to the best I
6		can recall, then, yeah, it was I I think that
7		was one of the requirements.
8	76	Q. And do you have any recollection
9		of how that was interpreted at the outset when this
10		was being devised? Maybe to assist you, let's go
11		to page 3, because there, there's the definition of
12		trial running set out in reference to the project
13		agreement.
14		So you'll see at the bottom there, it
15		says: (As read)
16		"Trial running 12-consecutive
17		day period that may commence upon
18		the successful completion of testing
19		and commissioning. Upon successful
20		completion of trial running, the
21		integrated system will be ready for
22		revenue service."
23		So I don't know if that jogs your memory and if you
24		have recall having any discussions or recall any
25		chat what the 12-day well, what the

1 successful completion of trial running was. 2 Yeah, it says it there. It's, you Α. 3 know, 12 consecutive days of, you know, successful 4 completion and testing commissioning. And that --5 that was -- from memory, that was what the -- like, 6 I could be wrong here, but, like, you know, if 7 it -- if it was in here, then that's what went onto the score card. So it was -- it was quite 8 9 straightforward in that sense of, you know, says it 10 there, so, yeah, 12 consecutive days. 11 77 I suppose my question is: Ο. 12 was a successful completion of trial running? 13 it so 12 consecutive days with a passing grade? 14 Yeah, I mean, it's -- yeah, 12 Α. 15 consecutive days. And then it says there, like you 16 know, where ready for revenue service. So, yeah, 17 upon completion of 12 consecutive days, I -- from 18 memory, that was the end of revenue service. 19 78 And do you recall whether that Ο. 20 could include -- at least when this procedure was 21 being devised and at the outset of trial running, 22 could that include repeat days? 23 I -- I -- I really can't recall. Δ 24 It was -- I -- I'd have to -- I'd have to go back 25 through this document and, yeah, there were --

1		there was, like, pause days and repeat days, but
2		I yeah, I I I just can't I can't
3		remember.
4	79	Q. Okay. And we'll come to this
5		later, but you'll recall that there was a change to
6		some of the criteria during trial running?
7		A. Yeah, it was yeah, I do I do
8		recall that there was some pause days and that, I
9		mean and from memory, you know, without, like,
10		reading through this document, that you know,
11		the 12 consecutive days and then pause days, and
12		it I do I do recall a change. I can't
13		remember the details of that change, but there was
14		a change.
15	80	Q. Okay. So we'll get to some of the
16		aspects of
17		A. Okay.
18	81	Q the change shortly. But one of
19		my questions about the 12 days, then, is do you
20		have any recollection of whether there was a change
21		to the definition of 12 consecutive days? For
22		instance, you know, that it could now include
23		pauses or anything like that? Do you recall that
24		there being a change or whether that procedure
25		was or your interpretation was the same from the

1 outset? 2 Α. It -- it was the procedure, you 3 know, about -- I can't recall the details. 4 want -- I don't want to say something, because I --5 I -- honestly, I -- I can't recall the details. I do recall -- and I -- I -- I just can't remember 7 the details of it. I do recall that there was a change in the procedure, and I -- I think it was 9 around, like, the pausing or the repeat. And to 10 me, it's 12 consecutive days, but I'm not 11 100 percent. 12 If you've got something which jogs my 13 memory, I may be able to answer that more clearly, 14 but I just can't recall from this. 15 82 Okay. We'll see as we walk Ο. 16 through it whether anything jogs you memory. 17 I just want to go back to what you said 18 about if it wasn't in the specification, there 19 would have been an agreement on some of the 20 criteria. Are you able to speak to what you've 21 seen in other projects in terms of how much of the 22 trial running requirements or criteria are set out 23 in the project agreement itself? 24 Not the -- sorry, like, the Α.

details of, like, how many days and --

1	83	Q. Well, so would you expect some of
2		what's contained in this trial running procedure,
3		some of the more specific criteria and the various
4		components that would be evaluated, have you seen
5		that sometimes specified in the project agreement
6		itself, or is it usually more framed quite broadly
7		in the project agreement without
8		A. Yeah, and yeah, no, I mean,
9		it it is from from you know, I'm just
10		thinking to one which I do recall, and it's in
11		the it's in the project's agreement. And I I
12		just can't you know, like, it's I'm sure, you
13		know, there's a I mean, I don't know where you
14		investigated to go, but, you know, there's
15		there's lots of other authorities.
16		I'm sure you can pull some you know,
17		some documents from other other railways, other
18		authorities, and what they would include, but
19		for yeah, and one I recall, yes, there was the
20		type of detail. I just I can't recall. Like,
21		it's yeah. Sorry.
22	84	Q. Okay.
23		A. I can go do a load of analysis for
24		you and, you know, pull on my contacts from
25		authorities around the globe and do an analysis,

1		but I can't pull that up from memory, I'm afraid.
2	85	Q. We may take you up on that.
3		A. Yeah, no, seriously, I'm an
4		independent consultant, so
5	86	Q. Okay. So let me just ask you this
6		way, in case you remember. Do you recall any
7		discussions about the requirements in the project
8		agreement in this particular case on this project
9		being quite vague or ambiguous?
10		And I apologize, apparently my windows
11		are getting washed.
12		A. No, that's all good. You know,
13		I'm just I'm just I'm really trying to help
14		here, and I'm really, like, going deep into my
15		into my memory, and I can't recall. I really can't
16		recall. You know, when we were developing this,
17		you know, I can't recall people raising issues from
18		OC Transpo, but I wasn't involved in a lot of those
19		conversations.
20		You know, I really was brought in to
21		to chair, facilitate kind of like almost like a
22		bit of an independent even though I wasn't at
23		the time to, you know, facilitate. And, you
24		know, if there was issues or disputes, I wasn't
25		involved. And I can't recall, you know, what

1 they -- what those were, if -- if there were any, 2 so... 3 87 Okay. And maybe we can bring this Ο. 4 down for now. 5 Α. Yeah. 6 88 What was -- and perhaps it's as 7 you just described it, but your role as co-chair of 8 the trial running review team? 9 Yeah, it was really to, you know, 10 chair the -- chair the team. It was a great bunch 11 of -- great bunch of people from memory, and it 12 was -- you know, the RTM -- there's a 13 representative. There had to always be a 14 representative from each of the different parties, 15 and that was, from memory, the independent 16 certifier was there, OC Transpo, City of Ottawa, 17 RTM, RTG, and OLRTC. And I believe the OLRTC under 18 the trial running work procedure -- or if it was in 19 a PA or piece, I can't recall -- but it was for the 20 OLRTC to chair -- chair those meetings. 21 really was that. It was -- it was facilitation, 22 chairing the process. And, yeah. 23 89 Ο. And do you recall that at least 24 Matthew Slade's intention -- well, I'm not trying 25 to have you speak to his intentions, but were there

2.

discussions -- or would you have understood from discussions with him or others that this test procedure and the criteria set out there were intended to achieve high enough reliability in terms of reliability metrics -- high enough reliability for the system so that it aligned with the metrics on which RTM would be evaluated following RSA, if you understand what I mean?

So RTM would be evaluated to a certain level or had to achieve certain metrics to not face deductions or penalties.

- A. Yeah. Yeah.
- Q. Do you recall any sense or understanding that this test procedure was meant to -- or the requirements in the test procedure were meant to align with that?

A. I -- I can't recall if it was aligned specifically for that. What I do know is that, yeah, there was a payment mechanism on availability with the RTM contract like there in any P3 contracts, you know, with the maintenance to ensure the level of customer and -- customer satisfaction, availability, you know, things such as graffiti and cleanliness, you know, to a -- to a high enough standard, like peak performance

indicators.

So, you know, by going through this process -- now, whether or not it was linked or not, I'm not sure -- but being a maintainer, taking over an asset, and being on a abatement payment mechanism, yeah, you want to ensure that the service being handed over is of a -- you know, a good quality with availability so you're not going to have deductions through your term.

So, yes, in the sense of that you -you would -- you know, the -- they kind of go hand
in hand, but I don't know if -- I can't recall
if -- I can't recall if RTM was actually driving
the viability regime. I kind of would have thought
that would be from OC Transpo and the City of
Ottawa as the -- the ultimate owner and ultimately
holding the risk of the projects with -- in the
sense of availability of the system. So I don't
know if this answers it.

- Q. Yes, but what do you mean by driving the availability regime?
- A. So the -- the -- when you -- when you receive an asset, you -- you need to -- well, you need to ensure that it's -- that it's of a -- of a quality, of a standard and it -- it's

1		available. Like, so, you know, the metrics in
2		there, you can have a look at the abatement regime,
3		and, you know, that that's that's what you
4		know, by putting you put key performance
5		indicators into a contract to to drive the
6		performance of a of a of a body, of a person,
7		of a maintainer. So that's why they're in there.
8		And that's what I meant by, you know,
9		driving you know, it drives, it ensures that
10		you're going to get a level of service. That's why
11		they're in there. And that's why you get penalised
12		if if you're not achieving that criteria.
13		You know, and that's typically how
14		owners, sponsors, authorities, you know, ensure
15		that they're getting a system which, you know,
16		is is reliable and, you know, is is is
17		clean and safe and and everything which goes
18		into the railway.
19		I don't know if that
20	92	Q. Yes.
21		A. Yeah? Okay.
22	93	Q. Were you involved in the pre-trial
23		running phase or what might have been called
24		practice running?
25		A. Yeah, like, that rings

1	94	Q. Where there may have been failure
2		incidents or
3		A. Yeah, I do I do recall, like
4		I I I can't remember, but if we actually
5		did the score cards, but I do recall, you know, a
6		pre-trial running. But I I don't think from
7		memory that we did, like, a kind of the whole
8		formal I'm I can't recall doing, like, a
9		formal chairing of the of any meetings and score
10		cards. But, yeah, I
11	95	Q. But you may have witnessed some of
12		it, like, you know, some some
13		A. Yeah.
14	96	Q scenarios like failure
15		scenarios?
16		A. Yeah, I I would have for sure.
17		I can't remember exactly what that looked like. I
18		don't know if that's captured captured
19		somewhere. Probably probably is in the data
20		somewhere, but, yeah.
21	97	Q. So do you have any recollection of
22		how the trains were performing going into trial
23		running or prior to trial running?
24		We're frozen.
25		So we lost you again for a moment.

1		A. That's strange. It's yeah,
2		sorry about that.
3	98	Q. It's okay. So we didn't get any
4		answer. And so if you can just I'll repeat the
5		question, which was whether you have any
6		recollection of how the trains were running or
7		performing in the lead-up to trial running?
8		THE COURT REPORTER: Frozen again.
9		BY MS. MAINVILLE:
10	99	Q. We lost you again.
11		A. Sorry. I'm sorry. It's I
12		don't know what's going on with my internet, but it
13		should be more stable now.
14	100	Q. Okay.
15		A. If that happens again, if we can
16		just break for a few minutes while I just go and
17		sort it out.
18	101	Q. That makes sense. Okay. So if
19		you could start your answer.
20		A. Sorry, sorry. I missed the
21		question again.
22	102	Q. Missed the question. Okay. Do
23		you have any recollection of how the trains were
24		running or performing in the lead-up to RSA
25		sorry, in the lead-up to trial running?

1 I -- I can -- I think -- I think I Α. 2 can recall that there was some -- yeah, there 3 were -- it -- we weren't achieving -- I --4 honestly, I -- I -- I can't remember if it was --5 if there was some good days and then there was some 6 bad days. 7 But I -- I do recall that there was 8 some not so good days, but I can't recall -- there 9 must have been -- I -- honestly, I'm kind of --I'm -- I'm really trying to -- trying to remember. 10 11 And I don't know -- you know, I'm kind of assuming 12 that there must have been good days to go into a 13 trial running, but I -- but it's really -- like, 14 I'm -- I'm kind of almost kind of guessing a little 15 bit there. I'm kind of assuming. I can't remember 16 for sure. 17 103 Because normally you would want to Ο. 18 make sure you're ready for trial running before 19 engaging in it. 20 So we'll take a break, yeah. Okay? 21 And if you want to maybe drop off and come back and 22 log back in, we can try that. You know what? 23 were not too far from taking our 15-minute break, 24 so why don't we do that? 25 Sounds good. THE WITNESS: Okay.

1		(ADJOURNMENT)
2		BY MS. MAINVILLE:
3	104	Q. So when we left off, Mr. Allman, I
4		asked you a question about readiness for trial
5		running. So I think you said something along the
6		lines of you're assuming there were good days in
7		terms of the trains running and the lead-up to
8		trial running, because you and because you went
9		to trial running. And I believe my question was
10		along the lines of that I take it you would
11		normally want to ensure the system was ready to go
12		to trial running.
13		A. Yeah, I mean, you (audio glitch).
14		MS. MAINVILLE: Okay. So let's pause.
15		We're still having audio issues, so why don't you
16		try to calling in, then? Maybe stay on the line
17		until we're sure we have you.
18		THE WITNESS: Yeah, sorry, I'm going to
19		dial in off my cell, because I don't know what's
20		going on, because I've switched.
21		(ADJOURNMENT)
22		BY MS. MAINVILLE:
23	105	Q. Okay. So my question, again, was
24		I expect typically when you go into trial running,
25		you want to ensure the trains are running fairly

1		well, at least?
2		A. Yeah, fair enough. That's a fair
3		assumption to make.
4	106	Q. How ready do you want to be in the
5		normal course?
6		A. I mean, you I mean, if I I
7		can't put a you know, a definition of, like,
8		what how ready looks like. You know, I suppose
9		if anything, I mean, it depends you know, it's
10		like you want to be you want to be ready,
11		because you want to you want to succeed. But
12		I I don't know I yeah, without having data
13		or anything in front of me, and even then, it's
14		I don't know the system and the the
15		availability. I mean, that's really the testing
16		commissioning, and, you know, the technical team
17		delivering on what they believe is ready. So I
18		yeah, I
19	107	Q. I take it you wouldn't have had
20		any input or or would you say you had any
21		awareness of discussions about whether the system
22		was ready for trial running?
23		A. I I can't recall those
24		conversations. I'm assuming that and this is
25		just assuming that, you know, people believed that

1		it was ready for trial running if we commenced
2		trial running. But that wasn't my decision.
3	108	Q. Right.
4		A. Yeah.
5	109	Q. Let me ask you this: Was there a
6		date set for trial running that you were working
7		towards for some time?
8		A. I really I I can't remember.
9		I from memory, I from memory, we were looking
10		at a for going into revenue service, I I
11		think it was, like, September, October, and the
12		City wanted some time to prepare themselves. So
13		even though, you know, we get through trying trial
14		running and maybe obligations of the trial running
15		procedure, the contracts, and then there was a I
16		don't know what we call it, but there was a
17		readiness period for OC Transpo.
18		But I from memory, I think it was,
19		like, September, October to go into revenue
20		service. I I can't I can't recall an exact
21		date or kind of even a month. Maybe maybe it
22		was September, because that's when school goes
23		back. But that's just a you know, a guess.
24	110	Q. Do you recall how long that
25		readiness period was going to be for?
	L	

