

Appendix D: Selected EBR Decision Notices and Permits to Take Water

Note: the electronic version of this appendix does not contain an actual copy of the permit to take water associated with each of the decision notices. A copy of any of the permits referred to can be obtained electronically or from the Ministry of the Environment (at the address listed in each notice).

EBR Registry Number: IA00E0851

Ministry Reference Number: 23011031

Type of Posting: Instrument

Status of Posting: Decision

Ministry: Environment

Date Proposal Loaded: 2000/05/18

Date Decision Loaded: 2000/10/30

Comment Period: 30 day(s)

Written submissions were permitted between May 18, 2000 and June 17, 2000.

NOTICE OF **DECISION** FOR AN INSTRUMENT:

©© Queen's Printer for Ontario, 2000

Instrument Type:

OWRA s. 34 - Permit to take water

Proponent:

Hamilton Golf and Country Club 232 Golflinks Rd., Ancaster, Ontario, L9G 2N5

Location of Activity:

Town of Ancaster

County/District/Region: Regional Municipality of Hamilton-Wentworth

Other Activity Location Identifiers:

Lot 46, Concession 3, Town of Ancaster, Regional Municipality of Hamilton-Wentworth

Description:

Permit: Renewal

Source: One on-stream pond on Ancaster Creek

Purpose: Golf Course Irrigation

Period of Taking: Indefinite

Amount of water to be taken(maximum): 1,000 us gallons per minute, 480,000 us gallons per day, 365 days per year

Location: Lot 46, Concession 3, Town of Ancaster, Regional Municipality of Hamilton-Wentworth

Decision:

Approval granted.

Permit valid until March 31, 2005 Inclusive

Number of Comments Received: 1**Effect of the Comments on the Decision of the Ministry:**

Comments received were reviewed and where appropriate were considered in the ministry's decision whether or not to proceed with this proposal. In this case, comments were consistent with concerns within the ministry and as a result terms and conditions were added.

These conditions do not represent all conditions imposed on the instrument holder but rather those that are directly in line with comments received.

The comment was from the Hamilton Region Conservation Authority. Concerns with impact to this cold water habitat were expressed. Therefore a special condition was added to minimize the the impact as suggested by the Conseration Authority.

Alternatively, you may access an electronic copy of the decision and/or supporting documentation by clicking here:

http://www.ene.gov.on.ca/envision/env_reg/er/documents/pttw/2000/IA00E0851.pdf

May a resident of Ontario seek leave to appeal this decision (s. 38 EBR)?: Y

The EBR stipulates that the Ministry must make every reasonable step to ensure that all comments relevant to this proposal received as part of the public participation process are considered in this decision (S. 35 EBR). Only comments relevant to the proposal were considered in this decision.

Any resident of Ontario may seek leave to appeal this decision, by serving written Notice, within 15 days of the Decision Date noted above. The Notice must be served upon the following:

Environmental Commissioner:

Environmental Commissioner, 1075 Bay Street, Suite 605, 6th Fl.
Toronto, Ontario M5S 2B1
FAX: (416) 325-3370

Issuing Authority:

Director
West Central Regional Office
Box 2112, 9th Floor, 119 King St. West
Hamilton, Ontario, L8N 3Z9
PHONE: (905) 521-7715 FAX: (905) 521-7820

Proponent:

Hamilton Golf and Country Club
232 Golflinks Rd.
Ancaster, Ontario, L9G 2N5

Appellate Body:

Environmental Appeal Board
Contact: The Secretary
2300 Yonge St., 12th Floor, P.O. Box 2382, Toronto, Ontario, M4P 1E4
PHONE: (416) 314-3300 FAX: (416) 314-3299

The Notice must be signed and dated and include all of the following information:

