

Transcript Corrections Requested by Witness

Transcript Reference (page #, line #)	Change Requested
Page 8, line 25- page 9, lines 1-2	Add: "Helping out with the power systems, as I am part of the Power Systems & Distribution (PS&D) group at SNC."
Page 9, line 22	Add: "A more technical role than what I am doing now."
Page 10, lines 10-11	Add: "I took on that role when he decided to move to RTM."
Page 11, line 10	Add: "That is, my role was minor in the other projects, not that the projects were minor."
Page 13, line 4	Add: "the vehicle subsystems, but in more detail"
Page 16, line 20	"For clarity: "fully designed" does not mean that the vehicle would not still need certain design changes to be made. For instance, the type tests were not complete at this time. Example: the floor fire resistance type test had to be repeated 3 times due to failures and changes to the design and subsequent retrofit of vehicles that had been produced had to be carried out."
Page 17, line 16	"For clarity: "the design was frozen" does not mean that the vehicle would not still need certain design changes to be made, as noted above in the comments on "fully designed" (page 16 line 20)."
Page 17, lines 22-23	Add: "I don't have a recollection on this matter."
Page 29, line 1	"For clarity: "it's" is referring to the stinger receptacle, not the stinger."
Page 29, line 3	"For clarity: "this cable" is the stinger cable."
Page 29, line 4	Clarification: ""in to the vehicle" that is "into the receptacle on the vehicle""
Page 35, line 2	Clarification: "May 2015 – It was actually 10 th March 2017 that I took on the managing of the Alstom subcontract (ref: OLR-ALS-0835 Change in OLRT Contract Manager)."
Page 36, line 3	Clarification: ""I had no idea" in the sense that I don't recall."
Page 38, lines 20-22	"It should be: "Actually, I was within OLRT, it the (organizational) structure, I was actually reporting to David Watt, the Commercial Director""
Page 54, line 12	Clarification: "that is Angelo Guercioni (Customer Director – replaced by Souheil Abihanna on 19-Feb-2019 (ref: ALS-OLRT-02753))"
Page 55, line 10	"For correctness, 4,000 is a bit of a mis-speak. Alstom has written over 4000 letters; OLRT is on letter 3330 and I started with letter 0836, so 2494 letters. Still a lot of letters."
Page 57, line 21	Clarification: "The actual PA term is "Substantial Completion of the Vehicle Component"."

Page 59, lines 13-15	Add: "The decisions regarding Alstom's schedule were made at the executive level. I was not involved with the discussions or the decision making process."
Page 60, line 21	Clarification: "was granted an extension means that Alstom should have been granted an extension" was intended to be "was granted an extension doesn't mean that Alstom should have been granted an extension."
Page 61, lines 16-17	Add: "(than its previous schedule)"
Page 62, lines 6-12	Add: "The point is that the City made the announcement, but did not give OLRTC schedule relief. It wasn't that OLRT was unreasonably holding Alstom to an unachievable schedule."
Page 64, lines 10-11	Note: "Note that this is not a real example for this project."
Page 90, line 16	Clarification: Leyla (surname: Ceremi Pakyuz)
Page 92, line 24	Add: "The scheduler's name was Erkan Tatar."
Page 95, line 24	Clarification: "No" as in "no, I have no recollection of that"

*Any purely typographical corrections will be made in the body of the transcript.