1		A. I I think and I could be
2		wrong here one month comes to mind, but I but
3		I could be wrong.
4	111	Q. In other words, your expectation
5		may have been that even once trial running was
6		complete, and RSA was reached, there may be about a
7		month period before the system became available to
8		the public; is that right?
9		A. I think I think so. I
10		that that's what I can that's the best I can
11		recall.
12	112	Q. Okay. Do you recall any urgency
13		to get to trial running and to subsequently
14		complete trial running?
15		A. Yes. You know, there was a you
16		know, with with any of these these projects,
17		you know, typically, you know and this is
18		speaking globally, you know they don't typically
19		come in on time. And, you know, there is there
20		is lots of examples around the world of of that.
21		You know, and this was no no different.
22		And, you know, the there's
23		there's pressure from the public, you know, from
24		from the media, from memory, and and and then
25		the owner. And then always there's always

1		pressure from the you know, from the actual
2		corporations themselves. So, yeah, that's
3		that's a reasonable assessment.
4	113	Q. Right. And you had that
5		understanding just based on your involvement during
6		the trial running period?
7		A. Yeah, I think anyone who lives in
8		Ottawa could be anyone, some walking on the
9		street, working in a cafe you had that kind
10		of you know, from from memory, the press
11		and yeah, it was you can't not see that. You
12		know, it's it's like the whole city was talking
13		about it, so
14	114	Q. Okay. And do you recall
15		challenges at the start of trial running in terms
16		of how the trains were performing?
17		A. Yeah, I do recall I do recall
18		issues with the availability of the trains. I
19		don't know and I can't recall any specifics on
20		what those issues were, but that was the main
21		that's the main focus, you know, on the
22		availability of the trains.
23	115	Q. Would you have known at the time
24		what the issues were?
25		A. I I would not have any

1 visibility of the actual issues themselves. 2 wasn't involved. If I did know at the time, it was 3 purely from, you know, just general chatter. 4 you know, that always comes with a, you know, word 5 of caution, because it's maybe come in second or 6 third hand. 7 But, yeah, I wasn't -- I wasn't 8 involved in -- in any of those meetings, 9 discussions, regarding the performance of the 10 rolling stock and the -- the details behind them. 11 116 And so in terms of the data that Ο. 12 the trial running team would receive, it did not 13 include details or information about, you know, any 14 particular events on the line or what actual issues 15 might be arising? 16 Yeah, it was -- it was really 17 It was -- it was -- it was quite data. 18 simple in that sense of data of, you know, the --19 as per the score card, there were, you know, so 20 many -- so many trips had to be completed and then 21 from memory, you know, a -- a number of kilometres 22 per day and then, you know, availability of the 23 different systems. 24 And it was really -- that was all 25 derived from data, so I don't recall any -- like,

1 there was -- I don't -- I don't recall that there 2 was any kind of specific reasons for -- you know, 3 it was because of this particular issue on a train 4 or, you know -- you know, it was -- it was really 5 more about the -- the score card and the -- you 6 know, a train had broken down. 7 Probably there -- there would have 8 been, you know, probably discussion, Oh, we didn't 9 achieve it today, because a train broke down, and 10 it stopped the service. It's probably as detailed 11 as that. 12 117 Ο. Okay. 13 Α. Yeah. 14 118 Do you recall whether you would Ο. 15 receive data from Alstom such as their reliability 16 reviews? 17 I can't recall -- I can't recall Α. 18 seeing that. The only data we received was -- oh, 19 was it from -- I can't remember who it came from, 20 because we -- we had -- we had, like, average 21 kilometres per the day, and then -- I don't know. 22 There was a few sources. So, yeah, we didn't get 23 any reliability -- I -- I can't recall seeing any 24 reliability data from Alstom. 25 It was purely on, you know, how many

kilometres trains had gone in the day, and there are a few different sources for that: odometer readings; from memory, there were people on the ends of the terminal stations counting how many trips were made; and then some kilometre data from the -- from the cycling system.

But that was it. It was really just data on, you know, how many kilometres rather than any kind of availability data and reliability data.

Q. And what about, for instance, for the maintenance evaluations? What kind of data would you be kind of relying on for that?

A. So the maintenance -- maintenance one was a -- how did that -- I think it was more of an observation. If from memory, there was a -- there was a table, there was, like, a safety evaluation team. And I think it was more on observations, which I suppose it has -- it has to be in the sense of, you know, incidents, incident response, accidents.

So, yeah, it was more, you know, qualitative rather than quantitative, which, you know, travelers on -- yeah, I can't recall who was -- they used to provide a recommendation on a daily basis of the -- of the maintenance and any

1		issues.
2	120	Q. So would you receive these
3		observations from others, or would you at least
4		occasionally go on the line on site?
5		A. No, it was it was from others.
6		Yeah, no, I you know, I I certainly didn't
7		make those I didn't I didn't make up that
8		determination. It was just that that one was
9		based on the the safety manager. And I think
10		that was from you know, there was a team who
11		was like OC OC Transpo or City of Ottawa and
12		RTM. I yeah.
13	121	Q. Do you recall who was the safety
14		manager?
15		A. What's that, sorry?
16	122	Q. Do you recall who was the safety
17		manager?
18		A. No, I don't.
19	123	Q. Who would they have been with?
20		Who would they have been with? Like, was it a City
21		employee or was it an OLRTC or RTM?
22		A. I I'm pretty sure it would have
23		been a combination. You know, so there's a level
24		of independence in there. So it's not just OLRTC
25		and RTM, but I'm just assume I I can't recall

1 who it was, but I'm pretty -- I'm quite sure that it was someone from the City there as well. 2 3 124 And so outside of the trial Ο. 4 running review team meetings, what -- where would 5 vou be? What would you be doing, if anything? 6 It was a -- it was an enjoyable 7 It was -- it was -- it -- it was -- I -summer. 8 I -- I enjoyed it. I mean, I wasn't on the -- you 9 know, the diplomacy end like the others were, so, 10 you know, it was really facilitating those 11 meetings. And I also attended a meeting in the 12 morning, you know, which may be a little bit more 13 of a -- like, a working level. 14 And that was OC Transpo, I think, City 15 of Ottawa there and RTM and OLRTC. And that was 16 basically -- you know, they would meet and -- and 17 assess some of the -- the data as well. So, yeah, 18 I would attend that one, but I was just really 19 not -- as an observer. I didn't chair those 20 meetings or, you know, participate, because it 21 wasn't my information. I wasn't responsible for 22 the development or import of any of this 23 information data. I didn't chair the meeting from 24 memory. No, I'm pretty sure I didn't chair the 25 meeting.

1	125	Q. And, sorry, I missed part of it.
2		Were these the morning
3		A. Yes.
4	126	Q meetings?
5		A. Yeah.
6	127	Q. Okay. So did that for
7		instance, did that include Steve Nadon, do you
8		recall?
9		A. I can't remember if he was there
10		or not. I think he was. I I I can't recall.
11		To be honest, I'm struggling to remember who was at
12		the meetings. Yeah, Steve may have been there.
13		Yeah, sorry. Yeah.
14	128	Q. If you recall as we go, please let
15		me know who might have been in attendance.
16		A. Yeah.
17	129	Q. Would there have been other
18		members of the afternoon trial running review team
19		who would attend these morning meetings?
20		A. I think I think RT RTM would
21		have been there and the and the OC Transpo.
22		I I can't you know, I can't recall who was
23		there, and I I yeah, I I can't I can't
24		remember, so
25	130	Q. So was there more discussion at

1 these meetings about what happened --2 Α. Yeah. 3 131 -- to (indiscernible)? 0. 4 Yeah, it was kind of like a -- so Α. 5 it -- it's kind of like a -- a day in the life of -- those meetings will be taking place every 7 And they would have taken place every day, 8 you know, whilst the service is in -- whilst we're 9 I -- I would think because it in revenue service. 10 was kind of like a -- I can't remember the name of 11 it, but it was -- yeah, it was -- I think you may 12 have mentioned, but beside -- you meet on a daily 13 basis and review what -- what happened, and, you 14 know, it comes back to the -- you know, the 15 availability regime, the -- the payment mechanism, 16 and it's -- you know, is it a projectco cost or non 17 projectco cost? 18 So it was kind of like a -- a -- like 19 kind of a -- a trial run for how those meetings 20 would then eventuate into when they're in revenue 21 service. But, yeah, I was really an observer in 22 those -- in those meetings. 23 132 So let's start with, I think, the Ο. 24 relevance of whether something is a projectco cost 25 or not, is that -- it wouldn't -- lost kilometres,

1		for instance, may not be deducted or attributed
2		wouldn't enter the tally at the end of the day if
3		it wasn't due to the project company's performance?
4		A. Yeah. Yeah, that's correct.
5	133	Q. And that assessment would be at
6		morning team meetings, not at the afternoon trial
7		running?
8		A. Yeah. Yeah, that's correct.
9	134	Q. And the afternoon team meeting
10		would just receive the outcome of that assessment?
11		A. Yeah. Yeah, so that's and
12		that's in yeah.
13	135	Q. And would there need to be some
14		level of well, I think it's an absolute term.
15		Would there have to be unanimity of the morning
16		meeting or not?
17		A. Yeah, there wouldn't I think
18		I think which is all normal, there's nothing
19		you know, it's all kind of how it would work. You
20		know, I'm I think from memory, it was always,
21		you know, come out of those meetings and, you know,
22		everything would be agreed. And, you know, from
23		memory, if there was any dispute, it was so
24		minimal, and literally it would be, like, you know,
25		such a small amount of kilometres, which made no

1 real impact. 2 And that's the -- that's -- that's all 3 I can remember, because I -- yeah, I -- I just 4 remember, you know, them disputing, which is 5 completely normal, but, you know, is it a -- that kind of thing, doesn't really make any difference. 7 So, you know, because there's always a bit of a buffer with the -- with the kilometre -- you know, 9 the average kilometres. 10 So, yeah, I -- I can't recall there 11 ever being anything significant or, you know, any 12 big disputes which would swing the results of 13 the -- the day. 14 It was always if there was a fail day, 15 it was pretty obvious. I mean, it was, like, a big 16 I can't remember, but I could be wrong, if 17 there was any, like, really close on the line. 18 it really was all around the -- from memory the --19 you know, the -- the -- the availability of the 20 trains. 21 136 0. And so it's possible that there 22 were some that were close to the line that may have 23 passed, but you don't recall? 24 Α. No, I don't -- I -- I can't recall 25 any being really close. Yeah, I just -- I can't

1		remember. It was always, like, amicable. Sorry,
2		mince my words. You know, it was always in
3		those meetings, there was never really any major
4		dispute. So based on that and that's going back
5		to, you know, memory and feeling at the time, and,
6		you know, I'm I'm kind of assuming that there
7		weren't any real kind of close debates.
8		It was kind of like a really obvious
9		fail or it was a it was a it was a pass. But
10		I but I could be wrong. That's just without
11		seeing the data well, score cards and the but
12		I don't remember anything being really close.
13	137	Q. Do you recall everybody, all the
14		parties, being pretty incentivised to get to RSA?
15		A. Yeah, you know, I think that's
16		I think that's fair enough. You know, as we're
17		talking before, you know, everyone wants
18		everyone wanted to get into revenue service, so, I
19		mean, yeah, there's a collective incentive, that
20		wise, for different reasons. So yeah. Yes.
21	138	Q. Would there have been more
22		discussion about events on the line at the morning
23		meetings? Would you have had more awareness there
24		of
25		A. Yeah.

1	139	Q performance?
2		A. Yeah, there would have been.
3		There would be, yeah, more more discussions,
4		more of a working level a working-level
5		discussion.
6	140	Q. And so do you have any
7		recollection of what kind of issues were being
8		encountered?
9		A. It's a I as I said before,
10		the you know, I remember there being, you know,
11		some dispute, which is completely normal, you know,
12		with okay, is it projectco cost, is it a non
13		projectco cost? But it was always from memory,
14		it was always pretty minimal like kilometres. When
15		you actually got to it, there wasn't anything
16		significant. Yeah.
17	141	Q. And would the qualitative
18		evaluation of the maintenance performance that we
19		discussed, would that have been done then at the
20		morning meetings or both or only at the later
21		meetings?
22		A. I can't remember. And I think
23		they used to provide a a little report or a I
24		can't recall if that was in an e-mail. I can't
25		recall if it was provided in that morning meeting

1		or not. I think it was separate, but I yeah, it
2		was I yeah, I just can't I can't recall if
3		it was there or not at that meeting.
4	142	Q. Okay. And you still don't recall
5		who else might have been on the morning or who
6		may have been on the morning meeting team?
7		A. No. Tom Pate would have been
8		there. Tom Pate was there, and and I can't
9		remember if Steve was there or not.
10	143	Q. Okay.
11		A. And, yeah, and then there there
12		were members of OC Transpo and OLRTC and RTM.
13	144	Q. Do you recall whether Troy Charter
14		would have been in the morning meeting?
15		A. I can't remember. I don't know.
16		I don't know if he was there or not. I I could
17		be wrong, but I I don't think he was.
18	145	Q. Just to assist you, he would have
19		been in the afternoon ones, I believe.
20		A. Yeah, he was it was definitely
21		in the afternoon ones, for sure.
22	146	Q. But not necessarily the morning?
23		A. Not necessarily the morning.
24	147	Q. Okay. Did you ever hear anything
25		from Alstom or conveyed to you about Alstom or

1		others being surprised that any given day was a
2		pass?
3		A. I no, I didn't. I didn't.
4		Sorry, I kind of chuckled a bit there. But, no,
5		I I didn't get that.
6	148	Q. Why did you chuckle?
7		A. I suppose a funny statement, like,
8		yeah, from Alstom. No, I I didn't, no, so
9	149	Q. I just wonder whether or not
10		you're chuckling because that's inconsistent with
11		what you understood Alstom to have conveyed or
12		you
13		A. No, it's just the way you say
14		surprised, Alstom surprised at their own rolling
15		stock breaking down. Yeah, no, I don't I
16		didn't I didn't really communicate with I
17		never had even, you know, from my previous role
18		with the with the, you know, facilitation, the
19		handover, I didn't have I didn't have, you know,
20		that much involvement with Alstom. Pretty much all
21		RTM, and I wasn't involved in the rolling stock
22		discussion, so it was pretty limited.
23	150	Q. And to be clear, I meant surprised
24		just that a particular event, for instance, didn't
25		lead to a failure.