- . **The EBR registry Number, the Ministry Reference Number, the Proponent's name and address to whom the instrument was issued and the location of Activity. (All available from this Registry posting)**
- . **A copy of any comments that were submitted on the original proposal, if comments were not submitted, an explanation of your interest in seeking leave to appeal the decision is required.**
- . **A description of the grounds for the application for leave to appeal including information that demonstrates that:**
 - (a) there is a good reason to believe that no reasonable person, having regard to the relevant law and any government policies developed to guide decisions of that kind, could have made the decision; and**
 - (b) the decision in respect of which an appeal is sought could result in significant harm to the environment.**
- . **The portion of the instrument or each term or condition in the instrument in respect of which the leave to appeal is applied for.**
- . **The grounds on which you intend to reply at the hearing, in the event that the leave to appeal is granted, in relation to each portion that you are seeking leave to appeal.**

EBR Registry Number: IA9E0785

Ministry Reference Number: ER-6973

Type of Posting: Instrument

Status of Posting: Decision

Ministry: Environment

Date Proposal Loaded: 1999/06/30

Date Decision Loaded: 1999/08/24

Comment Period: 35 day(s)

Written submissions were permitted between June 30, 1999 and August 04, 1999.

NOTICE OF DECISION FOR AN INSTRUMENT:

Instrument Type:

OWRA s. 34 - Permit to take water

Proponent:

King's Bay Dev. Corp. c/o Quorum Dev. 16 Esna Park Drive, Suite 107, Markham, Ontario, L3R 5X1

Location of Activity:

Township of Mariposa

County/District/Region: County of Victoria

Other Activity Location Identifiers:

Part of Lot 2, Concession B, Part of Lots 1 & 2, Concession C and Part of Lot 29, Registrar's Compiled Plan No. 550 and Part of the Road Allowance between Concessions B & C (Opposite Lots 1 and 2), Township of Mariposa, County of Victoria

Description:

New Permit to Take Water: King's Bay Development Corporation, c/o Quorum Development Corporation Inc.

Source of Water: 3 wells

Purpose of Taking: Drinking Water & Communal Supply

Period of Water Taking: July 1, 1999

Amount of Taking: 263 litres per minute

Length of Taking: Continuous for 10 years

Decision:

The Permit has been issued

Permit to Take Water 99-P-4025, King's Bay Development, was issued August 23, 1999.

The amount of water permitted was reduced to 234,000,000 L/day (234 cubic m/day).

Number of Comments Received: 0

Effect of the Comments on the Decision of the Ministry:

No comments were received resulting in no impact on the decision whether or not to proceed with this proposal.

May a resident of Ontario seek leave to appeal this decision (s. 38 EBR)?: Y

The EBR stipulates that the Ministry must make every reasonable step to ensure that all comments relevant to this proposal received as part of the public participation process are considered in this decision (S. 35 EBR). Only comments relevant to the proposal were considered in this decision.

Any resident of Ontario may seek leave to appeal this decision, by serving written Notice, within 15 days of the Decision Date noted above. The Notice must be served upon the following:

Environmental Commissioner:

Environmental Commissioner, 1075 Bay Street, Suite 605, 6th Fl.
Toronto, Ontario M5S 2B1
FAX: (416) 325-3370

Issuing Authority:

Director
Eastern Regional Office
Box 820, 133 Dalton Avenue
Kingston, Ontario, K7L 4X6
PHONE: (613) 549-4000 FAX: (613) 548-6908

Proponent:

King's Bay Dev. Corp. c/o Quorum Dev.
16 Esna Park Drive, Suite 107
Markham, Ontario, L3R 5X1

Appellate Body:

Environmental Appeal Board
Contact: The Secretary
2300 Yonge St., 12th Floor, P.O. Box 2382, Toronto, Ontario, M4P 1E4
PHONE: (416) 314-3300 FAX: (416) 314-3299

The Notice must be signed and dated and include all of the following information:

- . The EBR registry Number, the Ministry Reference Number, the Proponent's name and address to whom the instrument was issued and the location of Activity. (All available from this Registry posting)**
- . A copy of any comments that were submitted on the original proposal, if comments were not submitted, an explanation of your interest in seeking leave to appeal the decision is required.**

- . A description of the grounds for the application for leave to appeal including information that demonstrates that:
 - (a) there is a good reason to believe that no reasonable person, having regard to the relevant law and any government policies developed to guide decisions of that kind, could have made the decision; and
 - (b) the decision in respect of which an appeal is sought could result in significant harm to the environment.
- . The portion of the instrument or each term or condition in the instrument in respect of which the leave to appeal is applied for.
- . The grounds on which you intend to reply at the hearing, in the event that the leave to appeal is granted, in relation to each portion that you are seeking leave to appeal.

EBR Registry Number: IA00E0261

Ministry Reference Number: ER-8789

Type of Posting: Instrument

Status of Posting: Decision

Ministry: Environment

Date Proposal Loaded: 2000/02/01

Date Decision Loaded: 2000/03/08

Comment Period: 30 day(s)

Written submissions were permitted between February 01, 2000 and March 02, 2000.

NOTICE OF DECISION FOR AN INSTRUMENT:

Instrument Type:

OWRA s. 34 - Permit to take water

Proponent:

Moira River Conservation Authority P. O. Box 698, Belleville, Ontario, K8N 5B3

Location of Activity:

Township of Thurlow

County/District/Region: County of Hastings

Other Activity Location Identifiers:

City of Belleville, Thurlow Ward (Cannifton)

Description:

Permit Renewal: 90-P-4053

Source of Water: Moira River

Purpose of Taking: Ice control dam to prevent flooding in Belleville - Arthur Holgate Dame

Period of Water Taking: February 2000

Amount of Taking: 11,000,00 Litres/day

Length of Taking: 10 years

Decision:

The Permit has been issued

Permit to Take Water 00-P-4029 was issued to Moira River Conservation Authority on March 6, 2000.

Number of Comments Received: 0

Effect of the Comments on the Decision of the Ministry:

No comments were received resulting in no impact on the decision whether or not to proceed with this proposal.

Alternatively, you may access an electronic copy of the decision and/or supporting documentation by clicking here:

http://www.ene.gov.on.ca/envision/env_reg/er/documents/pttw/2000/IA00E0261.pdf

May a resident of Ontario seek leave to appeal this decision (s. 38 EBR)?: Y

The EBR stipulates that the Ministry must make every reasonable step to ensure that all comments relevant to this proposal received as part of the public participation process are considered in this decision (S. 35 EBR). Only comments relevant to the proposal were considered in this decision.

Any resident of Ontario may seek leave to appeal this decision, by serving written Notice, within 15 days of the Decision Date noted above. The Notice must be served upon the following:

Environmental Commissioner:

Environmental Commissioner, 1075 Bay Street, Suite 605, 6th Fl.
Toronto, Ontario M5S 2B1
FAX: (416) 325-3370

Issuing Authority:

Director
Eastern Regional Office
Box 820, 133 Dalton Avenue
Kingston, Ontario, K7L 4X6
PHONE: (613) 549-4000 FAX: (613) 548-6908

Proponent:

Moir River Conservation Authority
P. O. Box 698
Belleville, Ontario, K8N 5B3

Appellate Body:

Environmental Appeal Board
Contact: The Secretary
2300 Yonge St., 12th Floor, P.O. Box 2382, Toronto, Ontario, M4P 1E4
PHONE: (416) 314-3300 FAX: (416) 314-3299

The Notice must be signed and dated and include all of the following information:

- . The EBR registry Number, the Ministry Reference Number, the Proponent's name and address to whom the instrument was issued and the location of Activity. (All available from this Registry posting)**
- . A copy of any comments that were submitted on the original proposal, if comments were not submitted, an explanation of your interest in seeking leave to appeal the decision is required.**