1		A. Okay. Yeah, no.
2	151	Q. So do you recall how the change to
3		the criteria came about?
4		A. I don't. So what I can recall is
5		that there was some fail days, and I I think and
6		from memory, that would have meant doing a restart.
7		And I yeah, so I so I I'm I'm kind of
8		assuming that that's that's why the chain
9		there would have been a change.
10	152	Q. You know, to assist you, why don't
11		we bring up the sort of total results, the
12		compilation of results for the entire trial
13		running or the bulk of it, which is at
14		COW270758. And this includes all the daily score
15		cards, but we'll go to the very last page which has
16		a bit of a synopsis. That might help jog your
17		memory. So you'll see there where the restart
18		began after August 8th?
19		A. Ahh. Okay, yeah.
20	153	Q. And then you have eventually the
21		12 days.
22		A. Yeah.
23	154	Q. So does that help you situate
24		when or for what reason the criteria changed?
25		A. So I'm kind of looking at that

1		there. Okay. Yeah, so I remember now. I went
2		to it was it was going well at the beginning,
3		and then as we ramped up, then there was some
4		fails. So that's a pause, then a restart.
5		So based on this here, I'm assuming
6		that the change came about, you know, on that 14th
7		and 15th, because it's got instead of pause,
8		it's got repeat, so, yeah, I'm I'm assuming it
9		happened around then.
10	155	Q. I see. Right. So not right after
11		the restart but a bit later on?
12		A. Yeah. I mean, just just
13		looking at that, I looking at that there, I'm
14		I'm assuming it was around the around the repeat
15		period.
16	156	Q. And so do I take it it was linked
17		to these scored or the repeat scores the repeat
18		day scores, I should say?
19		A. Sorry, can you just say that
20		again, please?
21	157	Q. So do I take it the change in the
22		criteria was linked to the repeat day scores?
23		A. I I I can't recall, but I'm
24		just going off what's in front of me here and
25		making the assumption that that was when there was

1		a change. But I can't recall from memory when
2		when that was other than looking here and making
3		the assumption it's on those days.
4	158	Q. Do you recall, though, that the
5	130	
		intention was linked to reliability issues and
6		needing to to lower the criteria to some extent?
7		A. I remember the the rolling
8		stock and the and the availability, and I wasn't
9		involved in, you know, any discussions around
10		changing the the criteria. So, I mean, going
11		off the score card or metrics, you know, by
12		changing it, I'm not having to, you know, do a
13		do a restart on the 14th and 15th.
14		Then, yeah, you know, I mean, that's
15		I I think that's moving away from the original
16		12 12-day average average kilometre day, a
17		12-day AVKR, which is, you know, without looking at
18		the document, the test procedure had to be
19		consecutive days, but I could be wrong. It would
20		be in the in the document.
21	159	Q. Sorry, it had to be what days?
22		A. Consecutive.
23	160	Q. Consecutive days. Right. So I'm
24		going to ask you, to the best of your recollection,
25		but I may be able to bring you to the score sheet.
1		

1		If the criteria hadn't changed, you're saying it's
2		possible that the 14th and/or the 15th may
3		otherwise have been a restart? And I can bring you
4		to those score sheets, if it assists.
5		A. Yeah, looking at that yeah,
6		that looking at that in front of me there, then,
7		you know, yeah, it's the assumption I would make.
8	161	Q. Let's go up a bit just to assist
9		you to this mark, either well, let's start with
10		the 14th of August. There. So you'll see
11		A. Okay.
12	162	Q there's a few failures on the
13		operational category.
14		A. Yeah.
15	163	Q. Would that normally have led to a
16		restart, those failures?
17		A. I I I'll have to look, you
18		know, back at the the trial running procedure.
19		But from memory, it would it would result in
20		a in a in a in a restart, I think. But,
21		you know, I'd have to look back at the trial test
22		running procedure, you know.
23	164	Q. Okay.
24		A. It should be it should be
25		spelled out in there, because it's a it's a

1		specification you're following. It's a procedure.
2	165	Q. M-hm.
3		A. And it should be clear on there
4		what constitutes, say, a repeat day or pause day or
5		a, yeah, restart.
6	166	Q. The criteria was clear for each of
7		those. It wasn't a qualitative judgment at the
8		outset, I'm saying, in terms of the original
9		procedure?
10		A. I I put it this way. Going
11		into it, I'm sure it was I'm sure it was clear
12		or clear in people's minds of what what the
13		specification meant, you know. You do find in
14		you know you must come across it as well, then,
15		you know, when there's issues, that maybe, you
16		know, it's not so clear anymore. But for yeah,
17		I'd have to I'd have to reread the document
18		and and then make an assessment that way.
19	167	Q. Okay. Do you recall that the
20		travel time and the headway were sort of key items
21		for the scoring?
22		A. I it was yeah, from memory,
23		you know, yeah, it was all around the vehicle, you
24		know. The availability, the kilometres, you know,
25		and the journey time there. But then, you know,

I'm just reading this. Okay.

Weekday, headway three out of four, but then on that score card, you know, we have two reds and two greens. So that's a fail, but then safety is a pass.

Yeah. So, yeah, really around, you know, that operations piece there, the operational and the vehicle availability, I mean, that's what you need for the -- you know, for service to be -- to be performing, you know, carrying -- carrying passengers on trains, you know, especially volume of passengers.

Q. Right. And so do you recall whether -- if maintenance was a failure or was a failing, like, on this card, for instance, for maintenance practices that did not necessarily result in a -- a failed day, including right from the outset of the trial running, so in terms of the original procedure?

A. Yeah, that's -- that's what I recall is even if there was a failure on the maintenance practices and from memory with some of the customer systems, then if the -- the vehicle availability and the operational were passes, then the overall day would be a pass.

1	169	Q. Okay.
2		A. But safety, from what I can
3		recall, you know, it was really a pass. So if you
4		fail safety but where you agreed on absolutely
5		everything else, that it would be a fail day.
6	170	Q. Right.
7		A. From what I recall.
8	171	Q. Right. Those were the driving
9		A. Yeah.
10	172	Q criteria. So could a big
11		maintenance failure ever lead to a failed day or
12		maybe
13		A. I don't.
14	173	Q (indiscernible)?
15		A. I don't know from memory, I
16		don't recall any failure maintenance days resulting
17		in a trial running day fail.
18	174	Q. Do you recall what type of issues
19		were being encountered on the maintenance front?
20		A. I'm just trying to remember.
21		Yeah, I I really don't recall. I'm just trying
22		to think of an example. I'm pretty sure this
23		was this was documented, but I but I can't
24		recall any specifics. I don't know if it was
25		response maybe response time to to to

1		maintenance issues.
2		You know, so if an event was logged,
3		there was a response time. And if RTM didn't
4		respond or rectify the issue within that time, then
5		maybe that was a fail. But I but I could be
6		wrong. I think that's what it was, but I can't
7		I can't recall any examples.
8	175	Q. Do you recall whether there were
9		concerns about the maintenance performance
10		following trial running?
11		A. Yeah, there was. Yeah, I do
12		recall that. I do recall there were concerns that
13		they weren't ready.
14	176	Q. Would you have any sense of what
15		may have been done as a result of that to prepare?
16		A. Sorry, can you can you repeat
17		that?
18	177	Q. Do you have any knowledge of what
19		was planned to correct that, if anything, following
20		trial running?
21		A. I don't, no. Sorry.
22	178	Q. Do you recall because projectco
23		was on the trial running review team, correct, from
24		RTM?
25		A. Sorry, Claude

1	179	Q. Mr. Jacob.
2		A. Yeah. Yes.
3	180	Q. Do you recall whether he shared
4		the concerns about maintenance not being ready?
5		A. He didn't he didn't share those
6		concerns with me.
7	181	Q. Okay. So you don't know one way
8		or the other?
9		A. No.
10	182	Q. Okay. So you said you weren't
11		part of the discussion about changing the criteria.
12		Does that mean you don't know who initiated it?
13		A. I don't I wasn't involved. I
14		can't recall the discussions around who initiated
15		it. What I do know is that in order to make the
16		change that is, a change to a specification, a
17		procedure that needs to be approved or
18		instructed by the owner/sponsor, you know, so in
19		this case, City of Ottawa, OC Transpo.
20	183	Q. Okay. And do you recall one of
21		the changes being that there was a reduction of the
22		number of trains to be run from 15 to 13?
23		A. That does that does ring a
24		bell, but, yeah, I'm really sorry. I don't I
25		can't recall any details on that, but it it

1		it definitely it it rings a bell. That's all
2		I can say.
3	184	Q. Okay.
4		A. Yeah, I can't remember.
5	185	Q. You don't know well, do you
6		know what drove that reduction?
7		A. I
8	186	Q. Sorry, go ahead.
9		A. I was just going to say the
10		only I mean, just looking at it logically, you
11		know, to drive a reduction is because there is a
12		an issue, you know, in the sense of the the
13		availability of the of the systems. So, you
14		know, actually from from memory, when we're
15		going up to the service level the highest
16		service level, then that's when the to the best
17		I can recall, is when we were having failure days.
18		But I but without seeing the data, I
19		can't verify that. But that's just a you know,
20		from memory, the best I can recall.
21	187	Q. There were some challenges making
22		15 trains available; is that fair?
23		A. I I think so. Yeah, from to
24		the best I can recall, yes.
25	188	Q. And do you recall a change to the

1		AVKR average, so the AVKR going from 98 percent to
2		96 percent?
3		A. You know, I don't. I I can't
4		remember that change. Again, it's in if
5		that's you know, if that's what it was changed
6		to, then that that happened. It's all recorded.
7		But I I can't recall that.
8	189	Q. Okay. Do you recall a change from
9		12 days to using the best nine out of 12 days to
10		calculate the AVKR average?
11		A. Yeah, nine okay, yeah, I've
12		I can't remember. What what did you what was
13		the exact question?
14	190	Q. If you recall that being one of
15		the changes.
16		A. No, you just reminded me then.
17		So, yeah, no, I was kind of thinking back on that,
18		and, you know, lower down on what you showed me
19		here, it was the 12. Yeah, no, I can't remember
20		that beyond that.
21	191	Q. Sorry?
22		A. I'd forgotten that it changed from
23		nine to 12 until you told me, so
24	192	Q. Sorry, until I told you. So you
25		were

1		A. Yeah, sorry, until you told me.
2	193	Q. Got it. Okay. And going to try
3		to see if you recall this, even though it's quite
4		specific. I take it that reducing the number of
5		trains from 15 to 13, would it result in fewer
6		scheduled kilometres to be run proportionate to
7		that? Right?
8		A. Yes. Yes. That would be that
9		would be correct, yeah, because there's less trains
10		in the system.
11	194	Q. M-hm.
12		A. So I think that's a I think
13		that's a fair assumption.
14	195	Q. And so but my follow-up question
15		is: Do you recall the number of scheduled
16		kilometres was reduced beyond that or whether it
17		was only a reflection of whether the reduction
18		was only a reflection of the number of trains being
19		run?
20		A. Yeah, I I can't recall it
21		being I I've got no memory of it being
22		reduced, the average kilometres other than, you
23		know, trains less trains. Sorry.
24	196	Q. If we go to August 19th, just to
25		see an example here, you'll see that the

25

1 operational travel time here was a fail. 2 Α. M-hm. 3 197 But the day is a pass. 0. So are you 4 able to speak to that and why that would be the 5 case? And this is following the change in criteria being toward the end of trial running. 7 Yeah, just having a look here. 8 So I'm just starting from the bottom. Okay. Now 9 you know, with Christmas, the systems -- that was 10 quite common, you know. You get some fails in 11 there. You know, vehicle availability was -- was 12 very important. I see the maintenance fail. 13 all the headways of that and the -- and the average 14 vehicle kilometres, they were the main ones. 15 Maybe this is travel time ATMO 23 16 So you know, kind of -- I -- you know, 17 from memory, you know, looking at this, you know, I 18 have to say, well, you know, the big -- the vehicle 19 availability's green. So what have we got? 20 So, okay, daily average. But here it's 97.21. 21 And then it all passes, and it's quite 22 close on the travel time. So, yeah, I mean, 23 it's -- it looks like that, and it's -- it says at 24 the top that would be a pass. I'm just going off,

you know, the memory of -- and, you know, those

1		generated trial runnings and, you know, kind of
2		what would constitute a pass or a fail and based on
3		the the previous score card you showed me.
4	198	Q. So at least in respect of an
5		instance like this, where it was close to the
6		required travel time, there would have been some
7		level of quality judgment on whether
8		A. Yeah.
9	199	Q it was good enough or
10		A. Yes.
11	200	Q (indiscernible)?
12		A. Yes.
13	201	Q. And similarly if we go to
14		August 22nd, you see there on the headway, there
15		are two fails. And normally three out of the four
16		are required to pass, so it's a fail, but the
17		overall day is a pass.
18		A. M-hm. Okay. Yeah, that's hmm.
19	202	Q. Now, there are footnotes if you go
20		down.
21		A. Yeah.
22	203	Q. Just take a moment to review that
23		to see if it refreshes your memory.
24		A. Okay. So, yeah, CC, yeah. Minor
25		issues, not in passing safety, security, or

1		availability. RFI? What is that? RFI OTTC.
2	204	Q. So I was that was going to be a
3		question I was going to come to shortly.
4		A. Yeah.
5	205	Q. But if you recall, the new
6		requirements, in terms of the changes that were
7		made were reflected in this other procedure or
8		document, which I'll take you to later, if you
9		to refresh your memory. But it would have been
10		call RFI0266.
11		A. Okay.
12	206	Q. So do you recall that day what
13		kind of evaluation or assessment would have been
14		made to determine that the day was a pass?
15		A. I I don't do you mind just
16		scrolling back up a second? Yeah. So, actually,
17		sorry, the do you mind just going back down
18		again? And there's one the AVKR refer to
19		Appendix C, RRT conclusion. Yeah, I I just I
20		don't recall based on this.
21	207	Q. Okay. And do you recall what
22		PA is this is a reference to the project
23		agreement, in this footnote, this CCTV and PA?
24		A. Oh, public announcement.
25	208	Q. Ahh, I see. And so would that

1		relate to the headway, that footnote?
2		A. It says oh, CCTV and PA?
3	209	Q. Yes.
4		A. No, that's customer systems and
5		other major systems, so
6	210	Q. And that's down below. Yeah,
7		exactly. Okay. So then the footnote's relevant to
8		the headway?
9		A. Yeah, I don't I can't see one
10		there.
11	211	Q. No.
12		A. This RFI, morning peak, I'm not
13		sure what that's in relation to. And the AVKR,
14		refer to trial running TRRT conclusion.
15		I'm just trying to remember what that
16		is. Trial running I don't know what the RT is.
17		So, yeah, I'm not sure. Sorry.
18	212	Q. Okay. Why don't we take this
19		down, and I'll show you the RFI to see if you
20		recall it. It is at COW442401. So this well,
21		let's start with whether you recall and you can
22		scroll through a bit, whether you recall this being
23		ultimately the criteria relied on after the change.
24		A. Yeah, I can't recall ever seeing
25		this.

1	213	Q. It's dated 2017, if you go the
2		second page. I don't know if you had any sense of
3		reverting back to criteria that had been devised in
4		2017.
5		A. No. Maybe that's when the that
6		must have been when the document was written, I'm
7		guessing.
8	214	Q. Yeah.
9		A. The trial run procedure. Yeah. I
10		can't I can't recall seeing that or not
11		really, yeah. Not really sure what that is in
12		relation to. Looks like it's a yeah, I don't
13		know.
14	215	Q. Mark, can you scroll down a little
15		bit to make sure if there's a sense of the rest of
16		the document? If you go to, for instance, the
17		further down to the section on service delivery
18		yeah. There.
19		So you'll see this is where, for
20		instance, the average AVKR indicates 96 percent?
21		A. Okay. Okay.
22	216	Q. And minimum peak availability, 88
23		percent?
24		A. Yeah.
25	217	Q. So do you believe at the time, you

1		would have had this document?
2		A. I I can't recall seeing this
3		document. Doesn't mean to say I didn't. I I
4		cannot recall seeing this document.
5	218	Q. Fair enough. Do you recall
6		whether after the changes were made to some of
7		the criteria, the remaining criteria still applied
8		in terms of the original trial running test
9		procedure?
10		A. I I don't know.
11	219	Q. You have no recollection?
12		A. No what with regards to
13		this? This RFI, sorry?
14	220	Q. Well, so this RFI only speaks to
15		some of the requirements, I suppose, such as AVKR
16		and reflects some changes to the criteria. But the
17		trial running test procedure that you signed off on
18		with Mr. Slade, the one you were relying upon
19		originally for trial running, is far more detailed,
20		I would say.
21		So I'm just wondering whether the other
22		aspects of the trial running test procedure, the
23		July 2019 one, those still applied to the extent
24		that the trial running review team were still
25		relying on that procedure in some respects?

1		A. I I would think so. I you
2		know, it was the focus was very much, you know,
3		developing a the the steps were, you know,
4		the trial running procedure document, developing a
5		score card which reflects it, and then the focus
6		was all on the on the score card.
7	221	Q. Okay.
8		A. So I I I can't recall I
9		don't know if that's the question, did did
10		did we still refer back to the trial running
11		procedure.
12	222	Q. Okay. Even when there was a
13		qualitative assessment, you didn't go back to it
14		necessarily to evaluate?
15		A. Oh, qualitative and what's that,
16		sorry?
17	223	Q. Well, for instance, the
18		maintenance and
19		A. Oh. Okay. Ahh. Yeah, I'm
20		I I I can't recall on the qualitative. It
21		was on the maintenance if we referred back to that
22		document, although I yeah, I don't I I
23		really don't know. It's
24	224	Q. Was there generally an
25		understanding by everybody on the trial running

review team that the criteria being applied would result in a lesser level of reliability or performance, potentially of the system, than the original criteria sets?

A. It -- it was never -- it was never in those -- in our trial running meetings, it was never discussed, from memory.

Q. So you were not part of the discussions about changing the criteria. So you're presented with new criteria, and you just started applying that criteria. Is that --

A. Yeah, that's -- you know, I facilitate a process, and, you know, the process is changed by -- you know, and as I say before, to get a procedure, you know, a standard PHA, you know, that -- that comes as a -- an instruction or, you know, typically an instruction or -- an instruction or an approval from the sponsor/owner, in this case, OC Transpo, City of Ottawa.

And, you know, as you can see on the score cards, all those members were present and signed off every day, including independent certifier. So, you know, yeah, that's -- that -- that's what's being agreed, that's what was communicated -- I mean, must have been

1		communicated, and, yeah, that and that's what we
2		followed.
3	226	Q. We can take this down.
4		Do you recall being apprised of a terms
5		sheet to get to RSA, an agreement between RTG and
6		the City as to outstanding items that would be
7		deferred?
8		A. No, I I no knowledge. I
9		wasn't involved in that.
10	227	Q. I take it you, then, had no
11		knowledge as to an operational restrictions
12		document devised for entering service?
13		A. No. No, that wasn't part of the
14		trial running committee.
15	228	Q. And do you know in the lead-up to
16		RSA whether anyone was in the position that you
17		were in originally in terms of the transition from
18		OLRTC to RTM?
19		A. Sorry, can you just repeat that,
20		please?
21	229	Q. Yeah. So in the immediate lead-up
22		to RSA, so after trial running or around that time,
23		do you know whether anyone was performing that role
24		you had performed in terms of ensuring the handover
25		transition from OLRTC to RTM?

1		A. No. As as I said before, I
2		can't recall anyone being specifically in that
3		role. It was it was really, you know, at this
4		stage between RTM and OLRTC. If I if I was
5		involved in any way, then it I'm I'm thinking
6		it must have been pretty pretty minor.
7	230	Q. Were there still some issues that
8		you were well, you did you have an
9		understanding that the trains were still
10		experiencing some issues in the latter part of
11		trial running?
12		A. From from what I can recall,
13		the best I can recall is not all the trains. I
14		I can't remember the full count I'm sure you've
15		got this information is that not all the all
16		the trains were available, and that's all I
17		that's all I know.
18	231	Q. By that, do you mean of the 13
19		trains, or do you mean not being able to meet the
20		15? Or more broadly than that?
21		A. Yeah, it was whatever the the
22		contract requirements were with the number of
23		trains, I just recall that not all of the trains
24		were available.
25	232	Q. So the total, like, 34 trains?

1		A. Yeah, I but I I
2		don't I don't recall, you know, what number
3		were
4	233	Q. Did you have a view as to the
5		system's readiness for RSA after trial running?
6		A. No. No. Afraid not.
7	234	Q. Do you
8		A. No, I was you know, regarding
9		anything on availability, was all you know, from
10		my role, was all around the score cards and the
11		percentages on the on the score cards, and that
12		was it, yeah.
13	235	Q. Would you have been aware of other
14		views on the trial running review team about that
15		or concerns, for instance, about the readiness of
16		the system?
17		A. Yeah, I I don't know.
18	236	Q. Okay. And I think I asked you
19		earlier. Do you have any comparators in terms of
20		any other projects that you would have been
21		involved in trial running? Am I right that you
22		said
23		A. No, I
24	237	Q you were
25		A I haven't. I mean, it's not

1		uncommon. I mean, I'm I think maybe Sydney
2		light rail may have gone through similar issues,
3		but I could be wrong. Over in Australia.
4	238	Q. Right. Do you have any knowledge
5		or comparator in terms of what other projects do in
6		terms of a burn-in period, kind of a longer
7		dry-running period on any system like this?
8		A. No. No, I don't, I'm afraid.
9		It's something, you know, you can go find out, but
10		it's yeah. I yeah, I I don't know. I'm
11		sure I'm sure the authorities would would
12		share. And, you know, maybe Metrolinx is is
13		probably quite a good comparator. That's all the
14		infrastructure in Ontario, so
15	239	Q. And just going back to your
16		earlier role on the OLRTC/RTM transition, do you
17		recall reviewing maintenance plans? Or would you
18		have reviewed maintenance plans
19		A. No.
20	240	Q (indiscernible)?
21		A. No, it was quite a as I said
22		previously, it was a pretty easy role in the sense
23		of it was really, you know, a number of different
24		manuals for different assets and hand over those
25		manuals or facilitating the handover. And really,