- . **A description of the grounds for the application for leave to appeal including information that demonstrates that:**
 - (a) **there is a good reason to believe that no reasonable person, having regard to the relevant law and any government policies developed to guide decisions of that kind, could have made the decision; and**
 - (b) **the decision in respect of which an appeal is sought could result in significant harm to the environment.**
- . **The portion of the instrument or each term or condition in the instrument in respect of which the leave to appeal is applied for.**
- . **The grounds on which you intend to reply at the hearing, in the event that the leave to appeal is granted, in relation to each portion that you are seeking leave to appeal.**

EBR Registry Number: IA9E0744

Ministry Reference Number: 99P5025

Type of Posting: Instrument

Status of Posting: Decision

Ministry: Environment

Date Proposal Loaded: 1999/06/18

Date Decision Loaded: 1999/11/02

Comment Period: 30 day(s)

Written submissions were permitted between June 18, 1999 and July 18, 1999.

NOTICE OF DECISION FOR AN INSTRUMENT:

Instrument Type:

OWRA s. 34 - Permit to take water

Proponent:

Nickel District Conservation Authority 200 Brady Street, First Floor, Sudbury, Ontario, P3E 5K3

Location of Activity:

City Of Sudbury

County/District/Region: Regional Municipality of Sudbury

Other Activity Location Identifiers:

Lot 7, Concession 4, Neelon Twp.

Description:

This permit is required to bring the flood control structure on Romford Creek into compliance with section 34 of the OWR Act. The structure was originally constructed in September Of 1997.

Amount of water is dependant on nature.

Decision:

Approval granted.

To issue permit No. 99P5025.

Number of Comments Received: 0

Effect of the Comments on the Decision of the Ministry:

No comments were received resulting in no impact on the decision whether or not to proceed with this proposal.

May a resident of Ontario seek leave to appeal this decision (s. 38 EBR)?: Y

The EBR stipulates that the Ministry must make every reasonable step to ensure that all comments relevant to this proposal received as part of the public participation process are considered in this decision (S. 35 EBR). Only comments relevant to the proposal were considered in this decision.

Any resident of Ontario may seek leave to appeal this decision, by serving written Notice, within 15 days of the Decision Date noted above. The Notice must be served upon the following:

Environmental Commissioner:

Environmental Commissioner, 1075 Bay Street, Suite 605, 6th Fl.
Toronto, Ontario M5S 2B1
FAX: (416) 325-3370

Issuing Authority:

Director
Central Region
5775 Yonge Street, 8th Fl.
Toronto, Ontario, M2M 4J1
PHONE: (416) 326-4825 FAX: (416) 325-6347

Proponent:

Nickel District Conservation Authority
200 Brady Street, First Floor
Sudbury, Ontario, P3E 5K3

Appellate Body:

Environmental Appeal Board
Contact: The Secretary
2300 Yonge St., 12th Floor, P.O. Box 2382, Toronto, Ontario, M4P 1E4
PHONE: (416) 314-3300 FAX: (416) 314-3299

The Notice must be signed and dated and include all of the following information:

- . The EBR registry Number, the Ministry Reference Number, the Proponent's name and address to whom the instrument was issued and the location of Activity. (All available from this Registry posting)**
- . A copy of any comments that were submitted on the original proposal, if comments were not submitted, an explanation of your interest in seeking leave to appeal the decision is required.**
- . A description of the grounds for the application for leave to appeal including information that demonstrates that:**
 - (a) there is a good reason to believe that no reasonable person, having regard to the relevant law and any government policies developed to guide decisions of that kind, could have made the decision; and**
 - (b) the decision in respect of which an appeal is sought could result in significant harm to the environment.**

- . The portion of the instrument or each term or condition in the instrument in respect of which the leave to appeal is applied for.**
- . The grounds on which you intend to reply at the hearing, in the event that the leave to appeal is granted, in relation to each portion that you are seeking leave to appeal.**