1		you know, my role was to facilitate the
2		communication and, you know, the working
3		relationship of between RTM and OLRTC, so, no, I
4		didn't I didn't review the manuals.
5	241	Q. Okay. And at least by the time
6		you left, do you recall what the status of the
7		spare parts was? Like, was there still work to be
8		done on that, on ensuring a full complement of
9		spare parts?
10		A. I I can't recall. I can't
11		remember. I could be wrong. I can't remember
12		anything. You know, as I said before, I didn't
13		have, you know, visibility from memory of the of
14		the rolling stock.
15		But with regards to the other spares, I
16		can't remember it being a major issue. I I
17		don't think there was yeah, I I can't recall
18		there being an issue.
19	242	Q. Okay. Is there anything that I
20		haven't asked about that you think I should know or
21		that you may recall that may be relevant to us?
22		A. No, no. I think you've been I
23		think you've been very thorough. I wish I could,
24		you know, answer some of the questions in more
25		detail, so but I'm yeah, just I can't recall.

```
1
           But, no, it's -- I think you've covered
           everything -- everything I know.
 2
 3
    243
                             Thank you.
                                          I'll just check
                        Q.
 4
           whether my colleague has any follow-up questions.
 5
                       MR. COOMBES: No, I don't have any
 6
           follow-ups.
 7
                       MS. MAINVILLE: Okay. Great.
                                                         Then we
 8
           can go off record.
 9
           -- Upon concluding at 3:36 p.m.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
2	
3	I, Eveliene Symonds, BA, CSR(A),
4	Certified Shorthand Reporter, certify;
5	That the foregoing proceedings were
6	taken before me at the time and place therein set
7	forth, at which time the witness was put under oath
8	by me;
9	That the testimony of the witness
10	and all objections made at the time of the
11	examination were recorded stenographically by me
12	and were thereafter transcribed;
13	That the foregoing is a true and
14	correct transcript of my shorthand notes so taken.
15	I further certify that this
16	questioning was conducted in accordance with the
17	Protocol for Remote Questioning, Revised
18	05/05/2020.
19	Dated this 20th day of May, 2022.
20	
21	T// mast
22	
23	NEESONS COURT REPORTING INC.
24	PER: EVELIENE SYMONDS, BA, CSR(A)
25	CERTIFIED REAL-TIME REPORTER

		1	1	
WORD INDEX	130 53:25	173 67: <i>14</i>	21 12: <i>12</i>	34 18: <i>1</i> 82: <i>25</i>
	131 54:3	174 67:18	210 76: <i>6</i>	35 18: <i>11</i>
< 0 >	132 54:23	175 68: <i>8</i>	211 76:11	36 18:22
05/05/2020 87: <i>18</i>	133 55: <i>5</i>	176 68: <i>14</i>	212 76: <i>18</i>	37 19: <i>8</i>
	134 55:9	177 68: <i>18</i>	213 77:1	38 19: <i>14</i>
<1>	135 55: <i>13</i>	178 68:22	214 77:8	39 19: <i>19</i>
1 3:9 4:4 5:25	136 56:21	179 69: <i>1</i>	215 77: <i>14</i>	
12: <i>14</i>	137 57:13	18 11: <i>14</i>	216 77:22	< 4 >
1:00 1:13 4:1	138 57:21	180 69:3	217 77:25	4 3:4 6:18
10 8:19	139 58: <i>1</i>	181 69:7	218 78:5	40 19:22
100 33:11 41:14	14 9:17	182 69:10	219 78:11	41 19:2 <i>4</i>
101 41: <i>18</i>	140 58:6	183 69:20	22 12:17	42 20:1
102 41:22	141 58: <i>17</i>	184 70:3	220 78:14	43 20:5
103 42:17	142 59: <i>4</i>	185 70:5	221 79:7	44 20:8
104 43:3	143 59: <i>10</i>	186 70:8	222 79:12	45 20: <i>15</i>
105 43:23	144 59: <i>1</i> 3	187 70:21	223 79:17	46 20:24
106 44: <i>4</i>	145 59: <i>18</i>	188 70:25	224 79:24	47 21:2
107 44:19	146 59:22	189 71:8	225 80:8	48 21: <i>4</i>
107 44.79 108 45:3	146 59.22 147 59:24	19 11:22	226 81:3	49 21:7
			226 81:3 227 81:10	→ 3 ∠1./
109 45: <i>5</i>	148 60: <i>6</i>	190 71: <i>14</i>	227 81:10 228 81:15	4.5.
11 9: <i>1</i>	149 60:9	191 71:2 <i>1</i>		<5>
110 45:24	14th 62:6	192 71:24	229 81:2 <i>1</i>	5 5:20 6:21
111 46: <i>4</i>	63:13 64:2, 10	193 72:2	22nd 74:14	50 22:1
112 46: 12	15 9:20 69:22	194 72:11	23 13:10 73:15	51 22:15
113 47: <i>4</i>	70:22 72:5	195 72: <i>14</i>	230 82:7	52 22:20
114 47:14	82:20	196 72:24	231 82: <i>18</i>	53 22:23
115 47:23	150 60:23	197 73:3	232 82:25	54 23:6
116 48:11	151 61:2	198 74: <i>4</i>	233 83: <i>4</i>	55 23:14
117 49: <i>12</i>	152 61: <i>10</i>	199 74:9	234 83:7	56 23:21
118 49: <i>14</i>	153 61:20	19th 72:24	235 83:13	57 24:18
119 50: <i>10</i>	154 61:23		236 83:18	58 24:23
12 3:9 9:9	155 62: <i>10</i>	<2>	237 83:24	59 25:2
15:11 30:3	156 62: <i>16</i>	2 6:13 27:17	238 84: <i>4</i>	
31:3, 10, 13, 14,	157 62:21	20 1: <i>4</i> 6:2 <i>4</i>	239 84: <i>15</i>	< 6 >
17 32:11, 19, 21	158 63: <i>4</i>	11:2 <i>4</i>	24 13:2 <i>4</i>	6 5:6 7:8
33:10 61:21	159 63:21	200 74:11	240 84:20	60 25:7
63: <i>16</i> 71: <i>9</i> , <i>19</i> ,	15-minute 42:23	2009 5:6	241 85: <i>5</i>	61 25: <i>15</i>
23	15th 62:7	201 74:13	242 85:19	62 26:1
120 51:2	63:13 64:2	2016 9:17, 18, 19	243 86:3	63 26:3
121 51: <i>13</i>	16 10:3	2017 77:1, 4	25 14: <i>4</i>	64 26:19
122 51: <i>16</i>	160 63:23	2019 7:1, 5, 8,	26 14:19	65 26:23
123 51: <i>19</i>	161 64: <i>8</i>	11, 17 27:17	27 14:22	66 27:2
124 52:3	162 64: <i>1</i> 2	78:23	28 15: <i>16</i>	67 27:16
125 53: <i>1</i>	163 64: <i>15</i>	202 74:19	29 15:23	68 27:19
126 53: <i>4</i>	164 64:23	2022 1: <i>4</i> , <i>1</i> 3		69 27:21
127 53:6	165 65:2	87:19	< 3 >	
128 53:14	166 65: <i>6</i>	203 74:22	3 6:16 30:11	<7>
129 53:17	167 65:19	204 75:2	3:35 1:13	7 5:18 7:21
12-consecutive	168 66: <i>13</i>	205 75: <i>5</i>	3:36 86:9	70 27:24
30:16	169 67:1	206 75:12	30 16:3	71 28:1
12-day 30:25	17 11:3	207 75:21	31 16: <i>6</i>	72 28:10
63: <i>16</i> , <i>17</i>	170 67:6	208 75:25	31st 27:17	73 28:12
13 9: <i>14</i> 69: <i>22</i>	171 67:8	209 76:3	32 17: <i>4</i>	74 29:10
72:5 82:18	171 67:0	20th 1:12 87:19	33 5:5, 18 17:18	75 30:2
72.0 02.10	07.70		33 0.0, 10 11.10	

76 30:8	after 4:19 7:9	applied 70:7 22	attributed 55:1	29:7, 21 47:5
76 30.8 77 31: <i>11</i>	1	applied 78:7, 23 80:1		-
	8:5 61:18		audio 43:13, 15	51:9 57:4 62:5
78 31: <i>19</i>	62:10 76:23	applying 14:8	August 61:18	74:2 75:20
79 32: <i>4</i>	78:6 81:22 83:5	80:11	64:10 72:24	basically 13:11
	afternoon 53:18	apprised 81:4	74:14	52:16
< 8 >	55:6, 9 59:19, 21	approach 19:5	Australia 9:13	basis 4:22
8 8:2	ago 19:17	approval 80:18	10:20, 25 12:6	50:25 54:13
80 32:15	agreeable 5:22	approved 69:17	84:3	becoming 7:23
81 32: <i>18</i>	agreed 28:20,	approximate	authorities	began 61:18
82 33:15	25 29:23, 25	7:19	34:15, 18, 25	beginning 7:5,
83 34: <i>1</i>	55:22 67: <i>4</i>	arising 48:15	39:14 84:11	11 62:2
84 34:22	80: <i>24</i>	arrived 26:13	availability 7:18	believe 36:17
85 35:2	agreement	27:5 29:18 30:1	28:22, 24 29: <i>4</i> ,	43:9 44:17
86 35: <i>5</i>	13: <i>16</i> 26: <i>9</i>	asked 5:8 26:3,	8, 19 37:20, 23	59:19 77:25
87 36:3	28:23 29:5, 11	<i>15</i> 43: <i>4</i> 83: <i>18</i>	38:8, 18, 21	believed 44:25
88 36:6 77:22	30:13 33:19, 23	85:20	44:15 47:18, 22	bell 69:24 70:1
89 36:23	34:5, 7, 11 35:8	aspect 10:16	48:22 50:9	best 19:6 30:5
8th 61: <i>18</i>	75:23 81:5	aspects 12:2	54:15 56:19	46: <i>10</i> 63: <i>24</i>
	ahead 70:8	32:16 78:22	63:8 65:2 <i>4</i>	70:16, 20, 24
< 9 >	Ahh 26:22	assess 52:17	66:8, 24 70:13	71:9 82:13
9 8: <i>4</i>	61: <i>19</i> 75:25	assessment	73:11 75:1	bidding 12:3
90 37:13	79:19	47:3 55:5, 10	77:22 83:9	big 56:12, 15
91 38:20	akin 10:4 11:4	65:18 75:13	availability's	67:10 73:18
92 39:20	Al 12:10	79:13	73:19	bit 8:21 10:10
93 39:22	align 37:16	asset 13:23	available 7:23	15:17 35:22
94 40: <i>1</i>	aligned 37:6, 18	38:5, 23	18:6 25:22	42:15 52:12
95 40: <i>11</i>	alliance 10:20	assets 13:1	39:1 46:7	56:7 60: <i>4</i>
96 40: <i>14</i> 71:2	ALLMAN 1:3	84:2 <i>4</i>	70:22 82:16, 24	61:16 62:11
77:20	3:3, 9 4:2	assignment	average 49:20	64:8 76:22
97 40:21 73:19	12:15 14:19	8:17 18:12	56:9 63:16	77:15
97.21 73:20	26:25 43:3	assist 30:10	71:1, 10 72:22	body 39:6
98 41:3 71: <i>1</i>	Alstom 17:10,	59:18 61:10	73:13, 20 77:20	booking 16:21
99 41: <i>10</i>	19 21:9, 20	64:8	AVKR 63:17	bottom 30:14
	22:9, 11, 14, 18	assists 64:4	71:1, 10 75:18	73:8
< A >	49: <i>15</i> , <i>24</i> 59: <i>25</i>	assume 51:25	76:13 77:20	break 41: <i>16</i>
abatement 38:5	60:8, 11, 14, 20	assumed 22:17	78:15	42:20, 23
39:2	ambiguous 35:9	assuming 22:9,	aware 24:24	breaking 60:15
absolute 55:14	amicable 57:1	10 24:2, 12, 14	83:13	bring 9:2 26:19
absolutely 67:4	amount 55:25	27:23 42:11, 15	awareness	36:3 61:11
accepted 22:17	analogy 13:22	43:6 44:2 <i>4</i> , 25	44:21 57:23	63:25 64:3
accidents 50:20	analysis 34:23,	57:6 61:8 62: <i>5</i> ,		broadly 25:5
achieve 28:13	25	8, 14	< B >	34:6 82:20
37: <i>4</i> , 10 49:9	and/or 28:13	assumption	BA 87:3, 24	broke 49:9
achieving 39:12	64:2	17:12 27:25	back 7:3, 14	broken 49:6
42:3	announcement	29:16, 20 44:3	8: <i>5</i> , <i>7</i> , <i>15</i> 31:2 <i>4</i>	brought 6:3
Act 5:19, 21	75:24	62:25 63:3	33:17 42:21, 22	19: <i>4</i> 20: <i>10</i> , 20
actual 15:25	answers 38:19	64:7 72:13	45:23 54:1 <i>4</i>	35:20
27:10 47:1	anymore 13: <i>12</i>	ATMO 73:15	57:4 64:18, 21	buffer 56:8
48: <i>1</i> , <i>14</i>	24:17 65:16	attend 17:13	71:17 75:16, 17	build 6:16
ADJOURNMENT	apologize 35:10	52:18 53:19	77:3 79:10, 13,	built 13:2, 4
43:1, 21	apparently	attendance	21 84:15	bulk 24:1 61:13
AFFIRMED 4:2	35:10	53:15	bad 42:6	bunch 36:10, 11
afraid 35:1	appended 5:3	attended 52:11	based 9:7	burn-in 84:6
83:6 84:8	Appendix 75:19	attending 1:12	13:22 14: <i>15</i>	business 8:16
	I	1	26:16 28:16, 19	I

buy 13: <i>17</i> , <i>18</i>
<pre><c> cafe 47:9 calculate 71:10 call 26:11 45:16 75:10 called 26:11, 23 39:23 calling 43:16 Canada 5:20 9:14, 22 Canberra 12:6 capacity 9:21 12:7</c></pre>
captured 40:18 car 13:18, 19, 20 card 26:15 27:10 29:7 31:8 48:19 49:5 63:11 66:3, 15 74:3 79:5, 6
cards 40:5, 10 57:11 61:15 80:21 83:10, 11 carrying 66:10 case 35:6, 8 69:19 73:5 80:19
category 64:13 caution 48:5 CC 74:24 CCTV 75:23 76:2 cell 43:19
certain 4:10 18:6 37:9, 10 certainly 51:6 CERTIFICATE 87:1
Certified 87:4, 25 certifier 36:16 80:23 certify 87:4, 15 chain 61:8 chair 35:21
36:10, 20 52:19, 23, 24 chairing 10:6 36:22 40:9 challenges 23:17 25:17 47:15 70:21

<i>522</i>
change 32:5, 12,
13, 14, 18, 20, 24
33:8 61:2, 9
62:6, 21 63:1
69:16 70:25
71: <i>4</i> , 8 73:5
76:23
changed 61:24
64:1 71:5, 22
80: <i>14</i>
changes 24:20
69:21 71: <i>15</i>
75:6 78:6, 16
changing 63:10,
12 69:11 80:9
charge 22:2
changing 63:10, 12 69:11 80:9 charge 22:2 Charter 59:13
chartered 9:11
chasing 16:20
chat 30:25
chatter 48:3
check 86:3
Christine 2:2
Christmas 73:9
chuckle 60:6 chuckled 60:4 chuckling 60:10 City 17:6 29:25
chuckled 60:4
chuckling 60:10
City 17:6 29:25
36: <i>16</i> 38: <i>15</i>
45:12 47:12
51:11, 20 52:2,
14 69:19 80:19
81:6
civil 5:10 9:9,
10, 11, 12
Claude 21: <i>1</i>
68:25
clean 39:17
cleanliness
37:2 <i>4</i>
clear 18:20 60:23 65:3, 6,
11 10 16
11, 12, 10
clearly 33:13 client 10:21
clearly 33:13
client 10:21
CIOSE 56.17, 22,
25 57:7, 12
73:22 74:5
co-chair 36:7
co-counsel 4:9
collaborative
4:9
colleague 86:4
collective 57:19

combination
17:9 51:23
come 8:5 25:9
28:22 32: <i>4</i>
42:21 46:19
48:5 55:21
65:14 75:3
comes 46:2
48: <i>4</i> 54: <i>14</i>
80:16
commence 4:16
30:17
commenced
45:1
commencing
4:1
COMMISSION
1:2 2:1, 3 4:13
commissioned
13:2
commissioning
10:2 <i>4</i> 15:7
25: <i>4</i> , <i>8</i> , 14
30:19 31:4
44:16
commissions
11: <i>10</i>
Commission's
4:8, <i>14</i> , 21
Commissions's
4:18
committee 81:14
common 14:10
73:10
comms 21:19
communicate
60: <i>16</i>
communicated
80:25 81: <i>1</i>
communication
85:2
company's 55:3
comparator
84:5, 13
comparators
83:19
compilation
61: <i>12</i>
complement
85:8
complete 14:5
25:21 46:6, 14
completed
23:23 48:20

completely 56:5 58:11 completion 30:18, 20 31:1, *4*, 12, 17 complicated 17:2 components 34:*4* compressed 25:10 concerns 68:9, 12 69:4, 6 83:15 concluding 86:9 conclusion 75:19 76:14 conducted 87:16 confidential 4:22 consecutive 30:3 31:3, 10, 13, 15, 17 32:11, 21 33:10 63:19, 22, 23 considered 18:25 22:5 constitute 74:2 constitutes 65:4 construction 12:10 13:12, 25 14:5 15:*4*, *5*, 6 constructor 10:7 17:5 constructors 13:10 consultant 19:3 35:4 contacts 34:24 contained 34:2 continue 8:7 contract 37:20 39:5 82:22 contracts 29:22 37:21 45:15 conversations 35:19 44:24 conveyed 59:25 60:11 Coombes 2:3 4:10 86:5 coordinate 6:3 16:25 coordination

10:12, 16, 22

16:*10* 17:*15* 24:5 corporate 8:16 corporations 47:2 correct 4:25 6:20 9:4, 16, 23 14:6 15:25 17:21 55:4, 8 68:19, 23 72:9 87:14 corrections 4:19 5:3 cost 54:16, 17, 24 58:12, 13 COUNSEL 2:1, 2, 3 4:21 count 82:14 counting 50:4 **couple** 8:21 **course** 15:23 44:5 **COURT** 14:25 41:8 87:23 covered 86:1 COW270758 61:14 COW442401 76:20 criteria 28:4, 17 32:6 33:20, 22 34:3 37:3 39:12 61:3, 24 62:22 63:6. 10 64:1 65:6 67:10 69:11 73:5 76:23 77:3 78:7, 16 80:1, 4, 9, 10, 11 **Crown** 5:11 CSR(A 87:3, 24 customer 37:22 66:23 76:4 **CV** 12:3. 5 cycling 50:6 < D > daily 50:25

<D>
daily 50:25
54:12 61:14
73:20
data 40:19
44:12 48:11, 17,
18, 25 49:15, 18,
24 50:5, 8, 9, 11

52: <i>17</i> , 23 57: <i>11</i>
70:18 [°]
dete 7:00
date 7:22
27: <i>17</i> 45: <i>6</i> , <i>21</i>
27:17 45:6, 21 dated 77:1
87:19
dates 7:15
day 1:12 30:17
40.00 40.04
48:22 49:21 50:1 54:5, 7
50:1 54: <i>5</i> , 7
55:2 56:13, 14
00.4 00.40 00
60:1 62:18, 22
63: <i>16</i> 65: <i>4</i>
63:76 65:4 66:17, 25 67:5, 11, 17 73:3 74:17 75:12, 14
44 47 70.0
11, 17 /3:3
74:17 75:12, 1 <i>4</i>
80.22 87.10
Joseph 20:25
80:22 87:19 days 28:25
29:3, 18 30:3
31:3, 10, 13, 15,
17, 22 32:1, 8,
11, 19, 21 33:10,
25 42.5 6 8 12
40.0.04.5.04
25 42:5, 6, 8, 12 43:6 61:5, 21 63:3, 19, 21, 23
63:3, 19, 21, 23
67:16 70:17
07.70 70.77
71:9
DBJV 6:5, 13
deal 19:2 22:3
debates 57:7
decision 45:2
declaration 4:7
deciaration 4./
deducted 55:1
deductions
37:11 38:9
deemed 5:7
deep 35:14
deferred 81:7
definitely 18:18
59:20 70:1
definition 30:11
40111111011 00.77
22.24 44.7
32:21 44:7
32:21 44:7
32:21 44:7 delivering 44:17
32:21 44:7 delivering 44:17 delivery 77:17
32:21 44:7 delivering 44:17 delivery 77:17 demonstration
32:21 44:7 delivering 44:17 delivery 77:17
32:21 44:7 delivering 44:17 delivery 77:17 demonstration 11:2
32:21 44:7 delivering 44:17 delivery 77:17 demonstration 11:2 depends 44:9
32:21 44:7 delivering 44:17 delivery 77:17 demonstration 11:2 depends 44:9 derived 48:25
32:21 44:7 delivering 44:17 delivery 77:17 demonstration 11:2 depends 44:9 derived 48:25 described 36:7
32:21 44:7 delivering 44:17 delivery 77:17 demonstration 11:2 depends 44:9 derived 48:25 described 36:7
32:21 44:7 delivering 44:17 delivery 77:17 demonstration 11:2 depends 44:9 derived 48:25 described 36:7 descriptor 19:10
32:21 44:7 delivering 44:17 delivery 77:17 demonstration 11:2 depends 44:9 derived 48:25 described 36:7 descriptor 19:10
32:21 44:7 delivering 44:17 delivery 77:17 demonstration 11:2 depends 44:9 derived 48:25 described 36:7 descriptor 19:10
32:21 44:7 delivering 44:17 delivery 77:17 demonstration 11:2 depends 44:9 derived 48:25 described 36:7 descriptor 19:10 design 6:16 detail 7:3
32:21 44:7 delivering 44:17 delivery 77:17 demonstration 11:2 depends 44:9 derived 48:25 described 36:7 descriptor 19:10 design 6:16 detail 7:3 11:21 34:20
32:21 44:7 delivering 44:17 delivery 77:17 demonstration 11:2 depends 44:9 derived 48:25 described 36:7 descriptor 19:10 design 6:16 detail 7:3

022
detailed 49:10 78:19
details 28:8
32:13 33:3, 5, 7,
25 48:10, 13
69:25
determination
51:8
determine 75:14 develop 26:15
developing
10: <i>10</i> 35: <i>16</i>
79:3, <i>4</i>
development
29:6 52:22
devise 26:4
devised 30:10
31:21 77:3
81: <i>12</i>
dial 43:19
difference 56:6
different 9:24
10:12, 13, 17, 23
10:12, 13, 17, 23 12:1, 2 13:20 16:19 17:15
20:14 21:19
36:14 46:21
48:23 50:2
57:20 84:23, 24
diplomacy 52:9 directly 22:20
directly 22:20
24:8
discipline 16:20
disciplines
10: <i>13</i> discussed
58: <i>19</i> 80: <i>7</i>
DISCUSSION
7:6 28:4 49:8
53:25 57:22
58:5 60:22
69:11
discussions
30:24 35:7
37:1, 2 44:21
48:9 58:3 63:9
69: <i>14</i> 80: <i>9</i>
dispatching 23:11
dispute 55:23
57:4 58:11
disputes 35:24
56:12
disputing 56:4
divide OC. 15

divide 26:15

document
aocament
18: <i>19</i> 19: <i>9</i>
26:20 27:11, 13,
21 28:2, 14
29:8, 16 31:25
20:40 62:40 20
32:10 63:18, 20 65:17 75:8
65:77 75:8
77:6, 16 78:1, 3,
<i>4</i> 79: <i>4</i> , 22 81:12
documentation
18: <i>4</i> 21: <i>8</i>
documented
67:23
documents 6:4
12:25 17:10
12:25 17:19 18:14 23:4
18:14 23:4
34:17
doing 22:8 40:8 52:5 61:6
40:8 52:5 61:6
drive 39:5
70:11
drives 39:9
driving 38:13,
21 39:9 67:8
drop 42:21
drove 70:6
dry-running
84:7
Dubai 12:9
due 55:3
Duffy 20:13
_
<e></e>
earlier 18: <i>12</i> 83: <i>19</i> 84: <i>16</i>
earlier 18:12 83:19 84:16 early 7:1, 8
earlier 18:12 83:19 84:16 early 7:1, 8 14:24
earlier 18:12 83:19 84:16 early 7:1, 8
earlier 18:12 83:19 84:16 early 7:1, 8 14:24 easy 16:23 84:22
earlier 18:12 83:19 84:16 early 7:1, 8 14:24 easy 16:23 84:22 effectively 23:22
earlier 18:12 83:19 84:16 early 7:1, 8 14:24 easy 16:23 84:22 effectively 23:22 elevators 21:18
earlier 18:12 83:19 84:16 early 7:1, 8 14:24 easy 16:23 84:22 effectively 23:22 elevators 21:18 e-mail 8:13
earlier 18:12 83:19 84:16 early 7:1, 8 14:24 easy 16:23 84:22 effectively 23:22 elevators 21:18 e-mail 8:13 58:24
earlier 18:12 83:19 84:16 early 7:1, 8 14:24 easy 16:23 84:22 effectively 23:22 elevators 21:18 e-mail 8:13 58:24 employee 6:19
earlier 18:12 83:19 84:16 early 7:1, 8 14:24 easy 16:23 84:22 effectively 23:22 elevators 21:18 e-mail 8:13 58:24 employee 6:19 51:21
earlier 18:12 83:19 84:16 early 7:1, 8 14:24 easy 16:23 84:22 effectively 23:22 elevators 21:18 e-mail 8:13 58:24 employee 6:19 51:21 enable 13:8
earlier 18:12 83:19 84:16 early 7:1, 8 14:24 easy 16:23 84:22 effectively 23:22 elevators 21:18 e-mail 8:13 58:24 employee 6:19 51:21 enable 13:8 encounter 23:17
earlier 18:12 83:19 84:16 early 7:1, 8 14:24 easy 16:23 84:22 effectively 23:22 elevators 21:18 e-mail 8:13 58:24 employee 6:19 51:21 enable 13:8 encounter 23:17 encountered
earlier 18:12 83:19 84:16 early 7:1, 8 14:24 easy 16:23 84:22 effectively 23:22 elevators 21:18 e-mail 8:13 58:24 employee 6:19 51:21 enable 13:8 encounter 23:17 encountered 25:17 58:8
earlier 18:12 83:19 84:16 early 7:1, 8 14:24 easy 16:23 84:22 effectively 23:22 elevators 21:18 e-mail 8:13 58:24 employee 6:19 51:21 enable 13:8 encounter 23:17 encountered 25:17 58:8 67:19
earlier 18:12 83:19 84:16 early 7:1, 8 14:24 easy 16:23 84:22 effectively 23:22 elevators 21:18 e-mail 8:13 58:24 employee 6:19 51:21 enable 13:8 encounter 23:17 encountered 25:17 58:8 67:19 ended 7:22 8:1,
earlier 18:12 83:19 84:16 early 7:1, 8 14:24 easy 16:23 84:22 effectively 23:22 elevators 21:18 e-mail 8:13 58:24 employee 6:19 51:21 enable 13:8 encounter 23:17 encountered 25:17 58:8 67:19
earlier 18:12 83:19 84:16 early 7:1, 8 14:24 easy 16:23 84:22 effectively 23:22 elevators 21:18 e-mail 8:13 58:24 employee 6:19 51:21 enable 13:8 encounter 23:17 encountered 25:17 58:8 67:19 ended 7:22 8:1,

engineer 9:9, 11

```
engineering
10:19
Engineers 9:12,
13 16:20
enjoyable 16:11
52:6
enjoyed 52:8
ensure 17:23
37:22 38:6, 24
39:14 43:11, 25
ensures 39:9
ensuring 17:19
81:24 85:8
enter 4:13 55:2
entered 4:19, 22
5:2
entering 81:12
entire 61:12
entirely 14:5
errors 5:1
especially 66:11
establish 5:10
evaluate 79:14
evaluated 34:4
37:7, 9
evaluation
50:17 58:18
75:13
evaluations
50:11
Eveliene 2:9
87:3, 24
event 60:24
68:2
events 48:14
57:22
eventually 61:20
eventuate 54:20
everybody
57:13 79:25
evidence 4:6, 7,
14, 20, 23 5:2,
14, 17, 20
exact 17:11
45:20 71:13
exactly 13:15
40:17 76:7
EXAMINATION
3:4 87:11
example 67:22
72:25
examples 46:20
68:7
executed 29:22
```

exhibit 12:13, 14 existing 11:7 **expect** 11:12 34:1 43:24 expectation 46:4 experience 9:2 10:3, 15, 16 11:1 experiencing 82:10 explain 5:24 12:19 **extent** 63:6 78:23 < F > face 37:10 facilitate 6:3 7:12 13:7 23:4 24:5 35:21, 23 80:13 85:1 facilitate/co**chair** 6:10 facilitating 52:10 84:25 facilitation 6:7 10:23 16:23 17:14 29:6 36:21 60:18 fact 14:4 **fail** 56:14, 16 57:9 61:5 66:*4* 67:*4*, *5*, *17* 68:*5* 73:1, 12 74:2, 16 **failed** 66:17 67:11 **failing** 66:15 fails 62:4 73:10 74:15 failure 40:1, 14 60:25 66:14, 21 67:11, 16 70:17 **failures** 64:12, Fair 22:23 44:2 57:16 70:22 72:13 78:5 **fairly** 43:25 feeling 57:5 **fewer** 72:5 file 12:*12* final 27:15, 17, 21, 22

finally 5:5

find 10:14

65:13 84:9
fine 14:23
finished 8:14,
17, 18 18:13
finishing 15:6
focus 47:21
79:2, 5
followed 81:2
following 37:8
65:1 68:10, 19 73:5
73:5
follow-up 8:13
24: <i>14</i> 72: <i>14</i>
86: <i>4</i>
follow-ups 86:6
footnote 75:23
76:1
footnotes 74:19 footnote's 76:7 foregoing 87:5,
footnote's 76:7
foregoing 87:5,
13
forgotten 71:22
form 22:12
formal 40:8, 9
forth 87:7
frame 11:19
framed 34:6
front 44:13
62:24 64:6
front 44:13 62:24 64:6 67:19
froze 14:20
15: <i>1</i>
frozen 40:24
41:8
full 82:14 85:8
full-time 7:13
functions 13:20
funny 60:7
< G >

<G> gaps 23:15, 16, 19 general 28:12 48:3 generally 25:16 29:12 79:24 generated 74:1 Gillani 2:10 give 19:11 given 4:24 5:13 13:24 25:15 60:1 giving 5:17 glitch 43:13

globally 46:18 **globe** 34:25 **good** 10:1 16:13 20:24 21:6, 11 35:12 38:8 42:5, 8, 12, 25 43:6 74:9 84:13 grade 31:13 qraffiti 37:24 **great** 15:2 36:10, 11 86:7 **green** 73:19 **greens** 66:4 ground 5:8 guess 18:22 45:23 guessing 42:14 77:7 guides 18:3

< H > hand 23:4 38:11, 12 48:6 84:24 handback 11:9 **handed** 15:14 24:3 38:7 **handing** 16:24 **handle** 23:15 handover 6:4 7:12 8:6, 8, 20 10:6 12:18, 23, 24 13:5 14:2. 7 15:*13*, *25* 16:*8* 20:7 22:13 60:19 81:24 84:25 **happen** 29:10 happened 54:1, 13 62:9 71:6 happening 24:21, 24 **happens** 41:*15* headway 65:20 66:2 74:14 76:1,8 **headways** 73:13 **hear** 59:24 hearing 4:8, 15 hearings 4:14, 16 **heavy** 11:6

Held 1:11

help 35:13 61:16, 23 high 25:24 37:4, 5, 25 highest 70:15 hmm 74:18 holding 38:17 Holloway 20:8, 9 honest 16:11 53:11 honestly 33:5 42:4, 9 hoping 28:15

< l > idea 13:13 immediate 81:21 impact 14:1, 4, 7 56:1 **import** 52:22 important 73:12 incentive 57:19 incentivised 57:14 incident 50:19 incidents 40:2 50:19 include 31:20, 22 32:22 34:18 48:13 53:7 included 21:8 **includes** 61:*14* including 66:17 80:22 inconsistent 60:10 incriminate 5:9 independence 51:24 independent 19:*3*, *6* 35:*4*, *22* 36:15 80:22 indicates 77:20 indicators 38:1 39:5 indiscernible 27:13 54:3 67:14 74:11 84:20 individual 14:17 24:16 individuals 20:4, 5

industry 11:6

information 22:25 24:3 25:15 48:13 52:21. 23 82:15 infrastructure 10:24 12:8 84:14 infrastructure's 13:*4* initiated 69:12, 14 input 44:20 inquiries 5:6 inquiry 5:7, 14 instance 5:11 32:22 50:10 53:7 55:1 60:24 66:15 74:5 77:16, 20 79:17 83:15 Institute 9:12 instructed 69:18 instruction 80:16, 17 integrated 30:21 intended 37:4 intends 4:13 intention 36:24 63:5 intentions 36:25 interface 10:11 **internet** 41:*12* interpretation 32:25 interpreted 30:9 intervene 4:10 **interview** 4:5, 9, 12 investigated 34:14 involve 17:5 involved 6:22 8:8 9:3 11:24 12:2 23:9. 12 24:22 27:3 28:3 35:18, 25 39:22 48:2, 8 60:21 63:9 69:*13* 81:*9* 82:5 83:21 involvement 7:9.

22 8:11 22:25

25:4 47:5 60:20

issue 49:3 68:4 70:12 85:16, 18 issues 25:17 35:17, 24 43:15 47:18, 20, 24 48:1, 14 51:1 58:7 63:5 65:15 67:18 68:1 74:25 82:7, 10 84:2 items 65:20 81:6

< J > Jacob 21:2
69:1
James 20:12
jog 61:16
jogs 30:23
33:12, 16
joint 6:16
journey 65:25
judgment 65:7
74:7
July 7:16
27:17 78:23
June 7:16

< K > key 39:4 65:20 kilometre 50:5 56:8 63:16 kilometres 48:21 49:21 50:1, 8 54:25 55:25 56:9 58:14 65:24 72:6, 16, 22 73:14 kind 14:*14* 16:14 17:10 19:7 35:21 38:11. 14 40:7 42:9, 11, 14, 15 45:21 47:9 49:2 50:9, 11, *12* 54:*4*, *5*, *10*, 18, 19 55:19 56:6 57:6, 7, 8 58:7 60:*4* 61:*7*, 25 71:17 73:16 74:1 75:13 84:6 knowledge

11:20 68:18

81:8, <i>11</i> 84: <i>4</i> known 47:23
<l> lead 60:25</l>
67:11
leading 10:10
leads 16:20
lead-up 41:7, 24, 25 43:7 81:15,
21 43.7 61.75,
led 64:15
left 8:6 18:12
20:21 23:19
43:3 85:6
lesser 80:2
letterhead 19:10
25·25 28·14
level 11:21 25:25 28:14 29:3 37:10, 22
39: <i>10</i> 51:23
52:13 55:14
58: <i>4</i> 70: <i>15</i> , <i>16</i>
74:7 80:2
liability 5:10 liaise 24:8
life 54:5
LIGHT 1:2
11:25 12: <i>1</i> , <i>3</i> , <i>4</i> ,
8, 9 84:2
limited 60:22 lines 43:6, 10
linked 38:3
62: <i>16</i> , <i>22</i> 63: <i>5</i>
listed 9:25
literally 55:24
lives 47:7 load 34:23
load 34:23
log 42:22
logged 68:2 logically 70:10
long 8:19
11: <i>15</i> , 20 45:24
longer 8:21
longer 8:21 15:12 84:6 looked 25:9
looked 25:9
40: <i>17</i> looking 45: <i>9</i>
61:25 62: <i>1</i> 3
63:2, 17 64:5, 6
70:10 73:17
looks 44:8
73:23 77:12

lost 40:25
41:10 54:25
lot 9:3 35:18
lots 10:12
34:15 46:20
lower 63:6
71: <i>18</i>
LRT 5:25 6:22
10: <i>5</i> 11:2, <i>19</i>
13:23
< M >
made 4:19 5:3

3 50:5 55:25 75:7, 14 78:6 87:10 main 6:6 8:14, 20 10:19 12:18 47:20, 21 73:14 maintain 13:8 maintainer 10:7 14:*1*2 15:8 17:10 38:4 39:7 maintainer's 15:7 maintaining 22:11 maintenance 13:13 15:9, 20 17:19 37:21 50:11, 13, 25 58:*18* 66:*14*, *16*, 22 67:11, 16, 19 68:1, 9 69:4 73:12 79:18, 21 84:17, 18 Mainville 2:2 3:4 4:3 7:7 12:16 14:16, 18 15:2, 15 41:9 43:2, *14*, *22* 86:7 **major** 57:3 76:5 85:16 majority 24:2 **making** 16:*15* 17:12 27:25 29:15, 20 62:25 63:2 70:21 manage 24:16 managed 24:1 manager 12:10 51:9, *14*, *17* **managing** 10:*11* manual 13:19

26:11, 18

manuals 6:4 12:25 15:*1*3 16:*16*, *21* 17:*24* 18:3.6 21:7 84:24. 25 85:4 manufacturer 21:10 22:11 Mark 2:3 64:9 77:14 materials 21:8 22:3 26:4 Matt 20:15, 19, 21 **Matthew** 26:25 28:13 36:24 meant 37:14, 16 39:8 60:23 61:6 65:13 mechanism 37:19 38:6 54:15 media 46:24 meet 52:16 54:12 82:19 meeting 52:11, 23, 25 55:9, 16 58:25 59:3, 6, 14 meetings 16:25 17:4, 11, 13 36:20 40:9 48:8 52:*4*, 11, 20 53:4, 12, 19 54:1, 6, 19, 22 55:6, 21 57:3. 23 58:20, 21 80:6 Melbourne 12:8 **Member** 2:2, 3 members 20:22 21:5 53:18 59:12 80:21 memory 7:2 8:24 16:18 17:8 21:22 23:25 30:23 31:5, 18 32:9 33:13, 16 35:1, 15 36:11, 15 40:7 45:9, 18 46:24 47:10 48:21 50:3, 15 52:24 55:20, 23 56:18 57:5 58:13 61:6, 17

63:1 64:19

65:22 66:22 67:*15* 70:*14*, *20* 72:21 73:17, 25 74:23 75:9 80:7 85:13 mentioned 54:12 **metrics** 29:18 37:5, 7, 10 39:1 63:11 Metrolinx 84:12 **M-hm** 20:8 27:1 65:2 72:11 73:2 74:18 mince 57:2 mind 46:2 75:*15*, *17* minds 65:12 minimal 8:12 55:24 58:14 **minimum** 77:22 Minor 74:24 82:6 **minutes** 41:16 73:16 missed 41:20, 22 53:1 **missing** 10:*1* 12:25 16:*18* 18:8, 17 24:7 moment 40:25 74:22 month 45:21 46:2. 7 months 8:21, 24, 25 15:12 **morning** 52:*12* 53:2, 19 55:6, 15 57:22 58:20, 25 59:5, 6, 14, 22, 23 76:12 move 25:2 moving 63:15 multiple 10:23 < N >**Nadon** 53:7 **names** 20:23 necessarily 59:22, 23 66:16

79:14

17:24

needed 12:23

needing 63:6 **needs** 69:17 **NEESONS** 87:23 network 11:7 **new** 11:5, 13 13:1 75:5 80:10 **non** 54:16 58:12 nontypographical 5:3 **normal** 17:*17* 44:5 55:18 56:5 58:11 normally 42:17 43:11 64:15 74:15 **notes** 87:14 **number** 9:24 29:18 48:21 69:22 72:4, 15, 18 82:22 83:2 84:23 < 0 >

object 5:19 objected 5:7 objections 87:10 obligations 45:1*4* observation 50:15 observations 50:18 51:3 observer 52:19 54:21 obtain 4:6 **obvious** 56:15 57:8 OC 22:24 23:4 29:25 35:18 36:16 38:15 45:17 51:11 52:14 53:21 59:12 69:19 80:19 occasionally 51:4 October 45:11, 19 odometer 50:2 **OLRT** 16:13 **OLRTC** 6:14, 15 12:18 17:16 18:24, 25 19:1,

William Allman on 3/20/
4, 9 20:2, 11, 22 22:16 24:8, 15 29:25 36:17, 20 51:21, 24 52:15 59:12 81:18, 25 82:4 85:3 OLRTC/RTM 84:16 ones 59:19, 21 73:14
ongoing 24: <i>19</i> Ontario 84: <i>14</i>
operational
64: <i>13</i> 66: <i>7</i> , <i>24</i> 73: <i>1</i> 81: <i>11</i>
operations 66:7
operator 22:24
opportunity 4:24 order 4:16
69: <i>15</i>
organizations
10: <i>18</i> original 63: <i>15</i>
65:8 66:19
78:8 80:4
originally 78:19 81:17
OTT377178
26:2 <i>1</i> OTTAWA 1:2
6.22 36.16
38:16 47:8 51:11 52:15 69:19 80:19
51:11 52:15 69:19 80:19
Ottawa's 5:25
10: <i>5</i> OTTC 75: <i>1</i>
outcome 55:10
outset 30:9
31:21 33:1 65:8 66:18
outside 29:4
52:3
outstanding 18: <i>14</i> 81: <i>6</i>
overall 23:14
66:25 74:17 overlaps 15:14
owner 10: <i>19</i>
38:16 46:25
owner/sponsor 69: <i>18</i>
owners 39:14
< P >

022
p.m 1:13 4:1 86:9 P3 11:18 12:4
37:21 PA 36:19 75:22, 23 76:2
part 7:1 18:25 23:5 53:1 69:11 80:8
81:13 82:10 partially 27:4 participants
1: <i>12</i> 2: <i>5</i> 4: <i>21</i> 5:2 participate
52:20 participating 10:5
particular 23:3, 17 28:16 35:8 48:14 49:3 60:24
parties 6:7 10:24 17:6, 15 36:14 57:14
partner 10:20 parts 10:17 13:5, 6, 11 22:13 23:15
27:4 85:7, 9 pass 13:14 57:9 60:2 66:5, 25 67:3 73:3, 24 74:2, 16, 17
75:14 passed 56:23 passengers 66:11, 12
passes 66:24 73:21 passing 31:13
74:25 Pate 21:3, 4 59:7, 8
pause 32:1, 8, 11 43:14 62:4, 7 65:4
pauses 32:23 pausing 33:9 payment 37:19
38:5 54:15 peak 37:25 76:12 77:22
penalised 39:11

penalties 37:11

```
preparation
15:12 26:16
prepare 45:12
68:15
Prepared 26:25
27:4
preparing 8:5
27:3
PRESENT 2:8
80:21
presented 80:10
press 47:10
pressure 46:23
47:1
pre-trial 39:22
40:6
pretty 7:24, 25
8:10 25:24
27:7 51:22
52:1, 24 56:15
57:14 58:14
60:20, 22 67:22
82:6 84:22
previous 60:17
74:3
previously 84:22
prior 40:23
procedural 4:16
procedure
26:12, 24 27:3
28:16 29:17
31:20 32:24
33:2, 8 34:2
36:18 37:3, 14,
15 45:15 63:18
64:18, 22 65:1,
9 66:19 69:17
75:7 77:9 78:9,
17, 22, 25 79:4,
11 80:15
procedures 26:4
proceedings
5:11, 15 87:5
process 6:10
7:12 15:22
16:11, 24 22:13
23:5 24:6
36:22 38:3
80:13
processes 11:9
project 5:25
10:5, 11 11:2
13:23, 25 18:24
20:2, 11, 16
```

28:23 29:1, 11

30:12 33:23 34:5, 7 35:7, 8 55:3 75:22 projectco 54:16, 17, 24 58:12, 13 68:22 projects 6:2 7:14, 18 9:4, 22, 24 10:14 11:18, *19, 25* 12:*4* 19:6 26:9 33:21 38:17 46:16 83:20 84:5 project's 28:23 34:11 proportionate 72:6 prosecution 5:17 Protocol 87:17 **provide** 50:24 58:23 provided 58:25 **PSOS** 26:9 public 4:8, 14, 18 5:6 7:23 46:8, 23 75:24 **pull** 34:16, 24 35:1 purely 48:3 49:25 purpose 4:5 pursuant 5:5 **put** 39:4 44:7 65:10 87:7 putting 39:4 < Q > qualitative

Q > qualitative 50:22 58:17 65:7 79:13, 15, 20 quality 38:8, 25 74:7 quantitative 50:22 question 5:8 21:12 31:11 41:5, 21, 22 43:4, 9, 23 71:13 72:14 75:3 79:9 questioning 87:16, 17

questic	ns	4:11
5:20	32:19	9
85:2 <i>4</i>	86:4	4
quite	14:2	4
16: <i>14</i> ,	22	17:3
31:8	34:6	35:9
48:17	52:1	1
72:3	73:10	0, 21
84:13,	21	
,		
_		

< R >**RAIL** 1:2 9:4 11:6, 25 12:1, 3, *4*, *8*, *9*, *10* 84:2 **railway** 11:*13* 13:23 39:18 railways 34:17 **raising** 35:17 ramped 62:3 ramping 15:7 ramp-up 14:12 range 15:11 reached 46:6 read 14:25 30:15 readiness 43:4 45:17, 25 83:5, 15 reading 32:10 66:1 readings 50:3 **ready** 13:8 15:8 18:2 30:21 31:16 42:18 43:11 44:4, 8, 10, 17, 22 45:1 68:13 69:4 real 16:10 56:1 57:7 **really** 6:6 7:17 8:12 13:7 16:9, *12* 17:1, 2 19:5 20:12 22:21 24:*4*, 7 29:5 31:23 35:13, 14, 15, 20 36:9, 21 42:10, 13 44:15 45:8 48:16, 24 49:4 50:7 52:10, 18 54:21 56:6, 17, 18, 25 57:3, 8, 12 60:16 66:6 67:3, 21 69:24

77:11 79:23 82:3 84:23, 25 REAL-TIME 87:25 reason 61:24 reasonable 47:3 reasons 49:2 57:20 recall 6:23, 25 7:4, 15, 21, 25 8:19 12:22 17:*11* 18:*5*, *16*, 18 19:12 20:14, 23 21:1, 12, 22, 24 22:22 23:2, 3, 18, 25 24:18 25:20, 21, 24 26:7, 10, 14 27:2, 7, 8, 10 28:1, 8 29:10, 15 30:2. 6. 24 31:19, 23 32:5, 8, 12, 23 33:3, 5, 6, 7, 14 34:10, 19, 20 35:6, 15, 16, 17, 25 36:19, 23 37:13, 17 38:12, 13 40:3, 5, 8 42:2, 7, 8 44:23 45:20, 24 46:11, 12 47:1*4*, 17, 19 48:25 49:1, 14, 17, 23 50:23 51:*13*, *16*, *25* 53:8, 10, 14, 22 56:10, 23, 24 57:13 58:24, 25 59:2, *4*, *13* 61:2, 4 62:23 63:1, 4 65:19 66:13, 21 67:3, 7, 16, 18, 21, 24 68:7, 8, 12, 22 69:3, 14, 20. 25 70:17. 20. 24, 25 71:7, 8, 14 72:3, 15, 20 75:5, 12, 20, 21 76:20, 21, 22, 24 77:10 78:2, 4, 5 79:*8*, *20* 81:*4* 82:2, 12, 13, 23 83:2 84:17 85:6, 10, 17, 21, 25

receivable 5:14

receive 38:23 48:12 49:15 51:2 55:10 received 49:18 recollection 28:12 30:8 32:20 40:21 41:6, 23 58:7 63:24 78:11 recommendation 50:24 **RECORD** 7:6 24:25 86:8 recorded 71:6 87:11 records 18:14 rectify 68:4 **reds** 66:3 reduced 72:16, 22 reducing 72:4 reduction 69:21 70:6, 11 72:17 refer 75:18 76:14 79:10 reference 30:12 75:22 referencing 26:21 referred 79:21 reflected 75:7 reflection 72:17, 18 reflects 78:16 79:5 refresh 75:9 refreshes 74:23 regarding 48:9 83:8 regards 29:8 78:12 85:15 regime 38:14, 21 39:2 54:15 relate 76:1 **relates** 26:20 relation 76:13 77:12 relationship 85:3 relevance 54:24 relevant 10:4 76:7 85:21 reliability 28:15 37:*4*, *5*, *6* 49:*15*,

23, 24 50:9 63:5 80:2 reliable 39:16 **relied** 76:23 **relying** 50:12 78:18, 25 remaining 23:16 78:7 remember 20:12 21:23 28:7 32:3, 13 33:6 35:6 40:*4*, 17 42:4, 10, 15 45:8 49:19 53:9, 11, 24 54:10 56:3, 4, 16 57:1, 12 58:10, 22 59:9, 15 62:1 63:7 67:20 70:4 71:4. 12. 19 76:15 82:14 85:11, 16 reminded 71:16 **Remote** 87:17 remotely 1:12 renewals 12:7 repeat 14:3 31:22 32:1 33:9 41:*4* 62:*8*, 14, 17, 22 65:4 68:16 81:19 rephrase 22:2 **report** 58:23 REPORTER 14:25 41:8 87:*4*, 25 REPORTER'S 87:1 **REPORTING** 87:23 representative 36:13, 14 required 5:18 13:1 29:11 74:6, 16 requirement 26:8 30:2 requirements 28:21, 24 29:7 30:7 33:22 35:7 37:15 75:6 78:*15* 82:22 **reread** 65:17

respect 29:11 74:4 respects 78:25 respond 68:4 response 50:20 67:25 68:3 responsible 52:21 rest 77:15 **restart** 14:23 61:*6*, *17* 62:*4*, 11 63:13 64:3, 16, 20 65:5 restrictions 81:11 result 64:19 66:17 68:15 72:5 80:2 resulting 67:16 **results** 56:12 61:11. 12 Résumé 3:9 9:3, 7 12:12, 15 retrofits 24:19 revenue 16:1 30:22 31:16, 18 45:10, 19 54:9, 20 57:18 reverting 77:3 review 4:25 27:9 28:3 36:8 52:4 53:18 54:13 68:23 74:22 78:24 80:1 83:14 85:4 reviewed 84:18 reviewing 84:17 **reviews** 49:16 Revised 87:17 Revision 27:17 revisions 27:13 **RFI** 75:1 76:12, 19 78:13, 14 **RFI0266** 75:10 ring 69:23 rings 39:25 70:1 risk 38:17 role 5:25 6:1, 8, 9 7:13 8:10, 14, 20 12:18 14:1 17:*18*, *23* 18:*24* 23:3, 22 24:10 25:2 36:7

60:17 81:23

00 0 00 10
82:3 83:10
84: 16, 22 85: 1 roles 6:2, 12
roles 6:2, 12
10: <i>4</i>
rolling 21:14, 24
22:3 25:20
48:10 60:14, 21
63:7 85: <i>14</i>
RRT 75:19
DCA 7:22 14:6
RSA 7:22 14:6
24:19 37:8
24:19 37:8 41:24 46:6
57: <i>14</i> 81: <i>5</i> , <i>16</i> ,
22 83:5
RT 53:20 76:16
RTG 36:17 81:5
RTM 6:6 8:6,
20 12:18, 24
13:8 16: <i>13</i>
17:5, 16, 20, 21,
<i>22 23 19 16 23</i>
22, 23 19:16, 23 20:25 24:8, 15
20.20 27.0, 10
36: <i>12</i> , <i>17</i> 37: <i>7</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>20</i> 38: <i>1</i> 3
9, 20 38:13
51: <i>12</i> , <i>21</i> , <i>25</i>
52:15 53:20
59:12 60:21
68: <i>3</i> , <i>24</i> 81: <i>18</i> ,
00.5, 24 01.70,
25 82:4 85:3
RTM's 17:10
20:3
run 54: <i>19</i>
69:22 72:6, 19
77:9
running 6:10
7:14, 25 8:7
10:6, 9 11:2, 3
25.5 6 16 26.5
12, 16, 17, 18, 24 29:12, 17, 30:4,
20:12 17 30:4
29.12, 17 30.4,
12, 10, 20 31.1,
12, 21 32:6
33:22 34:2
36:8, 18 39:23,
24 40:6, 23
41:6, 7, 24, 25
42:13, 18 43:5,
7, 8, 9, 12, 24, 25
44:22 45:1, 2, <i>6</i> ,
<i>14</i> 46: <i>5</i> , <i>13</i> , <i>14</i>
47:6, 15 48:12
52:4 53:18
55:7 61:13
64:18, 22 66:18
67:17 68:10, 20,

23 73:6 76:14, 16 78:8, 17, 19, 22, 24 79:4, 10, 25 80:6 81:14, *22* 82:11 83:5, 14, 21 runnings 74:1 Rupert 20:7, 9, 19, 21 < S > **safe** 39:17 **safety** 50:16 51:9, 13, 16 66:4 67:2, 4 74:25 **Sam** 19:14, 19, 20 satisfaction 37:23 scenarios 40:14. 15 schedule 25:13, 14. 22 scheduled 72:6, 15 **school** 45:22 **score** 26:15 27:10 29:6 31:8 40:5, 9 48:19 49:5 57:11 61:14 63:11, 25 64:4 66:3 74:3 79:5, 6 80:21 83:1*0*, 11 **scored** 62:17 scores 62:17, 18, 22 **scoring** 65:21 **scroll** 76:22 77:14 scrolling 75:16 **seamless** 15:20, 21 **seconds** 14:20 section 5:5, 18, 20 77:17 security 74:25 **sense** 10:18, 19 16:3 17:*24* 25:7 31:9

37:13 38:10, 18

41:18 48:18

50:19 68:14

70:12 77:2, 15 84:22 separate 59:1 September 9:18 45:11, 19, 22 seriously 35:3 service 7:9 16:1 30:22 31:16, 18 38:7 39:10 45:10, 20 49:10 54:8, 9, 21 57:18 66:9 70:15, 16 77:17 81:12 **set** 4:4 30:12 33:22 37:3 45:6 87:6 sets 11:10 80:4 setting 16:17, 24 **share** 69:5 84:12 shared 4:20 5:1 69:3 **sheet** 63:25 81:5 **sheets** 64:4 Shorthand 87:4, **shortly** 32:18 75:3 should've 18:23 **show** 76:19 **showed** 71:18 74:3 **side** 20:11 **Sidney** 12:6 signalling 10:25 **signed** 78:17 80:22 significant 56:11 58:16 similar 84:2 similarly 74:13 **simple** 48:18 **site** 51:4 situate 61:23 **Slade** 20:*15* 26:25 28:*4*, 13 78:18 **Slade's** 36:24 **small** 55:25 **SNC** 8:16 SNC-Lavalin 6:19 9:15

software 24:20 solemn 4:7 **sorry** 4:6 6:15 9:20 14:3, 21 19:*19*, *23*, *25* 20:4 25:1 33:24 34:21 41:2, 11, 20, 25 43:18 51:15 53:1, 13 57:1 60:4 62:19 63:21 68:1*6*, 21, 25 69:24 70:8 71:21, 24 72:1, 23 75:17 76:17 78:13 79:16 81:19 sort 41:17 61:11 65:20 **sought** 28:13 **sound** 27:19 **Sounds** 42:25 **sources** 49:22 50:2 **spare** 13:5, 6, 21 85:7, 9 spares 6:5 13:3, 17 15:13 16:21 17:25 85:15 **speak** 33:20 36:25 73:*4* speaking 46:18 **speaks** 78:14 specific 24:10 34:3 49:2 72:4 specifically 37:18 82:2 specification 26:7, 18 28:23 29:24 33:18 65:1, 13 69:16 specifics 47:19 67:24 specified 34:5 spelled 64:25 **spent** 8:20 sponsor/owner 80:18 sponsors 39:14 spreadsheets 16:*17*, *18* **stable** 41:*13* **Stage** 5:25 82:4

stand-alone 11:11 standard 37:25 38:25 80:15 standards 11:9 **start** 15:13, 19 20:7 41:19 47:15 54:23 64:9 76:21 started 7:23 9:15 80:10 starting 73:8 **starts** 14:*13* **state** 12:20 statement 60:7 stations 50:4 station's 13:4 **status** 85:6 **stay** 43:16 Stenographer/Tra nscriptionist 2:9 stenographically 87:11 **steps** 79:3 **Steve** 53:7, 12 59:9 stock 21:14, 24 22:3 25:20 48:10 60:15, 21 63:8 85:14 stop 14:19 stopped 8:5 49:10 straightforward 16:*14* 17:3 31:9 strange 41:1 **street** 47:9 struggling 53:11 **stuff** 21:13, 19, 20 **sub** 5:6, 18 subcontractor 17:22 subcontractors 17:7 subsequently 46:13 succeed 44:11 successful 30:18, 19 31:1, 3. 12 **Sufouh** 12:*10* summary 10:2

summer 7:16

52:7
support 12:24
suppose 24:4
31:11 44:8
50:18 60:7
78: <i>15</i>
supposed 15:17
19, 20
surprised 60:1,
14, 23
swing 56:12
switch 21:21
switched 43:20
Sydney 84:1
Symonds 2:9
87: <i>3</i> , <i>24</i>
synopsis 61:16
system 11:4, 5
13:9 15:9 29:9
30:21 37:6
30:21 37:6 38:18 39:15
43:11 44:14, 21
46:7 50:6
72:10 80:3
83:16 84:7
systems 20:13
21:19 48:23
66:23 70:13
73:9 76: <i>4</i> , 5
system's 83:5
<t></t>
1-1-1- 50:40

table 50:16 **Talia** 2:10 talk 16:25 **talking** 16:19 47:12 57:17 tally 55:2 team 36:8, 10 44:16 48:12 50:17 51:10 52:4 53:18 55:6, 9 59:6 68:23 78:24 80:1 83:14 teams 10:10, 11 technical 44:16 Technician 2:10 tend 5:9, 10 **term** 38:9 55:14 terminal 50:4 terms 4:4 12:*17* 18:*2* 21:7, 9 23:14 28:14 33:21

37:5 43:7 47:15 48:11 65:8 66:18 75:6 78:8 81:*4*, 17. 24 83:19 84:5, 6 **Test** 26:24 29:17 37:2, 14, 15 63:18 64:21 78:8, 17, 22 tested 11:8 testimony 87:9 testing 13:2 15:6 25:4, 8, 13 30:*18* 31:*4* 44:15 **Thales** 21:9, 19, 20 thing 10:1 27:9 56:6 things 18:1, 3 24:21 37:23 thinking 34:10 71:17 82:5 third 48:6 thorough 85:23 thought 38:14 time 6:18 12:11 18:7 25:18 35:23 45:7, 12 46:19 47:23 48:2 57:5 65:20, 25 67:25 68:3. 4 73:1, 15, 22 74:6 77:25 81:22 85:5 87:6, 7, 10 timeframe 6:23 timeline 7:20 tire 13:21 **today** 49:9 today's 4:5 told 71:23, 24 72:1 **Tom** 21:3, 4 59:7, 8 top 26:24 73:24 **Toronto** 8:15 total 61:11 82:25 track 21:18 tracked 18:10,

11, 16

tracking 18:8, 19 19:8 **trade** 9:10 train 21:10, 13, 15 49:3, 6, 9 trainer 6:6 training 6:4 13:1, 19 15:14 16:21 17:25 23:10 trains 7:9, 23 25:16, 22 40:22 41:6, 23 43:7, 25 47:16, 18, 22 50:1 56:20 66:11 69:22 70:22 72:5, 9, 18, 23 82:9, 13, 16, 19, 23, 25 transcribed 4:12 87:12 transcript 4:13, 17, 20, 25 5:1, 4 87:14 transferring 22:25 transition 81:17, 25 84:16 **Transpo** 22:24 23:4 29:25 35:18 36:16 38:15 45:17 51:11 52:14 53:21 59:12 69:19 80:19 travel 65:20 73:1, 15, 22 74:6 travelers 50:23 trial 5:15 6:10 7:14, 25 8:7 10:6 11:2, 3 20:17 25:5, 6 26:5, 12, 16, 17, 18, 23 29:11, 17 30:4, 12, 16, 20 31:1, 12, 21 32:6 33:22 34:2 36:8, 18 40:22, 23 41:7, 25 42:13, 18 43:4, 8, 9, 12, 24 44:22 45:1, 2, 6, 13, 14 46:5, 13, 14 47:6, 15 48:12 52:3

53:18 54:19 55:6 61:12 64:18, 21 66:18 67:17 68:10, 20, 23 73:6 74:1 76:14, 16 77:9 78:8, 17, 19, 22, 24 79:4, 10, 25 80:6 81:14, 22 82:11 83:5, 14, 21 **trips** 48:20 50:5 **Troy** 59:13 **TRRT** 76:14 truck 12:7 true 87:13 **trying** 7:15 13:18 17:11 20:12, 14 35:13 36:24 42:10 45:13 67:20, 21 76:15 **type** 10:6 34:20 67:18 **types** 10:13 typical 11:4 15:*4* typically 11:15 13:16 14:15 15:10, 16 39:13 43:24 46:17, 18 80:17 **typos** 4:25 < U > **UK** 9:12 ultimate 38:16 ultimately 15:24 38:16 76:23 unanimity 55:15 uncommon 84:1 understand 6:18 37:8 understanding

<U>> UK 9:12 ultimate 38:16 ultimately 15:24 38:16 76:23 unanimity 55:15 uncommon 84:1 understand 6:18 37:8 understanding 37:14 47:5 79:25 82:9 understood 37:1 60:11 undertaken 11:18 unfolded 12:19 upgrades 24:20 urgency 46:12 useful 13:13

< V > **vague** 35:9 various 34:3 vehicle 65:23 66:8, 23 73:11, 14, 18 venture 6:16 **verify** 70:19 viability 38:14 Videoconferenci ng 1:11 view 19:6 83:4 views 83:14 Virtual 2:10 visibility 25:13 48:1 85:13

user 18:2

volume 66:11 < W >walk 33:15 walking 28:2 47:8 **wanted** 45:12 57:18 **wants** 57:17 washed 35:11 website 4:18 Weekday 66:2 **whilst** 15:7 54:8 WILLIAM 1:3 3:3. 9 4:2 12:15 **windows** 35:10 wise 57:20 wish 85:23 **WITNESS** 3:3 5:6, 9, 13 14:17 15:3 42:25 43:18 87:7, 9 witnessed 40:11 wonder 60:9 wondering 78:21 word 48:4 **words** 46:*4* 57:2 work 8:8 10:20 15:17 16:7 19:7 20:15

27:10 28:3

85:7

36:18 55:19

worked 9:21

working 0.15	25 50.2 2 46	I	I	ı
working 8:15	25 58:2, 3, 16			
9: <i>15</i> 16: <i>13</i>	59:1, 2, 11, 20			
20:2 28:1 45:6	60: <i>8</i> , <i>15</i> 61: <i>1</i> , <i>7</i> ,			
47:9 52:13	19, 22 62:1, 8,			
	1			
58: <i>4</i> 85:2	<i>12</i> 63: <i>14</i> 64: <i>5</i> ,			
working-level	7, 14 65:5, 16,			
58: <i>4</i>	22, 23 66:6, 20			
I				
world 46:20	67:9, 21 68:11			
would've 17:18	69: <i>2</i> , <i>24</i> 70: <i>4</i> ,			
written 27:7, 23	23 71:11, 17, 19			
77:6				
	72:1, 9, 20 73:7,			
wrong 21: <i>16</i>	22 74:8, 18, 21,			
24:9 31:6 46:2,	24 75:4, 16, 19			
3 56:16 57:10	76:6, 9, 17, 24			
59:17 63:19				
	77:8, 9, 11, 12,			
68:6 84:3 85:11	18, 24 79:19, 22			
	80: <i>12</i> , <i>23</i> 81: <i>1</i> ,			
< Y >	21 82:21 83:1,			
Yeah 6:1, 11, 15,	12, 17 84:10			
	-			
17, 20 7:4, 5, 10,	85: <i>17</i> , <i>25</i>			
19 8: <i>3, 14, 17,</i>	years 19: <i>17</i>			
25 9:5, 6, 18, 23	-			
	<z></z>			
10:2, 9, 15				
11: <i>11</i> , 23 12:22	Zoom 1: <i>11</i>			
15:2 <i>1</i> , <i>2</i> 2 16: <i>5</i> ,				
9, 21 17:8 18:9				
I -				
19:1, 7, 12, 16,				
18, 23 20:9, 18,				
<i>19</i> 21:3, <i>5</i> , <i>16</i> ,				
20, 21, 22 22:14,				
19 23:11, 19, 20,				
24 24:22 25:1,				
12, 19 26:2, 22				
27:6, 11, 16, 20,				
22 28:9, 11, 18				
29: <i>14</i> 30: <i>5</i> , <i>6</i>				
31:2, <i>10</i> , <i>14</i> , <i>16</i> ,				
25 32:2, 7 34:8,				
19, 21 35:3				
36: <i>5</i> , <i>9</i> , <i>22</i>				
37:12, 19 38:6				
39:21, 25 40:3,				
10, 13, 16, 20				
41:1 42:2, 20				
43: <i>13</i> , <i>18</i> 44:2,				
12, 18 45: <i>4</i>				
1				
47:2, 7, 11, 17				
48:7, 16 49:13,				
22 50:21, 23				
51:6, 12 52:17				
1				
53:5, 12, 13, 16,				
23 54:2, <i>4</i> , 11,				
21 55:4, 8, 11,				
12, 17 56:3, 10,				
<i>25</i> 57:15, 19, 20,	·	·	·	